


# Climate Change Economics

Jennifer Alix-Garcia, Ph.D.


Osher Lifelong Learning Institute  
June, 2021




1

## National Economic Education Delegation

- **Vision**
  - One day, the public discussion of policy issues will be grounded in an accurate perception of the underlying economic principles and data.
- **Mission**
  - NEED unites the skills and knowledge of a vast network of professional economists to promote understanding of the economics of policy issues in the United States.
- **NEED Presentations**
  - Are **nonpartisan** and intended to reflect the consensus of the economics profession.






---

2

2

## Who Are We?

- **Honorary Board: 54 members**

- 2 Fed Chairs: Janet Yellen, Ben Bernanke
- 6 Chairs Council of Economic Advisers
  - o Furman (D), Rosen (R), Bernanke (R), Yellen (D), Tyson (D), Goolsbee (D)
- 3 Nobel Prize Winners
  - o Akerlof, Smith, Maskin

- **Delegates: 590+ members**

- At all levels of academia and some in government service
- All have a Ph.D. in economics
- Crowdsource slide decks
- Give presentations

- **Global Partners: 45 Ph.D. Economists**

- Aid in slide deck development




NATIONAL ECONOMIC  
EDUCATION DELEGATION

3

3

## Where Are We?



NATIONAL ECONOMIC  
EDUCATION DELEGATION

4

4

## Credits and Disclaimer

- **This slide deck was authored by:**
  - Shana Mcdermott, Trinity University
  - Sarah Jacobson, Williams College
  - Sharon Shewmake, Western Washington University
- **This slide deck was reviewed by:**
  - Jason Shogren, University of Wyoming
  - Walter Thurman, North Carolina State University
- **Disclaimer**
  - NEED presentations are designed to be nonpartisan.
  - It is, however, inevitable that the presenter will be asked for and will provide their own views.
  - Such views are those of the presenter and not necessarily those of the National Economic Education Delegation (NEED).



5

## Outline

- **Climate change science**
- **Impacts of climate change**
- **Economics of responding to climate change**
- **Addressing the sources of our emissions**
- **Climate change policy**
- **Policy in action**



6

## How Can Economists Contribute to Thinking about Climate Change?

- By assessing behavioral reactions to climate change.
- By measuring the damage and estimating the economic costs of fighting climate change.
- By designing smart policies that minimize costs.
  - Balance economic growth with GHG emission mitigation.




7


## Climate Change Science



8



9



10

## Uncertainty

NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

11

## How Much Pollution Does Society Want?

### Analogy: How Many Oranges Does Society Want?

- People grow and sell oranges for a price that at least covers costs (**supply**).
- People will not pay more for them than what they consider to be their value (**demand**).
- Prices let **supply** and **demand** balance out. The price settles where:

# of oranges people want to sell = # of oranges people want to buy

- This is the “right” number of oranges for society.
- Prices reflect scarcity and the social value of the resource.

NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

12

## Electricity Is Different From Oranges

- Many sources of electricity generate pollution.
- Pollution is an **EXTERNALITY**:
  - a side effect (cost or benefit) that affects someone else when something is bought or sold.
  - This is a *market failure*.
- The price of electricity does not reflect all of the costs.
  - Electricity is too cheap.
  - There is too much pollution.



NATIONAL ECONOMIC  
EDUCATION DELEGATION

13

## Reflection:

- Take a moment to write into the chat box a situation in which some market activity creates either benefits or costs to someone outside of the market
  - Example 1: in the market for electricity, the cost of carbon emissions is borne by everyone, even people who do not use electricity
  - Example 2: in the market for beautiful front yard gardens, the joy of beautiful flowers is enjoyed by everyone who walks by, not just the owner of the garden




NATIONAL ECONOMIC  
EDUCATION DELEGATION

14

14

# Impacts of Climate Change

## Global Warming Indicators





## How These Impacts Affect Humans

- Agriculture
- Fisheries
- Coastal damages
- Direct health effects, including sickness and death (temperature & drought; also pollution)
- Indirect health effects (vector-borne disease)
- Reduced fresh water availability
- Wildfires
- Shifting zones for important ecosystems, and desertification
- Reduced worker productivity
- Increased violence
- Some of these may cause human migration and/or conflict



NATIONAL ECONOMIC  
EDUCATION DELEGATION

17

## Adaptation Reduces Damages

- Human *adaptations* are costly actions that can reduce damages from climate change.
- The **net cost to society** is the **cost of adaptation** plus the **cost of the remaining damages**.
- People will take some actions on their own, up to the point where they find it worthwhile.
- Some responses require government involvement: large-scale actions or actions with shared benefits.
- Adaptation is already underway.



NATIONAL ECONOMIC  
EDUCATION DELEGATION

18

## Individual-Level Adaptation Examples

- **Do you behave differently on a hot day?**

- Write what you do differently in the chat
- Staying inside more.
- Turn on the air conditioning.
- Plant at different times.
- Plant new crops.
- Think about moving.



NATIONAL ECONOMIC  
EDUCATION DELEGATION

19

## Public Adaptation

- **Governments can help:**

- When collective action is less costly than everyone acting alone.
- When individual action is not possible or likely.
- When some people can't protect themselves.

- **Sea walls**

- **Ecosystems that provide protection**

- **Supporting low-income and vulnerable populations**

- **Moving residents of a town**




NATIONAL ECONOMIC  
EDUCATION DELEGATION

20


# Market Based Adaptation

- **Prices and costs influence behavior.**
  - Where to live.
  - Where/when/what to plant.
- **Avoid barriers to market adjustment.**
  - Trade barriers, immigration restrictions, federal flood insurance, agricultural subsidies, and zoning regulations.




# Most Vulnerable People and Places

- **Tropical areas**
- **Low-lying coastal areas**
- **Low-income people**



## Projected Effects Vary Across the U.S. but Are Estimated at 1.2% of GDP per 1C Increase



**Fig. 2. Spatial distributions of projected damages.** County-level median values for average 2080 to 2099 RCP8.5 impacts. Impacts are changes relative to counterfactual "no additional climate change" trajectories. Color indicates magnitude of impact in median projection; outline color indicates level of agreement across projections (thin white outline, inner 66% of projections disagree in sign; no outline,  $\geq 83\%$  of projections agree in sign; black outline,  $\geq 95\%$  agree in sign; thick white outline, state borders; maps without outlines shown in fig. S2). Negative damages indicate economic gains. **(A)** Percent change in yields, area-weighted average for maize, wheat, soybeans, and cotton. **(B)** Change in all-cause mortality rates, across all age groups. **(C)** Change in electricity demand. **(D)** Change in labor supply of full-time-equivalent workers for low-risk jobs where workers are minimally exposed to outdoor temperature. **(E)** Same as (D), except for high-risk jobs where workers are heavily exposed to outdoor temperatures. **(F)** Change in damages from coastal storms. **(G)** Change in property-crime rates. **(H)** Change in violent-crime rates. **(I)** Median total direct economic damage across all sectors [(A) to (H)].


23

## Social Cost of Carbon


- Cost above price paid.
- The expected cost of damages from each unit of greenhouse gas emissions.
- Current EPA estimate: ~\$51 per metric ton of CO<sub>2</sub>.
  - About \$32 Billion for all vehicles in the US.
- Social cost of carbon will increase over time.



24



"The picture's pretty bleak, gentlemen. ...  
The world's climates are changing, the mammals  
are taking over, and we all have a brain  
about the size of a walnut."




NATIONAL ECONOMIC  
EDUCATION DELEGATION

---

25

# Economics of Responding to Climate Change



NATIONAL ECONOMIC  
EDUCATION DELEGATION

---


26

## International Climate Policy Goals

- **Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)**
  - Global effort to fight climate change
  - Reports on consensus of climate science, including economics
- **IPCC report in 2007:**
  - Recommended goal: < 2 degrees C (3.6 degrees F)
  - Industrialized countries should reduce GHG emissions between 25% and 40% below 1990 levels by 2020.
- **2016 Paris Agreement:**
  - Basic goal of 2 degrees C: requires 40-70% GHG reduction 2010 → 2050
  - Reach goal of 1.5 degrees C: requires 70-95% GHG reduction 2010 → 2050
- **IPCC report in 2018:**
  - Temperature has already increased by 1.0 degrees C - Recommended: < 1.5 C

## How Economists Decide How Much to Fight Climate Change

- **Cost Benefit Analysis**
- **Weigh:**



## Cost-Benefit Analysis of Fighting Climate Change

- Most economic models suggest the costs of keeping warming below 2°C are relatively small.
  - Costs amount to **1-4% of GDP by 2030.**
- Costs of acting to keep warming below 2°C are almost certainly less than future economic damages they would avoid.
  - Damages estimated to be between: **7 - 20% of worldwide GDP.**
- **Caveats:**
  - Putting a monetary value on priceless things
  - Inequality
  - Uncertainty and risk



## **Economic Growth and Climate Change Action Are Compatible**

- **Abating greenhouse gas emissions is costly...  
... but climate change damages are even more costly.**
- **Economic growth comes with consequences that we have to deal with, including climate consequences.**
- **Economies with environmental regulations can still be dynamic.**
- **Goal: design policies that reach climate goals at the least possible cost.**



NATIONAL ECONOMIC  
EDUCATION DELEGATION

31


## **Addressing the Sources of Our Emissions**




NATIONAL ECONOMIC  
EDUCATION DELEGATION

32







33



34




35



36

## Wind Turbines Have 100 Times More Power Generation Capabilities Than 30 Years Ago




NATIONAL ECONOMIC  
EDUCATION DELEGATION

37

## Challenges with Renewable Energy

- It's intermittent - only produced if there is sun or wind.
- Energy is needed all day and night, with peak times.
- Limited w/o storage.
  - Creative storage options are under development.



NATIONAL ECONOMIC  
EDUCATION DELEGATION

38

## Infrastructure and Climate Change

- **\$90 trillion in investment will be needed for U.S. infrastructure, 2015-2030.**
- **Add \$4 trillion (< 5%) to make it low-carbon infrastructure.**
  - This would also reduce climate damage to infrastructure.
  - Railway, urban transport, renewables.
- **The electrical grid is particularly troublesome.**
  - It is outdated and not suited for renewable energy storage.
  - Those with solar panels use the grid but contribute little to its upkeep.



NATIONAL ECONOMIC  
EDUCATION DELEGATION

39

## Climate Change Policy



NATIONAL ECONOMIC  
EDUCATION DELEGATION

40

## Policies That Reduce Emissions: Directly

- **Regulation**

- Emissions standards or limits
  - o E.g., CAFE standards

- **Market-oriented policies**

- Putting a price on emissions
  - o Subsidizing green energy (*e.g.*, feed-in tariffs)
  - o Tax or cap & trade



## How Does Cap and Trade Work?

- **Activities to be covered are determined.**
- **Acceptable emissions levels are indicated.**
- **“Permits” that allow acceptable emissions levels are issued.**
  - How?
    - o According to historical emissions?
    - o Evenly across emitters?
    - o Sold at some price?
- **A “market” is developed.**
- **Those desiring to emit will have to buy sufficient permits to accommodate their emissions.**
- **Those wishing to abate will offer their permits on the “market”.**
  - The price of a permit indicates:
    - o The benefit of eliminating further emissions.
    - o The cost of emitting.
- **Gov’t agency determines equality of permits in possession and emissions.**




# How Does a Carbon Tax Work?

- Activities to be covered are determined.
- The price of emissions is determined.
  - Presumably some relation to the social cost of polluting.
- Emissions are measured.
- Taxes are determined.
- Q: What to do with the tax revenue?

43

# Putting a Price on Carbon



44

## Carbon Prices: the Good and Bad

- **Good:**

- Provide price signal to lower emissions.
- They yield low-cost reductions in emissions.
- They spur innovation in clean technologies.

- **Bad:**

- Firms might leave to flee regulation.
- It is necessary to monitor emissions.
- Potentially regressive
  - o Costs may weigh more heavily on low-income households.



NATIONAL ECONOMIC  
EDUCATION DELEGATION

45

## Carbon Tax and Cap & Trade: the Differences

	Carbon Tax	Cap & Trade
--	------------	-------------



NATIONAL ECONOMIC  
EDUCATION DELEGATION

46

## Carbon Tax and Cap & Trade: the Differences

	Carbon Tax	Cap & Trade
Carbon Price	Certain	Uncertain
Emissions	Uncertain	Certain
Ease of Implementation	May be easier to implement	
Additional concerns	1) Always generates revenue 2) May require legislation to change 3) Predictability	1) Susceptible to lobbying. 2) Only generates revenue if government sells permits. 3) Cap can be changed by regulator. 4) Less certainty over future. 5) Regulations reduce efficacy of Cap & Trade

## Thoughts on Regulation vs Market-Oriented

### • Equity.

- Both types of policies are regressive.
  - o Cap and Trade and a Carbon Tax can offset the regressivity.
  - o Regulations do not.

### • Efficiency.

- Market-oriented policies tend to achieve emissions reduction at much lower cost.
  - o Example: CAFÉ Standards vs Carbon Tax
    - Tax is significantly more efficient.
    - Why?



## **Policies That Reduce Emissions: INDirectly**

- **Subsidizing R&D**
- **Grid / infrastructure**
- **Energy efficiency mandates and subsidies**
- **Mandating renewable energy (*e.g.*, renewable portfolio standards)**
- **Land use policies**



NATIONAL ECONOMIC  
EDUCATION DELEGATION


49

## **Climate Change Policy in Action**



NATIONAL ECONOMIC  
EDUCATION DELEGATION

50



51



52

## Cap and Trade Policies Around the World

Summary map of regional, national and subnational carbon pricing initiatives

Data last updated April, 01 2021

**STATUS**

- Implemented
- Scheduled
- Under consideration

**TYPE OF INSTRUMENT**

- Carbon tax
- ETS
- Undecided

**TYPE OF JURISDICTION**

- National
- Regional
- Subnational

● ETS implemented or scheduled for implementation  
● ETS and carbon tax implemented or scheduled  
● Carbon tax implemented or scheduled for implementation  
● ETS implemented or scheduled, ETS or carbon tax under con...  
● ETS or carbon tax under consideration  
● Carbon tax implemented or scheduled, ETS under considera...

**ETS = Emissions Trading System = Cap and Trade**

NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

Source: World Bank - Carbon Pricing Dashboard

53


## California's Cap and Trade System: 2012+

**0.7%**  
of global  
greenhouse gas  
emissions


NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

54


## California's System Is Flexible




- California's goals:**
  - Reduce emissions to 1990 levels by 2020
  - An 80% reduction in emissions from 1990 levels by 2030
- California's Tools:**
  - Cap and Trade
  - Renewable Portfolio Standard
  - Clean Cars Program
  - Low Carbon Fuel Standard

 NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION


55



56



57



58

**Sweden's Carbon Tax Policy**




**Oldest  
Carbon  
Tax**




NATIONAL ECONOMIC  
EDUCATION DELEGATION

59

**Sweden's Carbon Tax Policy**




**Started  
in 1991**  
Currently at \$140/ton



NATIONAL ECONOMIC  
EDUCATION DELEGATION

60

## Real GDP and Domestic CO<sub>2</sub>eq Emissions<sup>1</sup> In Sweden, 1990-2016



<sup>1</sup> In accordance with Sweden's National Inventory Report, submitted under the UNFCCC and the Kyoto Protocol. CO<sub>2</sub> = approx. 80 % of total CO<sub>2</sub>eq emissions. Preliminary data for 2016.

**Sources:** Swedish Environmental Protection Agency, Statistics Sweden



NATIONAL ECONOMIC  
EDUCATION DELEGATION

61

## Summary

- Climate change is real, is caused by human actions, and has impacts we're already feeling.
- We need to reduce emissions to balance the costs of action against the costs of inaction.
- Scientists and the IPCC recommend that we work to keep warming below 1.5 degrees celcius.

- *Economists believe that this goal is well worth the costs!*



NATIONAL ECONOMIC  
EDUCATION DELEGATION

62

## Summary – *continued*

- There are many ways to reduce emissions.
- Economics-inspired policies can help us do this at the lowest cost.
- Taxes and cap and trade are proven effective tools to fight climate change!
- Other tools may also be necessary.



NATIONAL ECONOMIC  
EDUCATION DELEGATION

63

## Thank you!

# Any Questions?

[www.NEEDelegation.org](http://www.NEEDelegation.org)

Jennifer Alix-Garcia

[jennifer.alix-garcia@oregonstate.edu](mailto:jennifer.alix-garcia@oregonstate.edu)

Contact NEED: [Info@NEEDelegation.org](mailto:Info@NEEDelegation.org)

Submit a testimonial: [www.NEEDelegation.org/testimonials.php](http://www.NEEDelegation.org/testimonials.php)



NATIONAL ECONOMIC  
EDUCATION DELEGATION

64

64



## Available NEED Topics Include:

- Coronavirus Economics
- US Economy
- Climate Change
- Economic Inequality
- Economic Mobility
- Trade and Globalization
- Minimum Wages
- Immigration Economics
- Housing Policy
- Federal Budgets
- Federal Debt
- Black-White Wealth Gap
- Autonomous Vehicles
- US Social Policy

