


Climate Change Economics

Jon Haveman, Ph.D.

Parnow Friendship House


November 5, 2019




1

National Economic Education Delegation

- **Vision**
 - One day, the public discussion of policy issues will be grounded in an accurate perception of the underlying economic principles and data.
- **Mission**
 - NEED unites the skills and knowledge of a vast network of professional economists to promote understanding of the economics of policy issues in the United States.
- **NEED Presentations**
 - Are **nonpartisan** and intended to reflect the consensus of the economics profession.





2

2

Who Are We?

- **Honorary Board: 44 members**

- 2 Fed Chairs: Janet Yellen, Ben Bernanke
- 6 Chairs Council of Economic Advisers
 - o Furman (D), Rosen (R), Bernanke (R), Yellen (D), Tyson (D), Goolsbee (D)
- 3 Nobel Prize Winners
 - o Akerlof, Smith, Maskin

- **Delegates: 367 members**

- At all levels of academia and some in government service
- All have a Ph.D. in economics
- Crowdsource slide decks
- Give presentations


- **Global Partners: 42 Ph.D. Economists**

- Aid in slide deck development



3

Where Are We?



4

Credits and Disclaimer

- **This slide deck was authored by:**
 - Shana Mcdermott, Trinity University
 - Sarah Jacobson, Williams College
 - Sharon Shewmake, Western Washington University
- **This slide deck was reviewed by:**
 - Jason Shogren, University of Wyoming
 - Walter Thurman, North Carolina State University
- **Disclaimer**
 - NEED presentations are designed to be nonpartisan.
 - It is, however, inevitable that the presenter will be asked for and will provide their own views.
 - Such views are those of the presenter and not necessarily those of the National Economic Education Delegation (NEED).



5

How Can Economists Contribute to Thinking about Climate Change?

- **By assessing behavioral reactions to climate change.**
- **By measuring the damage and estimating the economic costs of fighting climate change.**
- **By designing smart policies that minimize costs.**
 - Balance economic growth with GHG emission reduction.



6

Outline

- Climate change science
- Impacts of climate change
- Economics of responding to climate change
- Addressing the sources of our emissions
- Climate change policy
- Policy in action



NATIONAL ECONOMIC
EDUCATION DELEGATION


7

Climate Change Science




NATIONAL ECONOMIC
EDUCATION DELEGATION

8



9



10

How Much Pollution Does Society Want? Analogy: How Many Oranges Does Society Want?

- People grow and sell oranges for a price that at least covers costs (**supply**).
- People will not pay more for them than what they consider to be their value (**demand**).
- Prices let **supply** and **demand** balance out. The price settles where:

of oranges people want to sell = # of oranges people want to buy

- This is the “right” number of oranges for society.



11

Pollution Is Different From Oranges


- Human activity creates pollution.
- Pollution is an **EXTERNALITY**: a side effect (cost or benefit) that affects someone else when something is bought or sold.
 - The power company sells you electricity for your house, but the pollution from the power plant affects everyone, not just you!
 - This is a **market failure**.
- All of the effects are not always felt by the buyers and sellers.
 - The price of electricity does not reflect all of the costs—there is too much pollution.
 - Electricity is too cheap. The balance is wrong.



12

Impacts of Climate Change

Global Warming Indicators



How These Impacts Affect Humans

- Agriculture
- Fisheries
- Coastal damages
- Direct health effects, including sickness and death (temperature & drought; also pollution)
- Indirect health effects (vector-borne disease)
- Reduced fresh water availability
- Wildfires
- Shifting zones for important ecosystems, and desertification
- Reduced worker productivity
- Increased violence
- Some of these may cause human migration and/or conflict



NATIONAL ECONOMIC
EDUCATION DELEGATION

15

Adaptation Reduces Damages

- Human *adaptations* are costly actions that can reduce damages from climate change.
- The **net cost to society** is the **cost of adaptation** plus the **cost of the remaining damages**.
- People will take some actions on their own, up to the point where they find it worthwhile.
- Some responses require government involvement: large-scale actions or actions with shared benefits.
- Adaptation is already underway.



NATIONAL ECONOMIC
EDUCATION DELEGATION

16

Individual-Level Adaptation Examples

- **Do you behave differently on a hot day?**

- Staying inside more.
- Turn on the air conditioning.
- Plant at different times.
- Plant new crops.
- Think about moving.



NATIONAL ECONOMIC
EDUCATION DELEGATION

17

Public Adaptation

- **Governments can help:**
 - When collective action is less costly than everyone acting alone.
 - When individual action is not possible or likely.
 - When some people can't protect themselves.
- **Sea walls**
- **Ecosystems that provide protection**
- **Supporting low-income and vulnerable populations**
- **Moving residents of a town**




NATIONAL ECONOMIC
EDUCATION DELEGATION

18

Market Based Adaptation

- **Prices and costs influence behavior.**
 - Where to live.
 - Where/when/what to plant.
- **Avoid barriers to market adjustment.**
 - Trade barriers, immigration restrictions, federal flood insurance, agricultural subsidies, and zoning regulations.



19

Social Cost of Carbon

- **Cost above price paid.**
- **The expected cost of damages from each unit of greenhouse gas emissions.**
- **Current EPA estimate: ~\$40 per metric ton of CO₂.**
 - About \$123/car per year.
 - \$26 Billion for all vehicles in the US.
- **Social cost of carbon will increase over time.**



20

Economics of Responding to Climate Change



NATIONAL ECONOMIC
EDUCATION DELEGATION

21

International Climate Policy Goals

- **Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)**
 - Global effort to fight climate change
 - Reports on consensus of climate science, including economics
- **IPCC report in 2007:**
 - Recommended goal: < 2 degrees C (3.6 degrees F)
 - Industrialized countries should reduce GHG emissions between 25% and 40% below 1990 levels by 2020.
- **2016 Paris Agreement:**
 - Basic goal of 2 degrees C: requires 40-70% GHG reduction 2010 → 2050
 - Reach goal of 1.5 degrees C: requires 70-95% GHG reduction 2010 → 2050
- **IPCC report in 2018:**
 - Temperature has already increased by 1.0 degrees C - Recommended: < 1.5 C




NATIONAL ECONOMIC
EDUCATION DELEGATION

22

How Economists Decide How Much to Fight Climate Change

- Cost Benefit Analysis
- Weigh:



Cost-Benefit Analysis of Fighting Climate Change

- Most economic models suggest the costs of keeping warming below 2°C are relatively small, amounting to **1-4% of GDP by 2030**.
- Costs of acting to keep warming below 2°C are almost certainly less than future economic damages they would avoid.
 - Damages estimated to be between: **7 - 20% of worldwide GDP**.
- **Caveats:**
 - Putting a monetary value on priceless things
 - Inequality
 - Uncertainty and risk



25

This is What Precisely Wrong Looks Like

San Francisco Airport

NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

26

26


This is What Precisely Wrong Looks Like



NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

27

27



28

Economic Growth and Climate Change Action Are Compatible

- Abating greenhouse gas emissions is costly...
... but climate change damages are even more costly.
- Economic growth comes with consequences that we have to deal with, including climate consequences.
- Economies with environmental regulations can still be dynamic.
- Goal: design policies that reach climate goals at the least possible cost.



NATIONAL ECONOMIC
EDUCATION DELEGATION


29

Addressing the Sources of Our Emissions




NATIONAL ECONOMIC
EDUCATION DELEGATION

30



31



32

Challenges with Renewable Energy

- **It's intermittent - only produced if there is sun or wind.**
- **Energy is needed all day and night, with peak times.**
- **Limited w/o storage.**
 - Creative storage options are under development



NATIONAL ECONOMIC
EDUCATION DELEGATION

33

Climate Change Policy



NATIONAL ECONOMIC
EDUCATION DELEGATION

34

Policies That Reduce Emissions: Directly

- **Regulation**
 - Emissions standards or limits
- **Market oriented policies**
 - Putting a price on emissions
 - Subsidizing green energy (*e.g.*, feed-in tariffs)
 - Tax or cap & trade



35

How Does Cap and Trade Work?

- **Activities to be covered are determined.**
- **Acceptable emissions levels are indicated.**
- **“Permits” that allow acceptable emissions levels are issued.**
 - How?
 - According to historical emissions?
 - Evenly across emitters?
 - Sold at some price?
- **A “market” is developed.**
- **Those desiring to emit will have to buy sufficient permits to accommodate their emissions.**
- **Those wishing to abate will offer their permits on the “market”.**
 - The price of a permit indicates:
 - The benefit of eliminating further emissions.
 - The cost of emitting.
- **Gov’t agency determines equality of permits in possession and emissions.**



36


How Does a Carbon Tax Work?

- Activities to be covered are determined.
- The price of emissions is determined.
 - Presumably some relation to the social cost of polluting.
- Emissions are measured.
- Taxes are determined.
- Q: What to do with the tax revenue?

37

Putting a Price on Carbon

Suppose a Social Cost Of Carbon of \$50



38


Carbon Prices: the Good and Bad

- **Good:**
 - Provide price signal to lower emissions.
 - They yield low-cost reductions in emissions.
- **Bad:**
 - Firms might leave to flee regulation.
 - It is necessary to monitor emissions.
 - Regressive
 - Costs weigh more heavily on low-income people.




Revenue Dividend Eliminates Regressivity

IMPACT OF CARBON DIVIDENDS ON U.S. FAMILY INCOMES



Carbon Tax and Cap & Trade: the Differences


	Carbon Tax	Cap & Trade

 NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

41

Carbon Tax and Cap & Trade: the Differences

	Carbon Tax	Cap & Trade
Carbon Price	Certain	Uncertain
Emissions	Uncertain	Certain
Ease of Implementation	May be easier to implement	
Additional concerns	Always generates revenue May require legislation to change Predictability	Susceptible to lobbying Only generates revenue if government sells permits Cap can be changed by regulator Less certainty over future

 NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

42

Thoughts on Regulation vs Market-Oriented

• Equity

- Both types of policies are regressive.
 - Cap and Trade and a Carbon Tax both have the ability to offset the regressive nature of reducing carbon emissions.
 - Regulations do not.

• Efficiency

- Market-oriented policies tend to achieve emissions reduction at much lower cost.
 - Example: CAFÉ Standards vs Carbon Tax
 - Tax is significantly more efficient.
 - Why?



Efficiency: CAFÉ vs Carbon Tax

• CAFÉ = Corporate Average Fuel Efficiency

- A fuel economy standard mandating that an auto- maker's vehicle fleet must meet minimum fuel economy standards.

• Horse Race

- Tax on fuel applies to ALL vehicles, not just new.
- Rebound Effect:
 - Driving a more efficient vehicle lowers the cost per mile driven.
 - leading to more miles driven.
- Slower turnover of inefficient vehicles: higher cost of new.


• Summary

- A given level of emission reductions **costs 3-14 times more with CAFÉ standards** than under a comparable carbon tax.



Policies That Reduce Emissions: INDirectly


- Subsidizing R&D
- Grid / infrastructure
- Energy efficiency mandates and subsidies
- Mandating renewable energy (e.g., renewable portfolio standards)
- Land use policies

 NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

45

Atlanta and Barcelona Have Similar Populations but Very Different Carbon Productivity

Atlanta			Barcelona		
Population	Urban area	Transport carbon emissions	Population	Urban area	Transport carbon emissions
2.5 million	4,280 km ²	7.5 tonnes CO ₂ /person (public + private transport)	2.8 million	162 km ²	0.7 tonnes CO ₂ /person (public + private transport)

 NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

Source: New Climate Economy Report, 2014


46

Land Use: Restoration Is Possible



South Korea restored its forest cover from 35% to 64% of the country's total area


Climate Change Policy in Action




49




50




51




52




53




54




55




56




57




58



59



60



61

Sweden's Carbon Tax Policy


A graphic featuring a map of Sweden with the Swedish flag's colors (blue and yellow). To the right, an orange rounded rectangle contains the text 'Oldest Carbon Tax' in white. The National Economic Education Delegation logo is in the bottom left corner.

Oldest Carbon Tax

NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

62

Sweden's Carbon Tax Policy




Started in 1991

Currently at \$140/ton

NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

63

Real GDP and Domestic CO₂eq Emissions¹ In Sweden, 1990-2016



Year	Real GDP (Index 1990=100)	Domestic CO ₂ eq Emissions (Index 1990=100)
1990	100	100
1995	105	100
2000	125	95
2005	145	90
2010	155	80
2016	175	75

¹ In accordance with Sweden's National Inventory Report, submitted under the UNFCCC and the Kyoto Protocol. CO₂ = approx. 80 % of total CO₂eq emissions. Preliminary data for 2016.

Sources: Swedish Environmental Protection Agency, Statistics Sweden

NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

64

U.S. Carbon Tax Plans

- Climate Leadership Council
- Citizens Climate Lobby
- States and municipalities:
Washington state, Oregon,
Washington, DC



“ Economic policies will be central to accomplishing the goals we choose.”

- Harris and Roach (2007)

Summary

- Climate change is real, is caused by human actions, and has impacts we're already feeling.
- We need to reduce emissions to balance the costs of action against the costs of inaction.
- Scientists and the IPCC recommend that we work to keep warming below 1.5 degrees celsius.

- *Economists believe that this goal is well worth the costs!*



NATIONAL ECONOMIC
EDUCATION DELEGATION

67

Summary – *continued*


- There are many ways to reduce emissions.
- Economics-inspired policies can help us do this at the lowest cost.
- Taxes and cap and trade are proven effective tools to fight climate change!
- Other tools may also be necessary.



NATIONAL ECONOMIC
EDUCATION DELEGATION

68

Thank you!



Any Questions?

www.NEEDelegation.org

Jon Haveman, Ph.D.

Jon@NEEDelegation.org

Contact NEED: info@needelegation.org

Submit a testimonial: www.NEEDelegation.org/testimonials.php



NATIONAL ECONOMIC
EDUCATION DELEGATION