Turlock, California

Indicators Report

by
The National Economic Education Delegation (NEED)

April 21, 2024

Exploring the economics, demographics, and well-being of Turlock and its residents through indicators.

This report was produced by the:

National Economic Education Delegation 271 Arias St. San Rafael, CA 94903 415-336-5705 www.NEEDEcon.org Contact: Jon@NEEDEcon.org

Executive Summary

Assessing the City with Indicators

About this Report

This report provides background or summary information for the city of Turlock (the City) in the form of indicators.

Using this Report

Indicators are measures of various aspects of a regional economy. They help to provide an indication of the quality of life in a region and progress toward improving conditions in the local economy. This report focuses on indicators for changing demographics, incomes, housing markets, commute patterns, and employment in Turlock. These indicators are compared to Stanislaus County (the County) as a whole, a broader region where one is well defined, California, and the United Sates.

This report is vital for understanding trends in the underlying economy. It does not provide forecasts, but Rob Eyler and Jon Haveman at Economic Forensics and Analytics are available to provide them if that is of interest.

Topics Covered:

- Demographics: A detailed snopshot of Turlock demographics is presented. This provides evidence on the size, age and sex, income and poverty status, race and ethnicity, housing status, living arrangements, education, health, and transportation choices of the population. Beyond the current population level, data on trends in local population growth, in comparison with other broader regions is presented, in both tabular and graphical form.
- **Employment Report:** Here, we provide a brief snapshot or employment and unemployment in Turlock and how the City's experience differs from broader regions.
- Income and Earnings: Vital to understanding the prosperity of a city relative to its surrounding
 area is information on income and earnings. We provide a ranking of the City's income relative to
 all cities in California as well as growth relative to local regions. Inequality and poverty status are
 also important indicators for the level of equity in the community. We provide evidence of trends
 in both, not only for all residents, but also for children separately.
- Housing: This section provides evidence on the cost and availability of housing. Both median home values and rental costs are included, along with detailed information on home ownership, by age and income, in particular. Further, evidence is provided on the housing burden in the City, again, in comparison with other broader regions. We also provide evidence on the rate at which new buildings and units are permitted along with a broader housing picture. Finally, we provide evidence on the age of the housing stock in Turlock, along with information on how long the City's residents have been in place.
- Transportation: Increasingly important, in the wake of the pandemic, is an understanding of
 the transportation patterns and choices of local residents. We provide detailed evidence on the
 proprotion of residents who work from home and on the various transportation choices of those
 who head to the office. This information is also provided for those who work in Turlock, but do
 not necessarily live in Turlock.
- **Migration:** Population changes comes primarily through organic causes: births and deaths. Migration between regions also plays a significant role in population growth. A final section of the report provides evidence on migration into and out of the City.

Contents

Executive Summary Assessing the City with Indicators	1 1
Demographics A Demographic Snapshot Current Population	3 3 5
Employment Report Citywide Employment and Unemployment	8 9 10
Per Capita Personal Income Growth	16 16 19
Housing Costs and Affordability	27
Mode of Transportation	34 34 36 37 38 40
Overall Migration Flows	12 12 14

Demographics

Definition:

Data on the demographics of a city indicate the nature of the population, with a focus on age, gender, race and ethnicity, as well as household compositon.

Why is it important?

The characteristics and growth of Turlock's population are fundamental indicators of the city's growth potential.

A Demographic Snapshot

Statistic	2022	2019
POPULATION		
Population Estimate (#, 5yr)	72,504.0	72,904.0
Veterans (#, 5yr)	2.147.0	2,350.0
Foreign born persons (%, 5yr)	21.8	22.2
Population age 25+ (#, 5yr)	45,526.0	46,462.0
AGE AND SEX	-,	,
Persons under 5 years (%, 5yr)	6.9	6.7
Persons under 18 years (%, 5yr)	26.2	26.6
Persons 65 years and over (%, 5yr)	14.1	13.1
Female persons (%, 5yr)	52.0	52.6
INCOME AND POVERTY		
Median household income (\$, 5yr)	74,559.0	59,681.0
Per capita income in past 12 months (\$, 5yr)	33,194.0	28,004.0
Persons in poverty (%, 5yr)	13.1	15.5
Children age less than 18 in poverty (#, 5yr)	2,965.0	3,803.0
Children age less than 18 in poverty (%, 5yr)	15.9	19.9
RACE AND ETHNICITY		
White alone (%, 5yr)	58.1	76.4
African American alone (%, 5yr)	2.3	2.7
American Indian or Alaska Native alone (%, 5yr)	0.5	0.7
Asian alone (%, 5yr)	6.0	6.0
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone (%, 5yr)	0.4	0.3
Two or More Races (%, 5yr)	13.0	4.6
Hispanic or Latino (%, 5yr)	44.4	37.4
White alone, not Hispanic or Latino (%, 5yr)	41.9	50.4
HOUSING		
Housing units (#, 5yr)	25,692.0	26,099.0
Owner-occupied housing units (%, 5yr)	55.0	53.7
Median value of owner-occupied housing units (\$, 5yr)	400,500.0	310,100.0
Median selected monthly owner costs-with a mortgage (\$, 5yr)	2,051.0	1,757.0
Median selected monthly owner costs-without a mortgage (\$, 5yr)	636.0	465.0
Median gross rent (\$, 5yr)	1,389.0	1,123.0
FAMILIES AND LIVING ARRANGEMENTS		
Households (#, 5yr)	24,733.0	25,182.0
Persons per household (#, 5yr)	2.9	2.9
Living in same house 1 year ago, % of persons age 1+ (5yr) EDUCATION	87.3	86.3
High school graduate or higher, % of persons age 25+ (5yr)	81.3	81.6
Bachelor's degree or higher, % of persons age 25+ (5yr)	26.1	25.1
HEALTH	_*	
With a disability, under age 65 years (#, 5yr)	5,022.0	5,030.0
Persons without health insurance, under age 65 years (%, 5yr)	5.3	4.8
LABOR FORCE		
In civilian labor force, persons age 16+ (%, 5yr)	61.5	62.0
In civilian labor force, women age 16+ (%, 5yr)	53.7	54.3
Employed, persons age 16+ (%, 5yr)	55.3	56.2
Self employed (%, 5yr)	5.8	6.3
TRANSPORTATION	2.0	2.0
Mean travel time to work, workers age 16+ (Mins., 5yr)	24.2	24.9
Drive alone in private vehicle (%, 5yr)	81.0	83.4
Using public transportation (%, 5yr)	0.7	1.4
osing public transportation (70, 391)	0.7	1.7

Source: American Community Survey, Summary Files
Note: Data are from the 1-year files unless indicated by the notation 5yr.

Current Population

The data in these two tables and the following two graphs are from the CA Department of Finance (DOF). The DOF produces population estimates for geographies around California twice a year: January and July. As estimates for cities are only available in January, these two tables are based on the January data. The remaining figures are from the American Community Survey (ACS), provided annually by the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

Table 1. Population Change by Region

(Thousands, January to January)

	2023		% Cha	nge
Region	Population	1 Year	3 Year	5 Year
	С	ity		
Turlock	70,856	-0.50	-5.56	-4.22
	County and Bi	roader Re	gions	
Stanislaus County	545,939	-0.51	-1.62	-1.47
South Central Valley	3,534,481	0.01	-0.90	0.05
California	38,940,231	-0.35	-1.79	-2.01

Source: CA DOF; Calculations by National Economic Education Delegation

Table 2. County Population Change by City (Thousands, January to January)

				% Change	
City	2022	2023	Local	South Central Valley	California
Stanislaus County	548.7	545.9	-0.51	0.01	-0.35
Modesto	217.7	217.0	-0.32		
Turlock	71.2	70.9	-0.50		
Ceres	48.2	47.7	-0.99		
Riverbank	24.7	24.7	0.10		
Patterson	24.1	24.3	0.72		
Oakdale	23.2	23.0	-1.12		
Newman	12.2	12.0	-1.00		
Waterford	8.9	9.0	1.23		
Hughson	7.5	7.6	0.91		

Source: CA DOF; Calculations by National Economic Education Delegation

Figure 1: Population Growth (1)




Figure 2: Population Growth (2)





Figure 3: Population by Age - Detailed Age Categories







Figure 4: Population by Age - Broad Age Categories








Figure 5: Population by Educational Attainment






Turlock Race/Ethnicity, 2022 46.2% Black, Nonhispanic White, Nonhispanic Asian, Nonhispanic Other, Nonhispanic Hispanic Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 1-yr American Community Survey Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)

Figure 6: Population by Race/Ethnicity





Employment Report

Citywide Employment and Unemployment

Definition:

Each month, California's Employment Development Division (EDD) publishes an update on employment in California and in MSAs, counties, and cities all across the state. The report focuses primarily on non-farm employment, providing estimates of changes in em-

ployment by industry as well as unemployment in each region. Data for cities is limited to aggregate employment, labor force, and unemployment data. Those are reported below.

Why is it important?


Employment growth is a fundamental indicator of the health of an economy.

Table 3. Turlock Summary for March, 2024

	Change From:							
Category	Current Value	Last Month	2 Months Ago	Last Year				
Employment	8,924	-30	-53	-103				
Labor Force	9,644	9	15	96				
Number Unemployed	678	-4	21	97				
Unemployment Rate	7.0	-0.0	0.2	0.9				

Source: EDD, National Economic Education Delegation

Figure 8: Historical Employment and Unemploy- Figure 9: Employment and Unemployment - Last








Figure 10: Relative Employment Growth Across Figure 11: Relative Employment Growth Across Regions - since 2010 Regions - since 2019





County Employment by Industry

California's Employment Development Division (EDD) does not regularly produce data on employment by industry for cities. However, we are able to report industry-level employment data for Stanislaus County. The following table provides the latest data for the County.

Table 4. Employment Growth by Industry in Stanislaus County for March, 2024

			Empl		% Growt	h - Annu	alized R	ate	
Industry	Employment	Share	Growth	Month	Qtr	6mo	1yr	3yr	5yr
Total Nonfarm	195,016	100.0	1,200.4	7.7	2.3	5.2	4.2	3.6	1.6
Total Private	162,489	83.3	1,058.1	8.2	2.7	4.3	3.8	3.2	1.6
Goods Producing	37,130	19.0	42.1	1.4	1.4	9.4	8.6	4.8	3.1
Mining, Logging and Construction	11,459	5.9	172.7	20.0	6.1	9.0	10.6	3.5	1.8
Manufacturing	25,495	13.1	-30.5	-1.4	-2.3	7.7	7.3	5.4	3.7
Durable Goods	5,600	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	-3.5	-3.4	-1.1	-0.4
Non-Durable Goods	19,938	10.2	-24.5	-1.5	-4.5	15.3	10.8	7.7	5.1
Service Providing	158,084	81.1	1,064.5	8.4	3.4	4.3	3.2	3.3	1.2
Trade, Trans & Utilities	39,054	20.0	95.6	3.0	1.2	-0.0	1.0	1.6	0.9
Wholesale Trade	5,369	2.8	39.5	9.3	-1.6	-0.9	0.2	-2.0	-2.7
Retail Trade	22,817	11.7	55.2	2.9	2.2	0.0	0.4	0.1	0.1
Information	800	0.4	200.0	3,056.9	70.6	30.6	0.0	4.8	-4.0
Financial Activities	4,738	2.4	47.9	13.0	-3.7	-3.9	-4.1	-1.5	-2.0
Professional & Business Srvcs	14,864	7.6	222.2	19.8	3.9	5.6	2.7	-2.0	-0.3
Educational & Health Srvcs	38,859	19.9	333.4	10.9	5.9	7.2	6.8	3.6	2.6
Education Srvcs	1,432	0.7	9.4	8.3	-16.7	8.5	6.7	7.7	1.0
Health Care & Social Assistance	37,403	19.2	310.3	10.5	6.2	7.2	6.8	3.4	2.7
Leisure & Hospitality	20,778	10.7	-26.9	-1.5	-0.5	-0.8	-0.4	8.9	1.6
Other Srvcs	6,276	3.2	13.2	2.6	3.0	3.0	3.3	6.3	1.7
Government	32,481	16.7	77.5	2.9	4.9	7.9	5.9	5.5	1.5
Federal	700	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	-4.2	-2.5
State	2,232	1.1	-0.2	-0.1	3.1	5.8	4.7	5.2	0.9
Local	29,560	15.2	75.7	3.1	5.1	8.0	5.6	5.6	1.5
County	4,900	2.5	-100.0	-21.5	8.6	4.2	4.3	2.2	0.4
City	2,715	1.4	26.4	12.4	4.0	9.6	8.0	5.7	1.5
Local Government Education	20,500	10.5	500.0	34.5	14.9	22.8	6.2	7.3	1.9

Source: EDD, National Economic Education Delegation (NEED)

Some Employee Detail

Employed in Turlock







Figure 12: Employment by Occupation






53.8 Speak only English Speak Spanish (SS) 23.1 SS - English very well 20.6 SS - English less than very well 12.5 13.0 Speak other languages (SOL) 10.2 9.2 SOL - English very well 6.8 SOL - English less than very well 20 40 60 Percent (%) of Workers Turlock Stanislaus County Source: American Community Survey, 2022 5-yr Summary Files. Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org).

Figure 14: Language Spoken at Home





Employed Residents of Turlock






Figure 16: Employment by Occupation





55.8 Speak only English Speak Spanish (SS) 22.7 SS - English very well 21.8 SS - English less than very well 13.5 12.0 Speak other languages (SOL) 9.2 8.3 SOL - English very well SOL - English less than very well 20 40 60 Percent (%) of Workers Turlock Stanislaus County Source: American Community Survey, 2022 5-yr Summary Files. Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org).

Figure 18: Language Spoken at Home



Employed Residents vs Workers in Turlock





Figure 20: Employment by Occupation





Percent of Workers 55.8 Speak only English 53.8 32.3 33.2 Speak Spanish (SS) 22.7 23.1 SS - English very well 9.6 10.0 SS - English less than very well 12.0 Speak other languages (SOL) 13.0 8.3 SOL - English very well SOL - English less than very well 20 Ó 40 60 **Employed Residents** Locally Employed Source: American Community Survey, 2022 5-yr Summary Files. Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org).

Figure 22: Language Spoken at Home

Figure 23: Citizenship



Income and Earnings

Per Capita Income Growth

Definition:

Per capita income is the average income per person in Turlock. Personal income is the income received by, or on behalf of, all persons from all sources: from participation as laborers in production, from owning a home or unincorporated business, from the ownership of financial assets, and from government and business in the form of transfer receipts. Noncash government benefits are not included.

Why is it important?

Income is the money that is available to persons for consumption expenditures, taxes, interest payments, transfer payments to governments and the rest of the world, or for saving. As such, it is an important indicator of economic well-being in a community.





Figure 24: Real Per Capita Income Ranking Among California Cities


Figure 25: Regional Comparison of Growth over Time Over the last 1, 5, and 10 years 130 Annual Growth Rate to 2022 (%) Indexed to 100 in 2010 120 110 100 -2 Ave. 2005 2010 2015 2025 10 Years Year: Through 2022 1 Year 5 Years Turlock (117.4%) Stanislaus County (115.6%) Turlock Stanislaus County California (127.1%) United States United States (119.5%) California Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 1-yr American Community Survey Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org) Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 1-yr American Community Survey Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)

Real Per Capita Income Ranking Among California Cities - w/Comparable Populations



Real Per Capita Income Ranking Among Cities in Stanislaus County

Figure 28: Income Levels Figure 29: Growth over Time Modesto (2) 33.0 TURLOCK (1) 2.7 TURLOCK (1) 35.2 Modesto (2) -5.7 20 40 -5 -10 Ò Per Capita Income in 2022, Thousands of Dollars Percent (%) Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2022 1-yr American Community Survey
The # in parentheses is the ranking out of 2 geographies.
Geographies are selected and ranked based on population.
These are the cities in the same county as the target city.
Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org) Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2022 1-yr American Community Survey The # in parentheses is the ranking out of 2 geographies. Geographies are selected and ranked based on population. These are the cities in the same county as the target city. Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)



Poverty and Inequality


Definition:


The local poverty rate provides an indication of the well-being of those at the bottom of the income distribution. The federal poverty rate measures the proportion of households in the region that are classified as living in poverty. Also included are measures of the extent to which the City's children are impoverished. Measures of the income distribution provide

further evidence on disparities in income in the region and how those disparities have changed over time.

Why is it important?

It is important to track measures of poverty and inequality to assess the extent of income disparities in the region, with an eye toward understanding how well the local economy is performing for all of its citizens.






2022 50 Percent of All Income 40 30 20 10 0 Third Quintile Second Quintile Bottom Quintile Fourth Quintile Top Quintile Top 5% Turlock Stanislaus County **United States** California Source: American Community Survey, 1-yr Summary Files Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)

Figure 32: Shares Across the Income Distribution





Housing

Housing Costs and Affordability


Definition:


Housing costs are measured in several different ways. First, we provide evidence on the evolution of median home prices, median rental price, and finally through evidence on the housing burden in the city and comparison regions. Housing burden is defined as a household needing to commit more than 30% of their household income toward housing costs. The median value is the amount in the middle. Fifty percent of units are above the median and 50 percent are below.

Why is it important?

Housing is one of three fundamental necessities, along with food and clothing. A measure of the cost of housing is an integral part of the measurement of the cost of living in a specific community. This is particularly true in cities and regions throughout the Bay Area, where housing costs are high relative to income.

Cost of Housing in Turlock and Broader Regions





Housing Ownership in Turlock and Broader Regions

Figure 36: Home Ownership Rates




Figure 37: Home Ownership by Age




Figure 38: Income by Tenure




Figure 39: Income Distribution by Tenure




Figure 40: Income Distribution of Home Owners





Figure 41: Income Distribution of Renters



Housing Burden in Turlock and Broader Regions

Figure 42: Home Owners w/ A Mortgage




Figure 43: Home Owners w/o A Mortgage




Figure 44: Renters





Figure 45: Homeowner Housing Burden by Age



Housing Picture

Definition:

Housing costs are measured in several different ways. First, we provide evidence on the evolution of median home prices, median rental price, and finally through evidence on the housing burden in the city and comparison regions. The median value is the amount in the middle. Fifty percent of units are above the median and 50 percent are below.

Why is it important?

In areas where the rate of population growth exceeds the rate of housing growth, this is likely to reflect a tightening housing market. A tightening housing market will also likely be reflected in lower vacancy rates and higher occupancy rates. It may also be reflected in higher numbers of people per household.

Table 5. Housing Market Indicators

	% Change f					
Indicator	2023	2019	2010	2019	2010	
Total Population	70,856.0	73,874.0	68,549.0	-4.1	3.4	
Total # of Homes	25,388.0	24,931.0	24,627.0	1.8	3.1	
# Occupied Units	24,338.0	23,891.0	22,772.0	1.9	6.9	
Persons per Household	2.9	3.0	3.0	-5.9	-3.4	
Vacancy Rate (%)	4.1	4.2	7.5	-0.9	-45.1	

Source: CA DOF; Calculations by the National Economic Education Delegation

Figure 46: Housing Growth




Figure 47: Persons per Household




Figure 48: Vacancy Rates





Figure 49: Number of Occupanied Units



Trends in the Growth of Housing by Housing Type

Figure 50: Single Detached Homes

Percent Change Since 2010

Figure 51: Single Attached Homes






Figure 52: Housing in Buildings with Two to Four Figure 53: Housing in Buildings with Five or More Units

Units





Vintage of Residential Housing

Why is it important?

This section provides evidence on the year in which residential housing in Turlock was built. We break it down into owned versus rented residences and provide a comparison across Stanislaus County and broader regions. A sense of the age of housing in a region provides an indication of the urgency with which a region might pursue additional housing. As the

housing stock ages, an urgency with which renovations and rebuilds are permitted might result. All things equal, more recently constructed housing will be more likely to meet current codes and standards. Remodeling of existing units will be more desirable when existing units are, on average, older.




Figure 54: Distribution of Housing Construction

Figure 55: Housing Vintage across Regions




Figure 56: Housing Vintage by Tenure




Figure 57: Vintage of Owned Residences




Figure 58: Vintage of Rented Residences





Figure 59: Vintage of All Residences



Occupation of Residential Housing

Why is it important?

The duration of residence in a city is important for developing future policies regarding growing the local population. If a region is highly mobile, evidenced by most residences having been recently occupied, a city might propose policies to reduce that mobility, or ask why the mobility happens. Policies could be put in place to either reduce or increase migration.




Figure 61: Year Occupied by Current Residents Figure 62: Year Occupied by Current Residents across Regions by Tenure




Figure 63: Year Occupied by Current Residents Figure 64: Year Occupied by Current Residents for Owned Housing for Rented Housing




Figure 65: Year Occupied by Current Residents for All Housing 2015 2015 Median Year Occupied 2010 2005 2000 2010 2015 2020 2025 2005 Year, through 2022 Stanislaus County (2014) Turlock (2015) United States (2015) California (2014) Source: American Community Survey 1-year Summary Files. Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)

Residential Permitting

Definition:

This indicator provides evidence on the number of residential buildings that are permitted for construction each year. Permit data for Turlock is compared with data from Stanislaus County as a whole and broader regions. The statistic provided scales the number of permits by population. This is done to facilitate comparisons across regions.

Why is it important?

Building permits are the best indicator available of new units coming on the market. In order for a region's population to grow and flourish, new residential properties must be added to the existing stock. Building, both in the City and in the County more generally, is an indication of the extent to which new residences accommodate new residents or are affecting prices through increased supply.

Turlock - Ranking Among Comparables




Figure 66: Number of Units Permitted - Nationwide Comparables (Rank)

Figure 67: Number of Units Permitted - California Comparables (Rank) Paradise town, CA Modoc Unincorporated Area, CA Kings Unincorporated Area, CA 1.93 1.92 Patterson, CA 1.91 Solano Unincorporated Area, 1.84 Brentwood, CA 1.83 San Joaquin Unincorporated Area, Palm Springs, Siskiyou Unincorporated Area, 1.82 Newport Beach, TURLOCK, 1.81 Hesperia, Westmorland, CA Dinuba, Imperial, Cathedral City, CA South Pasadena, CA Alameda, CA Tustin, CA Stanton, CA 1.73 1.71 Cerritos, CA (515) 0.00 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 **Units Permitted** Per 1,000 in Population: 2023 Source: U.S. Census Bureau. The # in parentheses is the ranking out of 515 geographies. Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)




Figure 68: Number of Units Permitted - Cities in Stanislaus County (Rank)

Turlock - Permitting Activity

Annual Units Permitted - Per Capita in Turlock

Figure 69: Units Permitted Each Year

Figure 70: Average Annual Growth in Units Permitted

N/A

N/A

Annual Number of Buildings Permitted - Per Capita in Turlock

Figure 72: Average Annual Growth in Buildings Permitted

Figure 71: Units Permitted Each Year

N/A

N/A

Annual Value of Property Permitted - Per Capita in Turlock

Figure 74: Average Annual Growth in Value

Figure 73: Value Permitted Each Year

Permitted

N/A

N/A

Commute Patterns

During the recovery from the Great Recession, the period from 2010 to 2019, the Bay Area economy, and Silicon Valley in particular, has been growing at a pace roughly double that of the state as a whole and triple that of the nation. This growth has precipitated a tight hous-

ing market and also brought about some significant changes in commute patterns, many of which have been reversed by the pandemic. Recent years have seen significant changes in both the mode of transportation and commute times.

Mode of Transportation

Figure 75: Percent of Workers Commuting by Figure 76: Percent of Workers Commuting by Car Alone Carpool





Figure 77: Percent of Workers using Public Figure 78: Percent of Workers Who Work From



The first table on this page presents data for those who LIVE in Turlock. The second provides data on those who work, but do not necessarily live in Turlock. The final two columns provide for a comparison of commute mode choices of people locally with those in California more broadly.

Table 6. SEX OF WORKERS BY MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK

	Fem	ale	All Wo	rkers	All of CA		
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Car, Truck, or Van:	15, 207	89.1	12, 257	85.1	27, 464	87.3	78.0
Drove Alone	14,034	82.3	10,991	76.3	25,025	79.5	68.4
Carpooled:	1,173	6.9	1,266	8.8	2,439	7.8	9.5
In 2-person carpool	828	4.9	1,056	7.3	1,884	6.0	6.9
In 3-person carpool	298	1.7	135	0.9	433	1.4	1.5
In 4-or-more-person carpool	47	0.3	75	0.5	122	0.4	1.1
Public Transportation (excl Taxi):	75	0.4	75	0.5	150	0.5	3.6
Bus or Trolley Bus	75	0.4	75	0.5	150	0.5	2.3
Streetcar or Trolley Car	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.8
Subway or Elevated	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.3
Railroad	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.2
Ferryboat	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.1
Bicycle	40	0.2	32	0.2	72	0.2	0.7
Walked	354	2.1	302	2.1	656	2.1	2.4
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	265	1.6	466	3.2	731	2.3	1.7
Worked at Home	792	4.6	773	5.4	1,565	5.0	13.6
Total:	16,733	98.1	13,905	96.5	30,638	97.4	

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 7. SEX OF WORKERS BY MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK FOR WORKPLACE GEOGRAPHY

WOTING EAGE GEOGRAPH										
	Ma	ale	Fer	nale	All We	orkers	All of CA			
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)			
Car, Truck, or Van:	14, 911	90.3	13,906	89.9	28, 817	90.1	78.0			
Drove Alone	13,715	83.0	12,853	83.1	26,568	83.1	68.5			
Carpooled:	1,196	7.2	1,053	6.8	2,249	7.0	9.5			
In 2-person carpool	847	5.1	774	5.0	1,621	5.1	6.9			
In 3-person carpool	278	1.7	197	1.3	475	1.5	1.5			
In 4-or-more-person carpool	71	0.4	82	0.5	153	0.5	1.1			
Public Transportation (excl Taxi):	0	0.0	50	0.3	50	0.2	3.6			
Bus or Trolley Bus	0	0.0	50	0.3	50	0.2	2.3			
Streetcar or Trolley Car	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.8			
Subway or Elevated	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.3			
Railroad	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.2			
Ferryboat	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.1			
Bicycle	43	0.3	26	0.2	69	0.2	0.7			
Walked	494	3.0	314	2.0	808	2.5	2.4			
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	279	1.7	393	2.5	672	2.1	1.7			
Worked at Home	792	4.8	773	5.0	1,565	4.9	13.6			
Total:	16, 519	100.0	15, 462	100.0	31,981	100.0				

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File
The results in this table are for those who work in the region, regardless of the location of their residence.

Commute Times for Employed Residents

Table 8. S	FX OF WOR	KERS BY TRA	AVFI TIME	TO WORK

	Male		Fem	Female		All Workers	
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Less than 5 minutes	632	3.6	1,629	10.5	2,261	7.0	2.1
5 to 9 minutes	2,188	12.4	2,674	17.3	4,862	15.0	7.8
10 to 14 minutes	1,172	6.7	2,092	13.5	3,264	10.1	12.4
15 to 19 minutes	3,254	18.5	1,351	8.7	4,605	14.2	15.4
20 to 24 minutes	1,264	7.2	1,705	11.0	2,969	9.1	14.8
25 to 29 minutes	632	3.6	842	5.4	1,474	4.5	6.4
30 to 34 minutes	4,714	26.8	1,292	8.4	6,006	18.5	15.2
35 to 39 minutes	305	1.7	431	2.8	736	2.3	2.9
40 to 44 minutes	194	1.1	49	0.3	243	0.7	4.1
45 to 59 minutes	908	5.2	563	3.6	1,471	4.5	8.2
60 to 89 minutes	887	5.0	479	3.1	1,366	4.2	7.2
90 or more minutes	1,459	8.3	200	1.3	1,659	5.1	3.6
Total:	17,609	100.0	13,307	86.0	30,916	95.2	

Source: 2022 1-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Figure 79: Percent of Employed Population With Figure 80: Percent of Employed Population With Commutes of More than 30 Minutes

Commutes of More than 90 Minutes





Figure 81: Rank: Share of MegaCommuters Across Similar Geographies



Commute Times for Those Employed in the City

Table 9. SEX OF WORKERS BY TRAVEL TIME TO WORK FOR WORKPLACE GEOGRAPHY

WORKPLACE GEOGRAPHY											
	Male		Fen	Female		orkers	All of CA				
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)				
Less than 5 minutes	782	4.5	1,646	8.9	2,428	6.8	2.1				
5 to 9 minutes	2,166	12.4	2,787	15.1	4,953	13.8	7.8				
10 to 14 minutes	1,336	7.7	2,784	15.1	4,120	11.5	12.4				
15 to 19 minutes	2,779	16.0	2,747	14.9	5,526	15.4	15.3				
20 to 24 minutes	2,040	11.7	3,102	16.8	5,142	14.3	14.8				
25 to 29 minutes	817	4.7	1,146	6.2	1,963	5.5	6.4				
30 to 34 minutes	4,230	24.3	2,737	14.9	6,967	19.4	15.2				
35 to 39 minutes	488	2.8	44	0.2	532	1.5	2.9				
40 to 44 minutes	455	2.6	189	1.0	644	1.8	4.1				
45 to 59 minutes	827	4.7	93	0.5	920	2.6	8.2				
60 to 89 minutes	537	3.1	434	2.4	971	2.7	7.2				
90 or more minutes	961	5.5	710	3.9	1,671	4.7	3.6				
Total:	17, 418	100.0	18,419	100.0	35,837	100.0					

Source: 2022 1-year American Community Survey, Summary File

The results in this table are for those who work in the region, regardless of the location of their residence.

Figure 82: Percent of Local Employees With Figure 83: Percent of Local Employees With Commutes of More than 30 Minutes

Commutes of More than 90 Minutes





Figure 84: Rank: Share of MegaCommuters Across Similar Geographies



Place of Work

This section provides evidence on where workers living in Turlock work. As evidenced in the first table, some of Turlock's employed workers work in the City, but many do not. The first table and graph pair provide evidence at the county level while the second provide evidence with regard to working outside of the Turlock city boundary.

Table 10. SEX OF WORKERS BY PLACE OF WORK-STATE AND COUNTY LEVEL

	Male		Fem	ale	All Workers		All of CA
Place of Work	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Worked in state of residence:	18, 441	100.0	14, 295	90.8	32,736	97.9	99.6
Worked in county of residence	14,168	76.8	12,841	81.6	27,009	80.7	85.3
worked outside of county of residence	4,273	23.2	1,454	9.2	5,727	17.1	14.3
Worked outside state of residence	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.4
Total:	18, 441	100.0	14,295	90.8	32,736	97.9	

Source: 2022 1-year American Community Survey, Summary File

30

Figure 85: Percent of Workers Employed Outside of Their County of Residence





Table 11. SEX OF WORKERS BY PLACE OF WORK-PLACE LEVEL

	Male		Female		All Workers		All of CA
Place of Work	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Living in a place:	18, 441	100.0	14, 295	90.8	32,736	97.9	95.8
Worked in place of residence	5,356	29.0	7,429	47.2	12,785	38.2	42.3
Worked outside place of residence	13,085	71.0	6,866	43.6	19,951	59.6	53.4
Not living in a place	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4.2
Total:	18, 441	100.0	14, 295	90.8	32,736	97.9	

Source: 2022 1-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Figure 86: Percent of Workers Employed Outside of Their Place of Residence



Commute Mode by Income

Table 12. MEDIAN EARNINGS IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS BY MEANS OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK

	City	California		United States			
	Median	Median	Ratio	Median	Ratio		
Car, truck, or van - drove alone	42,394	48, 335	99.3	45,677	97.8		
Car, truck, or van - carpooled	46,454	35,926	146.3	34,518	141.8		
Public transportation (excluding taxicab)		34,625		41,443			
Walked	22,469	30,552	83.2	27,247	86.9		
Taxicab, motorcycle, bicycle, or other means	37,163	40,631	103.5	36,218	108.1		
Worked from home	60,213	79,738	85.5	69,180	91.7		
Total:	44,018	49,818	88.4	46,365	94.9		

Source: 2022 1-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Notes: 1) Ratio = the ratio of the regional median to either the CA or US median, relative to the Total ratio.

Values above 100 imply a high local median. Values below 100 imply a low local median.

For example, a value of 200 means that the local mean is 2% higher than would be expected.

For example, a value of 200 means that the local mean is 2x higher than would be expected. For "Total:", ratio is simply the ratio of the medians.

Table 13. MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK BY WORKERS' EARNINGS

	< \$25,000		\$25,000-\$74,999		\$75,000+		Al	l	All of CA
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Car, Truck, or Van: Drove Alone	5,926	49.3	8,399	81.9	6,902	86.8	25,025	79.5	68.4
Car, Truck, or Van: Carpooled	765	6.4	918	9.0	568	7.1	2,439	7.8	9.5
Public Transportation (excl Taxi)	12	0.1	35	0.3	60	0.8	150	0.5	3.6
Walked	292	2.4	131	1.3	41	0.5	656	2.1	2.4
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	384	3.2	202	2.0	59	0.7	803	2.6	2.4
Worked at Home	545	4.5	564	5.5	324	4.1	1,565	5.0	13.6
Total:	7,924	65.9	10, 249		7,954		30,638	97.4	100.0

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 14. MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK BY WORKERS' EARNINGS FOR WORKPLACE GEOGRAPHY

	< \$25	,000	\$25,000-\$74,999		\$75,000+		Al		All of CA	
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)	
Car, Truck, or Van: Drove Alone	8,230	63.7	8,651	85.2	5, 798	87.1	26, 568	83.1	68.5	
Car, Truck, or Van: Carpooled	883	6.8	540	5.3	413	6.2	2,249	7.0	9.5	
Public Transportation (excl Taxi)	0	0.0	7	0.1	0	0.0	50	0.2	3.6	
Walked	347	2.7	235	2.3	41	0.6	808	2.5	2.4	
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	321	2.5	159	1.6	83	1.2	741	2.3	2.4	
Worked at Home	545	4.2	564	5.6	324	4.9	1,565	4.9	13.6	
Total:	10,326	80.0	10, 156		6,659		31,981			

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

The results in this table are for those who work in the region, regardless of the location of their residence.

²⁾ For regions with more than one geography, the medians are averages weighted by working population.

Commute Mode by Poverty Status

Table 15. MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK BY POVERTY STATUS

	In Po	verty	100-149	% of Pov	>150%	of Pov	Al		All of CA
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Car, Truck, or Van: Drove Alone	1,355	52.5	1,666	54.2	21,952	81.3	24,973	79.4	68.7
Car, Truck, or Van: Carpooled	86	3.3	228	7.4	2,125	7.9	2,439	7.8	9.5
Public Transportation (excl Taxi)	3	0.1	0	0.0	147	0.5	150	0.5	3.6
Walked	97	3.8	0	0.0	531	2.0	628	2.0	2.1
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	86	3.3	165	5.4	552	2.0	803	2.6	2.4
Worked at Home	43	1.7	176	5.7	1,309	4.8	1,528	4.9	13.6
Total:	1,670	64.7	2,235	72.7	26,616	98.6	30,521	97.0	

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 16. MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK BY POVERTY STATUS FOR WORKPLACE GEOGRAPHY

	In Po	verty	100-149	% of Pov	>150%	of Pov	Al		All of CA
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Car, Truck, or Van: Drove Alone	1,226	46.2	1,868	61.3	23, 438	84.2	26, 532	83.2	68.7
Car, Truck, or Van: Carpooled	167	6.3	191	6.3	1,891	6.8	2,249	7.1	9.5
Public Transportation (excl Taxi)	0	0.0	0	0.0	50	0.2	50	0.2	3.6
Walked	160	6.0	10	0.3	610	2.2	780	2.4	2.1
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	94	3.5	103	3.4	544	2.0	741	2.3	2.4
Worked at Home	43	1.6	176	5.8	1,309	4.7	1,528	4.8	13.6
Total:	1,690	63.7	2,348	77.1	27, 842		31,880		

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

The results in this table are for those who work in the region, regardless of the location of their residence.

Migration

Overall Migration Flows

Definition:

The United States is a country with an increasingly mobile population. People move, migrate, from one place to another with increasing frequency.

Why is it important?

Having a handle on whether or not Turlock is a net recipient (migration inflows) or donor (migration outflows) of population is very important for understanding trends in the City's development. This section outlines migration patterns by age, education, income, marital status, and housing tenure. Understanding recent trends is very important for making policy, investment, and other decisions about the future. Also, understanding the extent to which the population is stable, or experiences significant turnover each year is helpful for planning purposes.




Figure 87: Overall Movements of Residents

Table 17: Migration by Income

		Ne	et Inflows			_
			Same			
			W/in	Between	Across	From
Category	Population	All Migration	County	Counties	States	Abroad
No income	8,896	162	183	-99	51	27
With income	48,129	-439	144	-336	-270	23
\$1 to \$9,999 or loss	7, 133	-238	2	-199	-53	12
\$10,000 to \$14,999	4,579	172	153	67	-48	0
\$15,000 to \$24,999	6,393	-112	48	-65	-106	11
\$25,000 to \$34,999	6,803	-2	50	-79	27	0
\$35,000 to \$49,999	6,039	-130	-135	49	-44	0
\$50,000 to \$64,999	4,744	59	5	22	32	0
\$65,000 to \$74,999	2,680	-51	19	5	-75	0
\$75,000 or more	9,758	-137	2	-136	-3	0
All:	57,025	-277	327	-435	-219	50

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Note: The data in this and other tables in this section are limited in that there is no information on the City's population that has moved abroad.

The "From Abroad" column is gross movements into the City from abroad.

Figure 88: Overall Movements of Low Income Residents




Figure 89: Overall Movements of Middle Income Residents





Figure 90: Overall Movements of High Income Residents



Demographics of Migration Flows

Table 18: Migration by Marital Status

		Ne				
			Sam	e State		-
			W/in	Between	Across	From
Category	Population	All Migration	County	Counties	States	Abroad
Never married	21,245	22	228	-230	-5	29
Now married, except separated	25,699	-238	78	-120	-205	9
Divorced	5,619	13	33	24	-56	12
Separated	1,184	-11	13	-32	8	0
Widowed	3,278	-63	-25	-77	39	0
Total:	57,025	-277	327	-435	-219	50

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 19: Migration by Tenure

		Ne	et Inflows			
			-			
			W/in	Between	Across	From
Category	Population	All Migration	County	Counties	States	Abroad
Householder lived in owner-occupied housing units	38,168	-276	-15	-110	-157	6
Householder lived in renter-occupied housing units	32,050	501	570	-119	-4	54
Total:	70,218	225	555	-229	-161	60

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Figure 91: Domestic Movements of Residents by Tenure




Table 20: Migration by Age

		Ne	et Inflows			
			Samo	e State		-
			W/in	Between	Across	From
Category	Population	All Migration	County	Counties	States	Abroad
1 to 4 years	3,754	-7	36	-68	0	25
5 to 17 years	13,980	353	270	80	2	1
18 and 19 years	1,976	-62	67	-103	-32	6
20 to 24 years	6,010	301	109	42	130	20
25 to 29 years	5,234	-279	-53	-181	-51	6
30 to 34 years	4,935	123	96	-9	31	5
35 to 39 years	4,980	128	22	112	-6	0
40 to 44 years	4,534	166	77	90	-1	0
45 to 49 years	3,974	-48	5	-51	-2	0
50 to 54 years	4,225	-174	17	-108	-83	0
55 to 59 years	3,866	-200	-36	-143	-21	0
60 to 64 years	3,560	-93	35	-37	-97	6
65 to 69 years	3,654	-100	-5	-32	-69	6
70 to 74 years	2,593	-39	-17	-7	-15	0
75 years and over	3,971	-73	-31	-42	0	0
Total Population:	71, 246	-4	592	-457	-214	75

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 21: Migration by Educational Attainment

		Ne	Net Inflows							
			Same State							
			W/in	Between	Across	From				
Category	Population	All Migration	County	Counties	States	Abroad				
Less than high school graduate	8,529	-20	-92	101	-39	10				
High school graduate (includes equiv)	10,665	-125	50	-89	-86	0				
Some college or assoc. degree	14,444	-279	145	-179	-251	6				
Bachelor's degree	7,914	-109	2	-90	-28	7				
Graduate or professional degree	3,974	-56	5	-151	90	0				
Total:	45,526	-589	110	-408	-314	23				

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 22: Median Income of Migration Flows

Flow	In-Migration	Out-Migration
Same House 1 Year Ago	36,935	36,935
Moved Within Same County	28,703	28,882
Moved to Different County, Same State	37,339	24,049
Moved Between States	21,332	4,483
Total Population:	36, 183	35, 284

Source: 2022 1-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 23: Median Age of Migration Flows

	Out-Migration
34.3	34.3
28.0	30.5
24.5	32.2
41.4	25.6
32.8	33.9
	28.0 24.5 41.4

Source: 2022 1-year American Community Survey, Summary File

References and Sources

The majority of the data presented in this report are from the American Community Survey (ACS). For larger geographies, the 1-year Summary Files provide the data. For smaller communities, roughly those with less than 65,000 in population in 2021, the 5-year Summary Files provide the data.

The ACS data are supplemented by building permit data from the U.S. Census Bureau, population and housing data from the California Department of Finance, and home price and rental rates from Zillow.

U.S. Census Bureau. American Community Survey 1-year and 5-year Summary Files. https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/data/data-via-ftp.html. The 1-year data are released in September each year and the 5-year data are relased in January.

Zillow Research Data https://www.zillow.com/research/data/

U.S. Census Bureau. Building Permits Data, updated annually in February. https://www.census.gov/construction/bps/current.html

State of California, Department of Finance, E-5 Population and Housing Estimates for Cities, Counties and the State — January 1. Sacramento, California, May. https://dof.ca.gov/forecasting/demographics/estimates/

State of California, Department of Finance, E-2. California County Population Estimates and Components of Change by Year, July 1, 2010-2021. Sacramento, California, December. https://dof.ca.gov/forecasting/demographics/

State of California, Department of Finance, E-1 Population Estimates for Cities, Counties and the State with Annual Percent Change — January 1. Sacramento, California, May. https://dof.ca.gov/forecasting/demographics/