


Climate Change Economics

Jon Haveman, Ph.D.


Redwood High School, Corte Madera, CA
February 24, 2020




1

National Economic Education Delegation

- **Vision**
 - One day, the public discussion of policy issues will be grounded in an accurate perception of the underlying economic principles and data.
- **Mission**
 - NEED unites the skills and knowledge of a vast network of professional economists to promote understanding of the economics of policy issues in the United States.
- **NEED Presentations**
 - Are **nonpartisan** and intended to reflect the consensus of the economics profession.





2

2

Economics Informs Almost Everything

- Prices
- Incentives
- Externalities
- Cost-Benefit Analysis
- Growth
- Inflation
- Interest Rates
- Climate Change
- International Trade
- Immigration
- Housing
- Education
- Health Care
- Gun Control

3

Outline

- Economics of responding to climate change
- Addressing the sources of our emissions
- Climate change policy
- Policy in action

4

How Can Economists Contribute to Thinking about Climate Change?

- They can assess behavioral reactions to climate change.
- They can measure:
 - The costs of acting.
 - The costs of NOT acting.
- They can help design smart policies that minimize costs.
 - Balance economic growth with GHG emission mitigation.

5

Electricity Is Different From Oranges

- Many sources of electricity generate pollution.
- Pollution is an **EXTERNALITY**:
 - a side effect (cost or benefit) that affects someone else when something is bought or sold.
 - This is a *market failure*.
- The price of electricity does not reflect all of the costs.
 - Electricity is too cheap. The balance is wrong.
 - There is too much pollution.



6

Social Cost of Carbon

- **Cost above price paid.**
- **The expected cost of damages from each unit of greenhouse gas emissions.**
- **Current EPA estimate: ~\$40 per metric ton of CO₂.**
 - About \$123/car per year.
 - \$26 Billion for all vehicles in the US.



NATIONAL ECONOMIC
EDUCATION DELEGATION

7

Externalities

- **An externality occurs when market activity affects people outside of a market.**
 - Market activity **SPILLS OVER** onto others.
 - A **negative externality** occurs when a **cost** spills over.
 - A **positive externality** occurs when a **benefit** spills over.



NATIONAL ECONOMIC
EDUCATION DELEGATION

8

8

What Kind of an Externality Results From:

- Heating your house
- Getting an education
- Getting a dog
- Pig farming
- Smoking
- Growing apples
- Getting a vaccination
- Scientific research




NATIONAL ECONOMIC
EDUCATION DELEGATION

9

9

How Economists Decide How Much to Fight Climate Change

- Cost Benefit Analysis
- Weigh:



NATIONAL ECONOMIC
EDUCATION DELEGATION

10

Cost-Benefit Analysis of Fighting Climate Change


- Most economic models suggest the costs of keeping warming below 2°C are relatively small.
 - Costs amount to **1-4% of GDP by 2030**.
- Costs of acting to keep warming below 2°C are almost certainly less than future economic damages they would avoid.
 - Damages estimated to be between: **7 - 20% of worldwide GDP**.
- **Caveats:**
 - Putting a monetary value on priceless things
 - Uncertainty and risk



NATIONAL ECONOMIC
EDUCATION DELEGATION

11

This is What Precisely Wrong Looks Like




NATIONAL ECONOMIC
EDUCATION DELEGATION

12

12

Total U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions by Economic Sector in 2016




U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2018). Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990-2016



13

Global GHG Abatement Cost Curve



Note: The curve presents an estimate of the maximum potential of all technical GHG abatement measures below €80 per tCO₂e if each lever was pursued aggressively. It is not a forecast of what role different abatement measures and technologies will play.
Source: Global GHG Abatement Cost Curve v2.1



14




Climate Change Policy

Direct and Indirect

 NATIONAL ECONOMIC
EDUCATION DELEGATION


15

15




Policies That Reduce Emissions: INDirectly

- Subsidizing R&D
- Grid / infrastructure
- Mandating renewable energy (*e.g.*, renewable portfolio standards)
- Land use policies

 NATIONAL ECONOMIC
EDUCATION DELEGATION

16

Atlanta and Barcelona Have Similar Populations but Very Different Carbon Productivity



NATIONAL ECONOMIC
EDUCATION DELEGATION

Source: New Climate Economy Report, 2014

17

Policies That Reduce Emissions: Directly

- **Regulation**
 - Emissions standards or limits
- **Market oriented policies**
 - Putting a price on emissions
 - Subsidizing green energy
 - Tax or cap & trade




NATIONAL ECONOMIC
EDUCATION DELEGATION

18


18

Putting a Price on Carbon

Suppose a Social Cost Of Carbon of \$50




Addressing a Negative Externality



The social cost of \$.02/kwh has been INTERNALIZED.

Carbon Tax and Cap & Trade: the Differences


	Carbon Tax	Cap & Trade

 NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

21

Carbon Tax and Cap & Trade: the Differences

	Carbon Tax	Cap & Trade
Carbon Price	Certain	Uncertain
Emissions	Uncertain	Certain
Ease of Implementation	May be easier to implement	
Additional concerns	1) Always generates revenue 2) May require legislation to change 3) Predictability	1) Susceptible to lobbying. 2) Only generates revenue if government sells permits. 3) Cap can be changed by regulator. 4) Less certainty over future. 5) Regulations reduce efficacy of Cap & Trade

 NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

22

One Other Thing: Cap and Trade vs. Carbon Tax

- **Emissions regulations and Cap and Trade can work at cross purposes.**
 - Regulations that lower emissions from big polluters...
 - Lower the demand for permits
 - Lowers the price of permits
 - Reduces incentives for other industries to cut emissions
- **Regulations can undermine the effectiveness of Cap and Trade.**
- **The same is not true of a carbon tax.**
 - Though regulations might cut tax revenue, revenue is not the goal of the carbon tax.



23

Thoughts on Regulation vs Market-Oriented

- **Equity.**
 - Both types of policies can be regressive.
 - Cap and Trade and a Carbon Tax can offset the regressivity.
 - Regulations do not.
- **Efficiency.**
 - Market-oriented policies tend to achieve emissions reduction at much lower cost.
 - Example: CAFÉ Standards vs Carbon Tax
 - Tax is significantly more efficient.
 - Why?



24

Efficiency: CAFÉ vs Carbon Tax

- **CAFÉ = Corporate Average Fuel Efficiency**

- A fuel economy standard mandating that an auto-maker's vehicle fleet must meet minimum fuel economy standards.

- **Horse Race**

- Tax on fuel applies to ALL vehicles, not just new.
- Rebound Effect:
 - o Driving a more efficient vehicle lowers the cost per mile driven
 - leading to more miles driven.
- Slower turnover of inefficient vehicles: higher cost of new.

- **Summary**

- A given level of emission reductions **costs 3-14 times more with CAFÉ** standards than under a comparable carbon tax.




25

Climate Change Policy in Action




26


California's Cap and Trade System (2013)



0.7%
of global
greenhouse gas
emissions


 NATIONAL ECONOMIC
EDUCATION DELEGATION

27



28

Sweden's Carbon Tax Policy




Started in 1991

Currently at \$140/ton

NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

29

Real GDP and Domestic CO₂eq Emissions¹ In Sweden, 1990-2016



Year	Real GDP (Index 1990=100)	Domestic CO ₂ eq Emissions (Index 1990=100)
1990	100	100
1995	105	105
2000	125	95
2005	145	90
2010	160	80
2016	175	75

¹ In accordance with Sweden's National Inventory Report, submitted under the UNFCCC and the Kyoto Protocol. CO₂ = approx. 80 % of total CO₂eq emissions. Preliminary data for 2016.

Sources: Swedish Environmental Protection Agency, Statistics Sweden

NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

30

Summary

- There are many ways to reduce emissions.
- Taxes and cap and trade are proven effective tools to fight climate change!
- Economics-inspired policies can help us do this at the lowest cost.
- Other tools may also be necessary.
 - Regulations may well be necessary in some circumstances, but they are generally inefficient.
- Scientists and the IPCC recommend that we work to keep warming below 1.5 degrees celsius.
 - *Economists believe that this goal is well worth the costs!*



NATIONAL ECONOMIC
EDUCATION DELEGATION

31

Thank you!

Any Questions?

www.NEEDelegation.org

Jon D. Haveman, Ph.D.

Jon@NEEDelegation.org



NATIONAL ECONOMIC
EDUCATION DELEGATION

32

32