Murrieta, California

Indicators Report

by
The National Economic Education Delegation (NEED)

April 20, 2024

Exploring the economics, demographics, and well-being of Murrieta and its residents through indicators.

This report was produced by the:

National Economic Education Delegation 271 Arias St. San Rafael, CA 94903 415-336-5705 www.NEEDEcon.org Contact: Jon@NEEDEcon.org

Executive Summary

Assessing the City with Indicators

About this Report

This report provides background or summary information for the city of Murrieta (the City) in the form of indicators.

Using this Report

Indicators are measures of various aspects of a regional economy. They help to provide an indication of the quality of life in a region and progress toward improving conditions in the local economy. This report focuses on indicators for changing demographics, incomes, housing markets, commute patterns, and employment in Murrieta. These indicators are compared to Riverside County (the County) as a whole, a broader region where one is well defined, California, and the United Sates.

This report is vital for understanding trends in the underlying economy. It does not provide forecasts, but Rob Eyler and Jon Haveman at Economic Forensics and Analytics are available to provide them if that is of interest.

Topics Covered:

- **Demographics:** A detailed snopshot of Murrieta demographics is presented. This provides evidence on the size, age and sex, income and poverty status, race and ethnicity, housing status, living arrangements, education, health, and transportation choices of the population. Beyond the current population level, data on trends in local population growth, in comparison with other broader regions is presented, in both tabular and graphical form.
- **Employment Report:** Here, we provide a brief snapshot or employment and unemployment in Murrieta and how the City's experience differs from broader regions.
- Income and Earnings: Vital to understanding the prosperity of a city relative to its surrounding area is information on income and earnings. We provide a ranking of the City's income relative to all cities in California as well as growth relative to local regions. Inequality and poverty status are also important indicators for the level of equity in the community. We provide evidence of trends in both, not only for all residents, but also for children separately.
- Housing: This section provides evidence on the cost and availability of housing. Both median home values and rental costs are included, along with detailed information on home ownership, by age and income, in particular. Further, evidence is provided on the housing burden in the City, again, in comparison with other broader regions. We also provide evidence on the rate at which new buildings and units are permitted along with a broader housing picture. Finally, we provide evidence on the age of the housing stock in Murrieta, along with information on how long the City's residents have been in place.
- Transportation: Increasingly important, in the wake of the pandemic, is an understanding of
 the transportation patterns and choices of local residents. We provide detailed evidence on the
 proprotion of residents who work from home and on the various transportation choices of those
 who head to the office. This information is also provided for those who work in Murrieta, but do
 not necessarily live in Murrieta.
- **Migration:** Population changes comes primarily through organic causes: births and deaths. Migration between regions also plays a significant role in population growth. A final section of the report provides evidence on migration into and out of the City.

Contents

Assessing the City with Indicators	1 1
Demographics A Demographic Snapshot Current Population	3 3 5
Employment Report Citywide Employment and Unemployment	8 9 10
Per Capita Personal Income Growth	1 6 16 19
Housing Costs and Affordability	27
Mode of Transportation	34 34 36 37 38 40
Overall Migration Flows	12 12 14

Demographics

Definition:

Data on the demographics of a city indicate the nature of the population, with a focus on age, gender, race and ethnicity, as well as household compositon.

Why is it important?

The characteristics and growth of Murrieta's population are fundamental indicators of the city's growth potential.

A Demographic Snapshot

Statistic	2022	2019
POPULATION		
Population Estimate (#, 5yr)	111,899.0	112,941.0
Veterans (#, 5yr)	6,867.0	7,241.0
Foreign born persons (%, 5yr)	15.3	15.2
Population age 25+ (#, 5yr)	70,724.0	69,942.0
AGE AND SEX		
Persons under 5 years (%, 5yr)	6.7	7.0
Persons under 18 years (%, 5yr)	27.2	28.6
Persons 65 years and over (%, 5yr)	12.3	12.4
Female persons (%, 5yr)	51.0	52.2
INCOME AND POVERTY		
Median household income (\$, 5yr)	106,925.0	90,535.0
Per capita income in past 12 months (\$, 5yr)	40,950.0	33,039.0
Persons in poverty (%, 5yr)	6.6	8.1
Children age less than 18 in poverty (#, 5yr)	2,142.0	3,169.0
Children age less than 18 in poverty (%, 5yr)	7.1	9.9
RACE AND ETHNICITY		
White alone (%, 5yr)	59.0	65.5
African American alone (%, 5yr)	5.5	5.9
American Indian or Alaska Native alone (%, 5yr)	0.4	0.7
Asian alone (%, 5yr)	8.1	9.1
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone (%, 5yr)	0.4	0.5
Two or More Races (%, 5yr)	15.5	8.0
Hispanic or Latino (%, 5yr)	34.5	31.3
White alone, not Hispanic or Latino (%, 5yr)	45.9	48.1
HOUSING		
Housing units (#, 5yr)	36,647.0	34,117.0
Owner-occupied housing units (%, 5yr)	67.5	66.0
Median value of owner-occupied housing units (\$, 5yr)	567,700.0	414,100.0
Median selected monthly owner costs-with a mortgage (\$, 5yr)	2,699.0	2,346.0
Median selected monthly owner costs-without a mortgage (\$, 5yr)	758.0	643.0
Median gross rent (\$, 5yr)	2,150.0	1,761.0
FAMILIES AND LIVING ARRANGEMENTS		
Households (#, 5yr)	34,482.0	32,175.0
Persons per household (#, 5yr)	3.2	3.5
Living in same house 1 year ago, % of persons age 1+ (5yr) EDUCATION	85.3	82.9
High school graduate or higher, % of persons age 25+ (5yr)	92.5	91.6
Bachelor's degree or higher, % of persons age 25+ (5yr)	29.9	30.3
HEALTH		
With a disability, under age 65 years (#, 5yr)	6,949.0	6,677.0
Persons without health insurance, under age 65 years (%, 5yr)	5.3	5.8
LABOR FORCE		
In civilian labor force, persons age 16+ (%, 5yr)	63.4	63.5
In civilian labor force, women age 16+ (%, 5yr)	55.1	56.1
Employed, persons age 16+ (%, 5yr)	56.8	57.2
Self employed (%, 5yr)	8.9	10.0
TRANSPORTATION		
Mean travel time to work, workers age 16+ (Mins., 5yr)	30.8	33.0
Drive alone in private vehicle (%, 5yr)	77.7	79.5
Using public transportation (%, 5yr)	0.5	0.8
Worked from home (%, 5yr)	13.4	7.4

Source: American Community Survey, Summary Files
Note: Data are from the 1-year files unless indicated by the notation 5yr.

Current Population

The data in these two tables and the following two graphs are from the CA Department of Finance (DOF). The DOF produces population estimates for geographies around California twice a year: January and July. As estimates for cities are only available in January, these two tables are based on the January data. The remaining figures are from the American Community Survey (ACS), provided annually by the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

Table 1. Population Change by Region

(Thousands, January to January)

	2023		% Cha	ange					
Region	Population	1 Year	3 Year	5 Year					
	(City							
Murrieta	109,998	-0.54	-3.97	-5.96					
County and Broader Regions									
Riverside County	2,439,234	0.34	-0.06	1.11					
Southern California	21,794,548	-0.41	-2.24	-2.84					
California	38,940,231	-0.35	-1.79	-2.01					

Source: CA DOF; Calculations by National Economic Education Delegation

Table 2. County Population Change by City

(Thousands, January to January)


				% Change				
City	2022	2023	Local	Southern California	California			
Riverside County	2,431.0	2,439.2	0.34	-0.41	-0.35			
Riverside	314.8	313.7	-0.36					
Moreno Valley	208.3	208.3	-0.01					
Corona	157.1	157.0	-0.09					
Menifee	107.4	110.0	2.44					
Murrieta	110.6	110.0	-0.54					
Temecula	109.5	108.9	-0.52					
Jurupa Valley	105.2	105.0	-0.16					
Indio	89.8	90.8	1.17					
Hemet	89.2	89.9	0.84					
Perris	78.5	78.9	0.60					
Lake Elsinore	72.0	72.0	-0.02					
Eastvale	70.0	69.5	-0.66					
Beaumont	54.3	56.6	4.12					
San Jacinto	54.3	54.1	-0.37					
Cathedral City	51.6	51.4	-0.36					
Palm Desert	50.6	50.6	-0.02					
Palm Springs	44.2	44.1	-0.17					
Coachella	41.9	42.5	1.26					
La Quinta	37.6	38.0	1.11					
Wildomar	36.4	36.3	-0.28					
Desert Hot Springs	32.4	32.6	0.68					
Banning	30.9	31.2	1.28					
Norco	25.0	25.0	0.01					
Blythe	17.4	17.3	-0.87					
Rancho Mirage	16.9	17.0	0.94					
Calimesa	10.9	11.0	0.11					
Canyon Lake	11.0	10.9	-0.49					
Indian Wells	4.8	4.8	-0.23					

Source: CA DOF; Calculations by National Economic Education Delegation

Figure 1: Population Growth (1) 20 Percent Change from 2010 0 -20 -40· -60 -80 1990 2000 2020 Year, through 2023 Murrieta (6.7%) Riverside County (11.9%) California (4.6%) Source: CA, Department of Finance Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)

Figure 2: Population Growth (2) (Over 1, 5 and 32 years, through 2023) Annual Growth Rate (%), to 2023 3.5 3.0-2.5 2.0 1.5 1.0 0.5 0.0 -0.5 1 Year 32 Years 5 Years Murrieta Riverside County California Source: U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)

Figure 3: Population by Age - Detailed Age Categories







Figure 4: Population by Age - Broad Age Categories






Figure 5: Population by Educational Attainment




Figure 6: Population by Race/Ethnicity





Figure 7: Population by Race/Ethnicity Over Time



Employment Report

Citywide Employment and Unemployment

Definition:

Each month, California's Employment Development Division (EDD) publishes an update on employment in California and in MSAs, counties, and cities all across the state. The report focuses primarily on non-farm employment, providing estimates of changes in em-

ployment by industry as well as unemployment in each region. Data for cities is limited to aggregate employment, labor force, and unemployment data. Those are reported below.

Why is it important?


Employment growth is a fundamental indicator of the health of an economy.

Table 3. Murrieta Summary for March, 2024

	Change From:								
Category	Current Value	Last Month	2 Months Ago	Last Year					
Employment	8,924	-30	-53	-103					
Labor Force	9,644	9	15	96					
Number Unemployed	678	-4	21	97					
Unemployment Rate	7.0	-0.0	0.2	0.9					

Source: EDD, National Economic Education Delegation

Figure 8: Historical Employment and Unemploy- Figure 9: Employment and Unemployment - Last








Figure 10: Relative Employment Growth Across Figure 11: Relative Employment Growth Across Regions - since 2010 Regions - since 2019





MSA Employment by Industry

California's Employment Development Division (EDD) does not regularly produce data on employment by industry for cities. However, we are able to report industry-level employment data for the Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario MSA. The following table provides the latest data for the MSA.

Table 4. Employment Growth by Industry in the Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario MSA for March, 2024

			Empl		% Gr	owth - An	nualized	Rate	
Industry	Employment	Share	Growth	Month	Qtr	6mo	1yr	3yr	5yr
Total Nonfarm	1,694,223	100.0	5, 971.1	4.3	0.5	0.8	1.6	3.3	2.1
Total Private	1,425,885	84.2	3, 363.1	2.9	0.2	0.6	1.0	3.1	2.4
Goods Producing	216,611	12.8	948.2	5.4	-5.6	-0.1	1.2	1.6	0.9
Mining, Logging and Construction	120,753	7.1	1,778.6	19.5	-2.3	3.7	5.6	2.8	2.7
Mining and Logging	1,600	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	7.7	6.7
Construction	118,854	7.0	1,464.0	16.0	-3.4	3.5	5.7	2.9	2.6
Manufacturing	96,076	5.7	-620.1	-7.4	-9.0	-4.3	-3.8	0.2	-1.0
Durable Goods	58,679	3.5	-417.3	-8.2	-7.6	-4.2	-3.8	-0.8	-2.2
Non-Durable Goods	37,446	2.2	-154.4	-4.8	-9.8	-3.9	-3.9	1.9	1.4
Service Providing	1,477,534	87.2	5,264.7	4.4	1.4	1.0	1.6	3.6	2.3
Trade, Trans & Utilities	452,210	26.7	1,888.6	5.2	2.5	-1.1	-1.3	0.9	3.3
Wholesale Trade	67,659	4.0	-155.0	-2.7	-3.2	-2.3	-2.0	0.5	0.1
Retail Trade	180,685	10.7	416.7	2.8	-3.1	-2.4	-1.4	0.9	-0.1
Trans & Warehousing	197,024	11.6	662.2	4.1	3.8	-0.7	-1.0	1.1	9.6
Utilities	5,718	0.3	-49.7	-9.9	6.1	3.0	3.6	4.7	4.3
Information	13, 125	0.8	-47.7	-4.3	-3.7	-2.7	-1.5	2.5	-1.3
Financial Activities	44,464	2.6	-86.6	-2.3	-2.2	-1.3	-1.4	-0.2	-0.1
Finance & Insurance	21,985	1.3	-20.5	-1.1	-2.2	-2.7	-1.8	-3.5	-2.2
Real Estate & Rental & Leasing	22,538	1.3	-36.2	-1.9	-0.4	0.6	-0.9	3.9	2.5
Professional & Business Srvcs	166,274	9.8	1,764.0	13.7	0.5	3.2	-0.5	0.7	1.9
Prof, Sci, & Tech	46,211	2.7	201.6	5.4	1.8	0.5	-0.1	3.5	2.5
Admin & Support Srvcs	106,331	6.3	1,990.8	25.5	-1.6	5.0	-1.0	-0.6	1.6
Employment Srvcs	49,934	2.9	1,065.4	29.5	4.6	7.0	-3.0	-2.4	3.3
Educational & Health Srvcs	301,992	17.8	2,216.0	9.2	7.6	6.3	8.0	6.5	4.4
Education Srvcs	22,176	1.3	163.7	9.3	1.9	3.7	5.7	9.9	2.6
Health Care & Social Assistance	279,860	16.5	1,961.8	8.8	8.4	6.5	8.2	6.3	4.6
Leisure & Hospitality	182, 103	10.7	-703.3	-4.5	-4.5	-4.9	-2.6	8.2	0.7
Arts, Entertainment & Recreation	20,665	1.2	64.7	3.8	-1.9	-10.2	-3.2	14.6	-0.0
Accommodation & Food Srvcs	161,299	9.5	-746.8	-5.4	-5.1	-4.5	-2.4	7.5	0.8
Other Srvcs	49,608	2.9	174.0	4.3	-3.6	0.2	1.4	6.3	1.5
Government	270,223	15.9	911.3	4.1	4.5	5.1	4.9	4.7	0.7
Federal	21,813	1.3	94.6	5.4	4.0	3.9	3.8	1.0	0.8
State	28,999	1.7	-1.0	-0.0	2.5	1.2	1.9	-2.1	-1.2
Local	219,293	12.9	791.9	4.4	4.8	5.6	5.4	6.2	1.0
County	31,724	1.9	-72.5	-2.7	3.4	1.8	0.3	-3.0	-1.6
City	17,509	1.0	52.9	3.7	6.7	8.4	8.1	8.4	2.9
Local Government Education	134,406	7.9	641.5	5.9	5.6	6.9	7.0	8.4	1.2

Source: EDD, National Economic Education Delegation (NEED)

Some Employee Detail

Employed in Murrieta





Figure 12: Employment by Occupation








Figure 14: Language Spoken at Home 65.7 Speak only English Speak Spanish (SS) 38.9 SS - English very well 25.0 SS - English less than very well Speak other languages (SOL) SOL - English very well SOL - English less than very well 20 40 60 80 Percent (%) of Workers Murrieta Riverside County Source: American Community Survey, 2022 5-yr Summary Files. Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org).





Employed Residents of Murrieta







Figure 16: Employment by Occupation





73.1 Speak only English Speak Spanish (SS) 38.0 SS - English very well 24.9 SS - English less than very well 13.2 Speak other languages (SOL) SOL - English very well SOL - English less than very well 20 40 60 80 Percent (%) of Workers Murrieta Riverside County Source: American Community Survey, 2022 5-yr Summary Files. Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org).

Figure 18: Language Spoken at Home



Jon Haveman, Ph.D. ● National Economic Education Delegation Jon@NEEDEcon.org • 415-336-5705

Employed Residents vs Workers in Murrieta





Figure 20: Employment by Occupation






Percent of Workers 73.1 Speak only English Speak Spanish (SS) 24.6 <u>13</u>.5 SS - English very well SS - English less than very well Speak other languages (SOL) SOL - English very well SOL - English less than very well 20 40 60 80 **Employed Residents** Locally Employed Source: American Community Survey, 2022 5-yr Summary Files. Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org).

Figure 22: Language Spoken at Home





Income and Earnings

Per Capita Income Growth

Definition:

Per capita income is the average income per person in Murrieta. Personal income is the income received by, or on behalf of, all persons from all sources: from participation as laborers in production, from owning a home or unincorporated business, from the ownership of financial assets, and from government and business in the form of transfer receipts. Noncash government benefits are not included.

Why is it important?

Income is the money that is available to persons for consumption expenditures, taxes, interest payments, transfer payments to governments and the rest of the world, or for saving. As such, it is an important indicator of economic well-being in a community.






Figure 24: Real Per Capita Income Ranking Among California Cities





Real Per Capita Income Ranking Among California Cities - w/Comparable Populations



Real Per Capita Income Ranking Among Cities in Riverside County

Figure 28: Income Levels Perris (12) 22.3 Hemet (11) Moreno Valley (10) Jurupa Valley (9) Riverside (8) Lake Elsinore (7) Indio (6) Menifee (5) 35.5 Corona (4) 36.7 Temecula (3) MURRIETA (2) Eastvale (1) 20 40 60 Per Capita Income in 2022, Thousands of Dollars Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2022 1-yr American Community Survey
The # in parentheses is the ranking out of 12 geographies.
Geographies are selected and ranked based on population.
These are the cities in the same county as the target city.
Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)





Poverty and Inequality


Definition:


The local poverty rate provides an indication of the well-being of those at the bottom of the income distribution. The federal poverty rate measures the proportion of households in the region that are classified as living in poverty. Also included are measures of the extent to which the City's children are impoverished. Measures of the income distribution provide

further evidence on disparities in income in the region and how those disparities have changed over time.

Why is it important?

It is important to track measures of poverty and inequality to assess the extent of income disparities in the region, with an eye toward understanding how well the local economy is performing for all of its citizens.






2022 50 Percent of All Income 40 30 20 10 0 Second Quintile Third Quintile Bottom Quintile Fourth Quintile Top Quintile Top 5% Murrieta **Riverside County United States** California Source: American Community Survey, 1-yr Summary Files Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)

Figure 32: Shares Across the Income Distribution





Housing

Housing Costs and Affordability


Definition:


Housing costs are measured in several different ways. First, we provide evidence on the evolution of median home prices, median rental price, and finally through evidence on the housing burden in the city and comparison regions. Housing burden is defined as a household needing to commit more than 30% of their household income toward housing costs. The median value is the amount in the middle. Fifty percent of units are above the median and 50 percent are below.

Why is it important?

Housing is one of three fundamental necessities, along with food and clothing. A measure of the cost of housing is an integral part of the measurement of the cost of living in a specific community. This is particularly true in cities and regions throughout the Bay Area, where housing costs are high relative to income.

Cost of Housing in Murrieta and Broader Regions





Housing Ownership in Murrieta and Broader Regions

Figure 36: Home Ownership Rates




Figure 37: Home Ownership by Age




Figure 38: Income by Tenure




Figure 39: Income Distribution by Tenure




Figure 40: Income Distribution of Home Owners





Figure 41: Income Distribution of Renters



Housing Burden in Murrieta and Broader Regions

Figure 42: Home Owners w/ A Mortgage




Figure 43: Home Owners w/o A Mortgage




Figure 44: Renters




Figure 45: Homeowner Housing Burden by Age



Housing Picture

Definition:

Housing costs are measured in several different ways. First, we provide evidence on the evolution of median home prices, median rental price, and finally through evidence on the housing burden in the city and comparison regions. The median value is the amount in the middle. Fifty percent of units are above the median and 50 percent are below.

Why is it important?

In areas where the rate of population growth exceeds the rate of housing growth, this is likely to reflect a tightening housing market. A tightening housing market will also likely be reflected in lower vacancy rates and higher occupancy rates. It may also be reflected in higher numbers of people per household.

Table 5. Housing Market Indicators

				% Change from				
Indicator	2023	2019	2010	2019	2010			
Total Population	109,998.0	114,193.0	103,466.0	-3.7	6.3			
Total # of Homes	37,205.0	36,880.0	35,294.0	0.9	5.4			
# Occupied Units	35,934.0	35,059.0	32,749.0	2.5	9.7			
Persons per Household	3.0	3.2	3.1	-6.1	-3.2			
Vacancy Rate (%)	3.4	4.9	7.2	-30.8	-52.6			

Source: CA DOF; Calculations by the National Economic Education Delegation

Figure 46: Housing Growth




Figure 47: Persons per Household




Figure 48: Vacancy Rates






Figure 49: Number of Occupanied Units



Trends in the Growth of Housing by Housing Type

Figure 50: Single Detached Homes Figure 51: Single Attached Homes 12.5 20-Percent Change Since 2010 Percent Change Since 2010 10.0 15 7.5 10-5.0 2.5 0.0 0. 2020 2025 2010 2015 2020 2025 Year, through 2023 Year, through 2023 Murrieta (2.4%) Murrieta (15.9%) Riverside County (11.1%) Riverside County (7.1%) California (5.8%) California (9.3%) .
Source: CA, Department of Finance
Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org) Source: CA, Department of Finance Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)

Figure 52: Housing in Buildings with Two to Four Figure 53: Housing in Buildings with Five or More Units



Vintage of Residential Housing

Why is it important?

This section provides evidence on the year in which residential housing in Murrieta was built. We break it down into owned versus rented residences and provide a comparison across Riverside County and broader regions. A sense of the age of housing in a region provides an indication of the urgency with which a region might pursue additional housing. As the

housing stock ages, an urgency with which renovations and rebuilds are permitted might result. All things equal, more recently constructed housing will be more likely to meet current codes and standards. Remodeling of existing units will be more desirable when existing units are, on average, older.




Figure 54: Distribution of Housing Construction

Figure 55: Housing Vintage across Regions




Figure 56: Housing Vintage by Tenure




Figure 57: Vintage of Owned Residences




Figure 58: Vintage of Rented Residences





Figure 59: Vintage of All Residences



Occupation of Residential Housing

Why is it important?

The duration of residence in a city is important for developing future policies regarding growing the local population. If a region is highly mobile, evidenced by most residences having been recently occupied, a city might propose policies to reduce that mobility, or ask why the mobility happens. Policies could be put in place to either reduce or increase migration.




Figure 61: Year Occupied by Current Residents Figure 62: Year Occupied by Current Residents across Regions by Tenure




Figure 63: Year Occupied by Current Residents Figure 64: Year Occupied by Current Residents for Owned Housing for Rented Housing





Figure 65: Year Occupied by Current Residents for All Housing



Residential Permitting


Definition:

This indicator provides evidence on the number of residential buildings that are permitted for construction each year. Permit data for Murrieta is compared with data from Riverside County as a whole and broader regions. The statistic provided scales the number of permits by population. This is done to facilitate comparisons across regions.

Why is it important?


Building permits are the best indicator available of new units coming on the market. In order for a region's population to grow and flourish, new residential properties must be added to the existing stock. Building, both in the City and in the County more generally, is an indication of the extent to which new residences accommodate new residents or are affecting prices through increased supply.

Murrieta - Ranking Among Comparables



Paradise town, CA (1) Hidden Hills, CA (56) Riverbank, CA (57) Clovis, CA (58) 86.39 6.04 5.99 5.96 Dublin, CA 59 5.77 Laguna Niguel, CA 5.67 Oroville, CA 5.63 San Juan Capistrano, CA Del Mar, CA Plumas Unincorporated Area, CA MURRIETA, CA 5.61 5.58 5.57 5.56 Winters, 5.50 Rohnert Park, CA 5.40 Milpitas, CA Napa Unincorporated Area, CA 5.38 5.33 Morgan Hill, CA Carlsbad, CA Hollister, CA 5.14 5.10 5.10 Lemoore, CA (73) Perris, CA (74) 5.05 5.02 Sutter Creek, CA (515) 0.00 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 **Units Permitted** Per 1,000 in Population: 2023 Source: U.S. Census Bureau. The # in parentheses is the ranking out of 515 geographies. Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)

Figure 67: Number of Units Permitted - California Comparables (Rank)



Jon Haveman, Ph.D. • National Economic Education Delegation Jon@NEEDEcon.org • 415-336-5705

Murrieta - Permitting Activity

Annual Units Permitted - Per Capita in Murrieta

Figure 69: Units Permitted Each Year






Figure 70: Average Annual Growth in Units Permitted




Annual Number of Buildings Permitted - Per Capita in Murrieta

Figure 72: Average Annual Growth in Buildings Permitted








Annual Value of Property Permitted - Per Capita in Murrieta

Figure 73: Value Permitted Each Year






Figure 74: Average Annual Growth in Value

Commute Patterns

During the recovery from the Great Recession, the period from 2010 to 2019, the Bay Area economy, and Silicon Valley in particular, has been growing at a pace roughly double that of the state as a whole and triple that of the nation. This growth has precipitated a tight hous-

ing market and also brought about some significant changes in commute patterns, many of which have been reversed by the pandemic. Recent years have seen significant changes in both the mode of transportation and commute times.

Mode of Transportation

Figure 75: Percent of Workers Commuting by Figure 76: Percent of Workers Commuting by Car Alone Carpool





Figure 77: Percent of Workers using Public Figure 78: Percent of Workers Who Work From



The first table on this page presents data for those who LIVE in Murrieta. The second provides data on those who work, but do not necessarily live in Murrieta. The final two columns provide for a comparison of commute mode choices of people locally with those in California more broadly.

Table 6. SEX OF WORKERS BY MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK

	Male		Fem	ale	All Wo	rkers	All of CA
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Car, Truck, or Van:	23,053	83.8	18,579	83.3	41,632	84.3	78.0
Drove Alone	21,037	76.5	16,610	74.5	37,647	76.2	68.4
Carpooled:	2,016	7.3	1,969	8.8	3,985	8.1	9.5
In 2-person carpool	1,459	5.3	1,593	7.1	3,052	6.2	6.9
In 3-person carpool	169	0.6	248	1.1	417	0.8	1.5
In 4-or-more-person carpool	388	1.4	128	0.6	516	1.0	1.1
Public Transportation (excl Taxi):	110	0.4	17	0.1	127	0.3	3.6
Bus or Trolley Bus	90	0.3	15	0.1	105	0.2	2.3
Streetcar or Trolley Car	0	0.0	2	0.0	2	0.0	0.8
Subway or Elevated	20	0.1	0	0.0	20	0.0	0.3
Railroad	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.2
Ferryboat	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.1
Bicycle	75	0.3	0	0.0	75	0.2	0.7
Walked	373	1.4	136	0.6	509	1.0	2.4
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	263	1.0	93	0.4	356	0.7	1.7
Worked at Home	3,643	13.2	2,861	12.8	6,504	13.2	13.6
Total:	27, 517	100.0	21,686	97.2	49, 203	99.6	

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 7. SEX OF WORKERS BY MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK FOR WORKPLACE GEOGRAPHY

WOUNT ENGL GLOGIN							
	Ma	ale	Fem	ale	All Wo	orkers	All of CA
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Car, Truck, or Van:	14, 292	77.6	14, 446	79.6	28,738	79.9	78.0
Drove Alone	12,910	70.1	13,206	72.7	26,116	72.6	68.5
Carpooled:	1,382	7.5	1,240	6.8	2,622	7.3	9.5
In 2-person carpool	986	5.4	975	5.4	1,961	5.4	6.9
In 3-person carpool	318	1.7	186	1.0	504	1.4	1.5
In 4-or-more-person carpool	78	0.4	79	0.4	157	0.4	1.1
Public Transportation (excl Taxi):	0	0.0	2	0.0	2	0.0	3.6
Bus or Trolley Bus	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2.3
Streetcar or Trolley Car	0	0.0	2	0.0	2	0.0	0.8
Subway or Elevated	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.3
Railroad	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.2
Ferryboat	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.1
Bicycle	75	0.4	0	0.0	75	0.2	0.7
Walked	310	1.7	92	0.5	402	1.1	2.4
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	106	0.6	155	0.9	261	0.7	1.7
Worked at Home	3,643	19.8	2,861	15.8	6,504	18.1	13.6
Total:	18, 426	100.0	17,556	96.7	35, 982	100.0	

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

The results in this table are for those who work in the region, regardless of the location of their residence.

Commute Times for Employed Residents


Table 8. SEX OF WORKERS BY TRAVEL TIME TO WORK

	Mal	е	Fer	male All \		rkers	All of CA
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Less than 5 minutes	12	0.0	120	0.6	132	0.3	2.1
5 to 9 minutes	2,210	8.2	1,826	8.5	4,036	8.4	7.8
10 to 14 minutes	2,526	9.4	2,763	12.9	5,289	11.0	12.4
15 to 19 minutes	3,801	14.2	5,004	23.3	8,805	18.3	15.4
20 to 24 minutes	2,733	10.2	2,300	10.7	5,033	10.5	14.8
25 to 29 minutes	454	1.7	848	4.0	1,302	2.7	6.4
30 to 34 minutes	1,223	4.6	1,199	5.6	2,422	5.0	15.2
35 to 39 minutes	713	2.7	318	1.5	1,031	2.1	2.9
40 to 44 minutes	639	2.4	676	3.2	1,315	2.7	4.1
45 to 59 minutes	3,879	14.5	846	3.9	4,725	9.8	8.2
60 to 89 minutes	5,050	18.8	2,385	11.1	7,435	15.5	7.2
90 or more minutes	1,709	6.4	379	1.8	2,088	4.3	3.6
Total:	24,949	93.0	18,664	87.1	43,613	90.8	

Source: 2022 1-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Figure 79: Percent of Employed Population With Figure 80: Percent of Employed Population With Commutes of More than 30 Minutes

Commutes of More than 90 Minutes







Figure 81: Rank: Share of MegaCommuters Across Similar Geographies



Commute Times for Those Employed in the City

Table 9. SEX OF WORKERS BY TRAVEL TIME TO WORK FOR WORKPLACE GEOGRAPHY

WORKPLAC	JE GEOGH	KAPHY					
	Mal	le	Fem	Female		rkers	All of CA
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Less than 5 minutes	209	1.1	120	0.7	329	0.9	2.1
5 to 9 minutes	1,949	10.5	1,632	9.2	3,581	9.9	7.8
10 to 14 minutes	1,577	8.5	1,545	8.7	3,122	8.6	12.4
15 to 19 minutes	2,541	13.6	2,797	15.8	5,338	14.7	15.3
20 to 24 minutes	2,144	11.5	2,846	16.1	4,990	13.7	14.8
25 to 29 minutes	683	3.7	1,077	6.1	1,760	4.8	6.4
30 to 34 minutes	2,418	13.0	2,538	14.3	4,956	13.6	15.2
35 to 39 minutes	314	1.7	328	1.9	642	1.8	2.9
40 to 44 minutes	431	2.3	486	2.7	917	2.5	4.1
45 to 59 minutes	907	4.9	1, 155	6.5	2,062	5.7	8.2
60 to 89 minutes	978	5.3	673	3.8	1,651	4.5	7.2
90 or more minutes	389	2.1	190	1.1	579	1.6	3.6
Total:	14,540	78.1	15, 387	86.8	29,927	82.3	

Source: 2022 1-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Figure 82: Percent of Local Employees With Figure 83: Percent of Local Employees With Commutes of More than 30 Minutes

Commutes of More than 90 Minutes





Figure 84: Rank: Share of MegaCommuters Across Similar Geographies



The results in this table are for those who work in the region, regardless of the location of their residence.

Place of Work

This section provides evidence on where workers living in Murrieta work. As evidenced in the first table, some of Murrieta's employed workers work in the City, but many do not. The first table and graph pair provide evidence at the county level while the second provide evidence with regard to working outside of the Murrieta city boundary.

Table 10. SEX OF WORKERS BY PLACE OF WORK-STATE AND COUNTY LEVEL

	Male		Female		All Workers		All of CA
Place of Work	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Worked in state of residence:	29,731	98.5	23, 528	100.0	53, 259	99.2	99.6
Worked in county of residence	22,294	73.9	19,567	83.2	41,861	78.0	85.3
worked outside of county of residence	7,437	24.6	3,961	16.8	11,398	21.2	14.3
Worked outside state of residence	443	1.5	0	0.0	443	0.8	0.4
Total:	30, 174	100.0	23,528	100.0	53, 702	100.0	

Source: 2022 1-year American Community Survey, Summary File

30 Percent of Working Population 25 20 15 10 2005 2010 2015 2020 2025 Year: Through 2022 Murrieta (21.2) Riverside County (25.6) California (14.2) United States (21.5) Source: American Community Survey, 1-year Summary Files Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)


Figure 85: Percent of Workers Employed Outside of Their County of Residence

Table 11. SEX OF WORKERS BY PLACE OF WORK-PLACE LEVEL

	M	ale	Fen	nale	All W	orkers	All of CA
Place of Work	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Living in a place:	30, 174	100.0	23, 528	100.0	53, 702	100.0	95.8
Worked in place of residence	10, 110	33.5	8,525	36.2	18,635	34.7	42.3
Worked outside place of residence	20,064	66.5	15,003	63.8	35,067	65.3	53.4
Not living in a place	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4.2
Total:	30, 174	100.0	23, 528	100.0	53, 702	100.0	

Source: 2022 1-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Figure 86: Percent of Workers Employed Outside of Their Place of Residence



Commute Mode by Income

Table 12. MEDIAN EARNINGS IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS BY MEANS OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK

City California			United State		
Median	Median	Ratio	Median	Ratio	
52,687	48, 335	101.0	45,677	99.5	
36,723	35,926	94.7	34,518	91.8	
	34,625		41,443		
43,103	30,552	130.8	27,247	136.5	
42,500	40,631	96.9	36,218	101.2	
67, 186	79,738	78.1	69,180	83.8	
53, 749	49,818	107.9	46,365	115.9	
	Median 52, 687 36, 723 43, 103 42, 500 67, 186	Median Median 52,687 48,335 36,723 35,926 34,625 43,103 42,500 40,631 67,186 79,738	Median Median Ratio 52,687 48,335 101.0 36,723 35,926 94.7 34,625 43,103 30,552 130.8 42,500 40,631 96.9 67,186 79,738 78.1	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	

Source: 2022 1-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Notes: 1) Ratio = the ratio of the regional median to either the CA or US median, relative to the Total ratio. Values above 100 imply a high local median. Values below 100 imply a low local median.

For example, a value of 200 means that the local mean is 2x higher than would be expected. For "Total:", ratio is simply the ratio of the medians.

Table 13. MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK BY WORKERS' EARNINGS

	< \$25	,000	\$25,000-	\$74,999	\$75,0	00+	Al	l	All of CA
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Car, Truck, or Van: Drove Alone	8,973	57.0	11,277	75.0	12,747	74.3	37,647	76.2	68.4
Car, Truck, or Van: Carpooled	1,176	7.5	1,198	8.0	1,155	6.7	3,985	8.1	9.5
Public Transportation (excl Taxi)	73	0.5	40	0.3	14	0.1	127	0.3	3.6
Walked	258	1.6	96	0.6	136	0.8	509	1.0	2.4
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	121	0.8	140	0.9	105	0.6	431	0.9	2.4
Worked at Home	867	5.5	2,292	15.2	2,998	17.5	6,504	13.2	13.6
Total:	11,468	72.9	15,043		17, 155		49, 203	99.6	100.0

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 14. MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK BY WORKERS' EARNINGS FOR WORKPLACE GEOGRAPHY

	< \$25	5,000	\$25,000-	\$25,000-\$74,999		\$75,000+			All of CA
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Car, Truck, or Van: Drove Alone	7,831	55.2	7, 281	70.2	6,863	65.2	26, 116	72.6	68.5
Car, Truck, or Van: Carpooled	878	6.2	614	5.9	535	5.1	2,622	7.3	9.5
Public Transportation (excl Taxi)	2	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	0.0	3.6
Walked	200	1.4	66	0.6	49	0.5	402	1.1	2.4
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	62	0.4	121	1.2	77	0.7	336	0.9	2.4
Worked at Home	867	6.1	2,292	22.1	2,998	28.5	6,504	18.1	13.6
Total:	9,840	69.4	10,374		10,522		35, 982		

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

The results in this table are for those who work in the region, regardless of the location of their residence.

²⁾ For regions with more than one geography, the medians are averages weighted by working population.

Commute Mode by Poverty Status

Table 15. MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK BY POVERTY STATUS

	In Po	verty	100-149	% of Pov	>150%	of Pov	Al		All of CA
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Car, Truck, or Van: Drove Alone	1, 249	60.1	925	34.4	35, 434	75.9	37,608	76.2	68.7
Car, Truck, or Van: Carpooled	109	5.2	167	6.2	3,709	7.9	3,985	8.1	9.5
Public Transportation (excl Taxi)	5	0.2	0	0.0	122	0.3	127	0.3	3.6
Walked	40	1.9	13	0.5	410	0.9	463	0.9	2.1
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	50	2.4	16	0.6	365	0.8	431	0.9	2.4
Worked at Home	136	6.5	52	1.9	6,312	13.5	6,500	13.2	13.6
Total:	1,589	76.5	1,173	43.6	46,352	99.3	49, 114	99.5	

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 16. MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK BY POVERTY STATUS FOR WORKPLACE GEOGRAPHY

	In Poverty		100-149	100-149% of Pov		>150% of Pov		All	
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Car, Truck, or Van: Drove Alone	1,017	43.8	1,137	46.1	23,954	72.2	26, 108	72.6	68.7
Car, Truck, or Van: Carpooled	164	7.1	202	8.2	2,236	6.7	2,602	7.2	9.5
Public Transportation (excl Taxi)	2	0.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	0.0	3.6
Walked	12	0.5	4	0.2	386	1.2	402	1.1	2.1
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	22	0.9	34	1.4	280	0.8	336	0.9	2.4
Worked at Home	136	5.9	52	2.1	6,312	19.0	6,500	18.1	13.6
Total:	1,353	58.2	1,429	57.9	33, 168		35,950		

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

The results in this table are for those who work in the region, regardless of the location of their residence.

Migration

Overall Migration Flows

Definition:

The United States is a country with an increasingly mobile population. People move, migrate, from one place to another with increasing frequency.

Why is it important?

Having a handle on whether or not Murrieta is a net recipient (migration inflows) or donor (migration outflows) of population is very important for understanding trends in the City's development. This section outlines migration patterns by age, education, income, marital status, and housing tenure. Understanding recent trends is very important for making policy, investment, and other decisions about the future. Also, understanding the extent to which the population is stable, or experiences significant turnover each year is helpful for planning purposes.




Figure 87: Overall Movements of Residents

Table 17: Migration by Income

		Net Inflows						
			Same	e State		_		
			W/in	Between	Across	From		
Category	Population	All Migration	County	Counties	States	Abroad		
No income	15,314	378	124	126	-61	189		
With income	72,051	-252	-708	1,391	-1,185	250		
\$1 to \$9,999 or loss	9,547	-523	-245	-254	-106	82		
\$10,000 to \$14,999	5,028	-133	153	3	-313	24		
\$15,000 to \$24,999	7,996	-33	-70	211	-183	9		
\$25,000 to \$34,999	8,177	-7	34	83	-137	13		
\$35,000 to \$49,999	8,651	63	93	192	-222	0		
\$50,000 to \$64,999	6,458	-45	-239	178	-52	68		
\$65,000 to \$74,999	5,098	417	25	467	-75	0		
\$75,000 or more	21,096	9	-459	511	-97	54		
All:	87, 365	126	-584	1,517	-1,246	439		

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Note: The data in this and other tables in this section are limited in that there is no information on the City's population that has moved abroad.

The "From Abroad" column is gross movements into the City from abroad.

Figure 88: Overall Movements of Low Income Residents




Figure 89: Overall Movements of Middle Income Residents





Figure 90: Overall Movements of High Income Residents



Demographics of Migration Flows

Table 18: Migration by Marital Status

		N	et Inflows			
			Sam	e State		_
			W/in	Between	Across	From
Category	Population	All Migration	County	Counties	States	Abroad
Never married	26,796	-540	4	-169	-467	92
Now married, except separated	46,968	152	-398	900	-601	251
Divorced	7,871	32	-178	286	-76	0
Separated	1,683	394	9	343	-37	79
Widowed	4,047	88	-21	157	-65	17
Total:	87, 365	126	-584	1,517	-1,246	439

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 19: Migration by Tenure

		1				
			Same State			_
			W/in	Between	Across	From
Category	Population	All Migration	County	Counties	States	Abroad
Householder lived in owner-occupied housing units	84,570	256	-2,690	2,968	-543	521
Householder lived in renter-occupied housing units	27,486	-1,462	1,056	-747	-1,771	0
Total:	112,056	-1,206	-1,634	2, 221	-2,314	521

Source: 2022 1-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Figure 91: Domestic Movements of Residents by Tenure 6,000 Net Inflows of People Ages 15+ 4,000 2,000 -2,000 2012 2014 2010 2016 2018 2008 Year: Through 2022 Owner: Intra-State --- Owner: Inter-State Renter: Intra-State --- Renter: Inter-State Source: 1-year American Community Survey Summary Files Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)

Table 20: Migration by Age

		Net Inflows						
				State				
			W/in	Between	Across	From		
Category	Population	All Migration	County	Counties	States	Abroad		
1 to 4 years	6,556	63	-271	340	-18	12		
5 to 17 years	22,887	307	-76	399	-169	153		
18 and 19 years	3,852	-702	-58	-205	-446	7		
20 to 24 years	6,923	-391	-33	-201	-164	7		
25 to 29 years	7,087	480	67	411	-21	23		
30 to 34 years	6,746	-109	-321	298	-95	9		
35 to 39 years	8,164	195	-105	330	-41	11		
40 to 44 years	8,370	392	33	447	-236	148		
45 to 49 years	7,536	-238	-65	-101	-84	12		
50 to 54 years	6,487	-114	-139	-2	27	0		
55 to 59 years	6,599	-66	6	-83	-23	34		
60 to 64 years	5,921	262	92	201	-31	0		
65 to 69 years	4,335	288	31	224	9	24		
70 to 74 years	3,110	-33	-172	87	0	52		
75 years and over	6,369	-60	-4	40	-130	34		
Total Population:	110,942	274	-1,015	2, 185	-1,422	526		

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 21: Migration by Educational Attainment

		N	et Inflows			
			Sam	e State		_
			W/in	Between	Across	From
Category	Population	All Migration	County	Counties	States	Abroad
Less than high school graduate	5,217	-267	-281	14	0	0
High school graduate (includes equiv)	15,109	1,521	580	1,030	-283	194
Some college or assoc. degree	29,416	-752	-158	95	-689	0
Bachelor's degree	14,076	-103	-123	331	-443	132
Graduate or professional degree	7,996	-56	-307	283	-32	0
Total:	71,814	343	-289	1,753	-1,447	326

Source: 2022 1-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 22: Median Income of Migration Flows

Flow	In-Migration	Out-Migration
Same House 1 Year Ago	43,879	43,879
Moved Within Same County	53, 131	32,292
Moved to Different County, Same State	40,209	27,209
Moved Between States	64,640	36,146
Moved from Abroad	195, 549	
Total Population:	44, 379	42, 453

Source: 2022 1-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 23: Median Age of Migration Flows

rabio 201 modian / 190 or imgration i forto		
Flow	In-Migration	Out-Migration
Same House 1 Year Ago	37.5	37.5
Moved Within Same County	28.0	24.0
Moved to Different County, Same State	30.2	24.9
Moved Between States	41.3	27.7
Moved from Abroad	33.1	
Total Population:	36.2	35.4

Source: 2022 1-year American Community Survey, Summary File

References and Sources

The majority of the data presented in this report are from the American Community Survey (ACS). For larger geographies, the 1-year Summary Files provide the data. For smaller communities, roughly those with less than 65,000 in population in 2021, the 5-year Summary Files provide the data.

The ACS data are supplemented by building permit data from the U.S. Census Bureau, population and housing data from the California Department of Finance, and home price and rental rates from Zillow.

U.S. Census Bureau. American Community Survey 1-year and 5-year Summary Files. https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/data/data-via-ftp.html. The 1-year data are released in September each year and the 5-year data are relased in January.

Zillow Research Data https://www.zillow.com/research/data/

U.S. Census Bureau. Building Permits Data, updated annually in February. https://www.census.gov/construction/bps/current.html

State of California, Department of Finance, E-5 Population and Housing Estimates for Cities, Counties and the State — January 1. Sacramento, California, May. https://dof.ca.gov/forecasting/demographics/estimates/

State of California, Department of Finance, E-2. California County Population Estimates and Components of Change by Year, July 1, 2010-2021. Sacramento, California, December. https://dof.ca.gov/forecasting/demographics/

State of California, Department of Finance, E-1 Population Estimates for Cities, Counties and the State with Annual Percent Change — January 1. Sacramento, California, May. https://dof.ca.gov/forecasting/demographics/