NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

Climate Change Economics

Sarah E. West, Ph.D.
Macalester College

680 CIX

August 18, 2021

1

National Economic Education Delegation

- **Vision**
  - One day, the public discussion of policy issues will be grounded in an accurate perception of the underlying economic principles and data.
- **Mission**
  - NEED unites the skills and knowledge of a vast network of professional economists to promote understanding of the economics of policy issues in the United States.
- **NEED Presentations**
  - Are **nonpartisan** and intended to reflect the consensus of the economics profession.

2

Who Are We?

- **Honorary Board: 53 members**
  - 2 Fed Chairs: Janet Yellen, Ben Bernanke
  - 6 Chairs Council of Economic Advisers
    - Furman (D), Rosen (R), Bernanke (R), Yellen (D), Tyson (D), Goolsbee (D)
  - 3 Nobel Prize Winners
    - Akerlof, Smith, Maskin
- **Delegates: 585+ members**
  - At all levels of academia and some in government service
  - All have a Ph.D. in economics
  - Crowdsource slide decks
  - Give presentations
- **Global Partners: 45 Ph.D. Economists**
  - Aid in slide deck development

3

Credits and Disclaimer

- **This slide deck was authored by:**
  - Shana Mcdermott, Trinity University
  - Sarah Jacobson, Williams College
  - Sharon Shewmake, Western Washington University
- **This slide deck was reviewed by:**
  - Jason Shogren, University of Wyoming
  - Walter Thurman, North Carolina State University
- **Disclaimer**
  - NEED presentations are designed to be nonpartisan.
  - It is, however, inevitable that the presenter will be asked for and will provide their own views.
  - Such views are those of the presenter and not necessarily those of the National Economic Education Delegation (NEED).

4

Outline

- Climate change science
- Impacts of climate change
- Economics of responding to climate change
- Addressing the sources of our emissions
- Climate change policy
- Policy in action

NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

5

How Can Economists Contribute to Thinking about Climate Change?

- By assessing behavioral reactions to climate change.
- By measuring the damage and estimating the economic costs of fighting climate change.
- By designing smart policies that minimize costs.
  - Balance economic growth with GHG emission mitigation.

NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

6

6

Climate Change Science

NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

7

Atmospheric CO_2 Concentrations



NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

Source: IPCC data distribution center and climate.gov

8

The Atmospheric Greenhouse Effect



NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

9

Uncertainty

NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

10

How Much Pollution Does Society Want?

Analogy: How Many Oranges Does Society Want?

- People grow and sell oranges for a price that at least covers costs (***supply***).
- People will not pay more for them than what they consider to be their value (***demand***).
- Prices let ***supply*** and ***demand*** balance out. The price settles where:

# of oranges people want to sell = # of oranges people want to buy

- This is the "right" number of oranges for society.
- Prices reflect scarcity and the social value of the resource.

NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

11

Electricity Is Different From Oranges

- **Many sources of electricity generate pollution.**
- **Pollution is an EXTERNALITY:**
  - a side effect (cost or benefit) that affects someone else when something is bought or sold.
  - This is a ***market failure.***
- **The price of electricity does not reflect all of the costs.**
  - Electricity is too cheap.
  - There is too much pollution.



NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

12

Impacts of Climate Change

NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

13

How These Impacts Affect Humans

- Agriculture
- Fisheries
- Coastal damages
- Direct health effects, including sickness and death (temperature & drought; also pollution)
- Indirect health effects (vector-borne disease)
- Reduced fresh water availability
- Wildfires
- Shifting zones for important ecosystems, and desertification
- Reduced worker productivity
- Increased violence
- Some of these may cause human migration and/or conflict

NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

14

Real Estate Markets

- Sea level rise
- Wildfire risk
- Extreme weather events
  - Hurricanes
  - Extreme rainfall
  - Drought
- Water supplies, electricity reliability
- Residential markets affected
- Turnover leading indicator



NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

15

Projected Effects Vary Across the U.S. but Are Estimated at 1.2% of GDP per 1C Increase



Fig. 2. Spatial distributions of projected damages. County-level median values for average 2080 to 2099 RCP8.5 impacts. Impacts are changes relative to counterfactual "no additional climate change" trajectories. Color indicates magnitude of impact in median projection; outline color indicates level of agreement across projections (thin white outline, inner 66% of projections disagree in sign; no outline, ≥83% of projections agree in sign; black outline, ≥95% agree in sign; thick white outline, state borders; maps without outlines shown in fig. S2). Negative damages indicate economic gains. (**A**) Percent change in yields, area-weighted average for maize, wheat, soybeans, and cotton. (**B**) Change in all-cause mortality rates, across all age groups. (**C**) Change in electricity demand. (**D**) Change in labor supply of full-time-equivalent workers for low-risk jobs where workers are minimally exposed to outdoor temperature. (**E**) Same as (D), except for high-risk jobs where workers are heavily exposed to outdoor temperatures. (**F**) Change in damages from coastal storms. (**G**) Change in property-crime rates. (**H**) Change in violent-crime rates. (**I**) Median total direct economic damage across all sectors [(A) to (H)].

NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

16



"The picture's pretty bleak, gentlemen. … The world's climates are changing, the mammals are taking over, and we all have a brain about the size of a walnut."

NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

17

Economics of Responding to Climate Change

NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

18

International Climate Policy Goals

- **Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)**
  - Global effort to fight climate change
  - Reports on consensus of climate science, including economics
- **IPCC report in 2018:**
  - Temperature has already increased by 1.0 degrees C - Recommended: < 1.5 C
- **2016 Paris Agreement:**
  - Reach goal of 1.5 degrees C: requires 70-95% GHG reduction 2010 → 2050

NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

19

How Economists Decide How Much to Fight Climate Change

- **Cost Benefit Analysis**
- **Weigh:**



NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

20

Economic Growth and Climate Change Action Are Compatible

- Abating greenhouse gas emissions is costly…

  … but climate change damages are even more costly.

- Economic growth comes with consequences that we have to deal with, including climate consequences.
- Economies with environmental regulations can still be dynamic.
- Goal: design policies that reach climate goals at the least possible cost.

NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

21

Addressing the Sources of Our Emissions

NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

22

Total U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions by Economic Sector in 2018



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2020). Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990-2018

NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

23

Fossil Fuels Dominate U.S. Energy Production





NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

24

Indicative Solar Costs Over Time



Note: *Solar PV costs can vary by ~50% or more up or down depending on solar resource and local non-technology costs, and even more with variations in capital and financing costs. Assuming 9.25% WACC, 17% capacity factor for solar PV, US$70/t coal price and US$10/MMBtu natural gas price. The estimated lowest 2014 utility-scale cost is based on a recent power purchasing agreement by Austin Energy, Texas (adjusted for subsidies).*

Sources: *Historical solar PV costs: Channell et al., 2012, and Nemet, 2006; illustrative fossil fuel range based on US LCOE for conventional coal from US EIA, 2014 (upper range) and capital cost assumptions from IEA, 2014 (lower range).*

NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

25

Wind Turbines Have 100 Times More Power Generation Capabilities Than 30 Years Ago



Source: Adapted from the European Wind Energy Association.

NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

26

Climate Change Policy

NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

27

Policies That Reduce Emissions: Directly

- **Regulation**
  - Emissions standards or limits
    - E.g., CAFE standards
- **Market-oriented policies**
  - Putting a price on emissions
    - Subsidizing green energy (*e.g.*, feed-in tariffs)
    - Tax or cap & trade

NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

28

28

Thoughts on Regulation vs Market-Oriented

- **Equity.**
  - Both types of policies are regressive.
    - Cap and Trade and a Carbon Tax can offset the regressivity.
    - Regulations do not.
- **Efficiency.**
  - Market-oriented policies tend to achieve emissions reduction at much lower cost.
    - Example: CAFÉ Standards vs Carbon Tax
      - Tax is significantly more efficient.
      - Why?

29

Climate Change Policy in Action

30

California's Cap and Trade System: 2012+

0.7%

of global greenhouse gas emissions

NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

31

California's System Is Flexible

- **California's goals:**
  - Reduce emissions to 1990 levels by 2020
  - An 80% reduction in emissions from 1990 levels by 2030
- **California's Tools:**
  - Cap and Trade
  - Renewable Portfolio Standard
  - Clean Cars Program
  - Low Carbon Fuel Standard

NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

32

Change in California GDP, Population, and GHG Emissions since 2000



NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

33

Summary

- Climate change is real, is caused by human actions, and has impacts we're already feeling.
- We need to reduce emissions to balance the costs of action against the costs of inaction.
- Scientists and the IPCC recommend that we work to keep warming below 1.5 degrees celsius.
  - *Economists believe that this goal is well worth the costs!*

NATIONAL ECONOMIC EDUCATION DELEGATION

34

Summary – *continued*

- There are many ways to reduce emissions.
- Economics-inspired policies can help us do this at the lowest cost.
- Taxes and cap and trade are proven effective tools to fight climate change!
- Other tools may also be necessary.

Thank you!

Any Questions?

www.NEEDelegation.org
Sarah E. West
wests@macalester.edu

Contact NEED: Info@NEEDelegation.org

Submit a testimonial: www.NEEDelegation.org/testimonials.php