Los Altos, California

Indicators Report

by
The National Economic Education Delegation (NEED)

April 20, 2024

Exploring the economics, demographics, and well-being of Los Altos and its residents through indicators.

This report was produced by the:

National Economic Education Delegation 271 Arias St. San Rafael, CA 94903 415-336-5705 www.NEEDEcon.org Contact: Jon@NEEDEcon.org

Executive Summary

Assessing the City with Indicators

About this Report

This report provides background or summary information for the city of Los Altos (the City) in the form of indicators.

Using this Report

Indicators are measures of various aspects of a regional economy. They help to provide an indication of the quality of life in a region and progress toward improving conditions in the local economy. This report focuses on indicators for changing demographics, incomes, housing markets, commute patterns, and employment in Los Altos. These indicators are compared to Santa Clara County (the County) as a whole, a broader region where one is well defined, California, and the United Sates.

This report is vital for understanding trends in the underlying economy. It does not provide forecasts, but Rob Eyler and Jon Haveman at Economic Forensics and Analytics are available to provide them if that is of interest.

Topics Covered:

- **Demographics:** A detailed snopshot of Los Altos demographics is presented. This provides evidence on the size, age and sex, income and poverty status, race and ethnicity, housing status, living arrangements, education, health, and transportation choices of the population. Beyond the current population level, data on trends in local population growth, in comparison with other broader regions is presented, in both tabular and graphical form.
- **Employment Report:** Here, we provide a brief snapshot or employment and unemployment in Los Altos and how the City's experience differs from broader regions.
- Income and Earnings: Vital to understanding the prosperity of a city relative to its surrounding area is information on income and earnings. We provide a ranking of the City's income relative to all cities in California as well as growth relative to local regions. Inequality and poverty status are also important indicators for the level of equity in the community. We provide evidence of trends in both, not only for all residents, but also for children separately.
- Housing: This section provides evidence on the cost and availability of housing. Both median home values and rental costs are included, along with detailed information on home ownership, by age and income, in particular. Further, evidence is provided on the housing burden in the City, again, in comparison with other broader regions. We also provide evidence on the rate at which new buildings and units are permitted along with a broader housing picture. Finally, we provide evidence on the age of the housing stock in Los Altos, along with information on how long the City's residents have been in place.
- Transportation: Increasingly important, in the wake of the pandemic, is an understanding of
 the transportation patterns and choices of local residents. We provide detailed evidence on the
 proprotion of residents who work from home and on the various transportation choices of those
 who head to the office. This information is also provided for those who work in Los Altos, but do
 not necessarily live in Los Altos.
- **Migration:** Population changes comes primarily through organic causes: births and deaths. Migration between regions also plays a significant role in population growth. A final section of the report provides evidence on migration into and out of the City.

Contents

Executive Summary Assessing the City with Indicators	1 1
Demographics A Demographic Snapshot Current Population	3 3 5
Employment Report Citywide Employment and Unemployment	8 9 10
Per Capita Personal Income Growth	16 16 19
Housing Costs and Affordability	27
Mode of Transportation	34 34 36 37 38 40
Overall Migration Flows	12 12 14

Demographics

Definition:

Data on the demographics of a city indicate the nature of the population, with a focus on age, gender, race and ethnicity, as well as household compositon.

Why is it important?

The characteristics and growth of Los Altos's population are fundamental indicators of the city's growth potential.

A Demographic Snapshot

Statistic	2022	2019
POPULATION		
Population Estimate (#, 5yr)	31,133.0	30,504.0
Veterans (#, 5yr)	654.0	943.0
Foreign born persons (%, 5yr)	33.5	31.3
Population age 25+ (#, 5yr)	21,599.0	21,494.0
AGE AND SEX		
Persons under 5 years (%, 5yr)	4.1	4.3
Persons under 18 years (%, 5yr)	24.4	25.8
Persons 65 years and over (%, 5yr)	20.5	20.0
Female persons (%, 5yr)	49.6	51.8
INCOME AND POVERTY		
Median household income (\$, 5yr)	250,001.0	235,278.0
Per capita income in past 12 months (\$, 5yr)	142,219.0	115,456.0
Persons in poverty (%, 5yr)	3.1	2.8
Children age less than 18 in poverty (#, 5yr)	171.0	209.0
Children age less than 18 in poverty (%, 5yr)	2.3	2.7
RACE AND ETHNICITY	545	04.0
White alone (%, 5yr)	54.5	61.6
African American alone (%, 5yr)	0.7	0.5
American Indian or Alaska Native alone (%, 5yr)	0.1	0.1
Asian alone (%, 5yr)	36.8	31.4
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone (%, 5yr)	0.0 6.0	0.0 5.5
Two or More Races (%, 5yr)	4.5	5.5 4.4
Hispanic or Latino (%, 5yr) White alone, not Hispanic or Latino (%, 5yr)	53.0	58.1
HOUSING	55.0	30.1
Housing units (#, 5yr)	11,685.0	11,057.0
Owner-occupied housing units (%, 5yr)	81.5	81.0
Median value of owner-occupied housing units (\$, 5yr)	2,000,001.0	2,000,001.0
Median selected monthly owner costs-with a mortgage (\$, 5yr)	4,001.0	4,001.0
Median selected monthly owner costs-without a mortgage (\$, 5yr)	1,501.0	1,329.0
Median gross rent (\$, 5yr)	3,501.0	3,188.0
FAMILIES AND LIVING ARRANGEMENTS	0,001.0	0,100.0
Households (#, 5yr)	10,887.0	10,652.0
Persons per household (#, 5yr)	2.8	2.8
Living in same house 1 year ago, % of persons age 1+ (5yr)	89.3	89.1
EDUCATION		
High school graduate or higher, % of persons age 25+ (5yr)	98.9	98.4
Bachelor's degree or higher, % of persons age 25+ (5yr)	86.4	84.2
HEALTH		
With a disability, under age 65 years (#, 5yr)	565.0	443.0
Persons without health insurance, under age 65 years (%, 5yr)	1.1	0.9
LABOR FORCE	=	
In civilian labor force, persons age 16+ (%, 5yr)	58.1	60.4
In civilian labor force, women age 16+ (%, 5yr)	51.4	52.6
Employed, persons age 16+ (%, 5yr)	53.2	55.6
Self employed (%, 5yr) TRANSPORTATION	12.9	15.8
Mean travel time to work, workers age 16+ (Mins., 5yr)	15.7	22.1
Drive alone in private vehicle (%, 5yr)	58.1	78.3
Using public transportation (%, 5yr)	2.6	4.9
Worked from home (%, 5yr)	34.0	10.7

Source: American Community Survey, Summary Files
Note: Data are from the 1-year files unless indicated by the notation 5yr.

Current Population

The data in these two tables and the following two graphs are from the CA Department of Finance (DOF). The DOF produces population estimates for geographies around California twice a year: January and July. As estimates for cities are only available in January, these two tables are based on the January data. The remaining figures are from the American Community Survey (ACS), provided annually by the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

Table 1. Population Change by Region

(Thousands, January to January)

	2023		% Change					
Region	Population	1 Year	3 Year	5 Year				
	C	ity						
Los Altos	31,021	-0.76	0.87	-0.65				
	County and B	roader Re	gions					
Santa Clara County	1,886,079	-0.26	-3.04	-3.17				
Bay Area	7,548,792	-0.45	-2.58	-2.62				
California	38,940,231	-0.35	-1.79	-2.01				

Source: CA DOF; Calculations by National Economic Education Delegation


Table 2. County Population Change by City

(Thousands, January to January)


				% Change	9
City	2022	2023	Local	Bay Area	California
Santa Clara County	1,891.0	1,886.1	-0.26	-0.45	-0.35
San Jose	963.7	959.3	-0.47		
Sunnyvale	156.4	156.3	-0.03		
Santa Clara	130.5	132.5	1.54		
Mountain View	83.9	83.6	-0.30		
Milpitas	80.9	81.1	0.25		
Palo Alto	67.7	67.3	-0.60		
Gilroy	59.7	60.1	0.62		
Cupertino	59.7	59.2	-0.87		
Morgan Hill	46.2	45.9	-0.67		
Campbell	43.1	42.7	-0.88		
Los Gatos	33.2	33.1	-0.20		
Los Altos	31.3	31.0	-0.76		
Saratoga	30.8	30.6	-0.62		
Los Altos Hills	8.4	8.4	-0.40		
Monte Sereno	3.5	3.5	1.09		

Source: CA DOF; Calculations by National Economic Education Delegation

Figure 1: Population Growth (1) 10 Percent Change from 2010 -10 -20 1990 2000 2010 2020 2030 Year, through 2023 Los Altos (7.7%) Santa Clara County (5.9%) California (4.6%) Source: CA, Department of Finance Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)



Los Altos Population by Age Los Altos Male and Female Population by Age, 2022 Change over 10 years, to 2022 15 5.0 10.0 15.0 1 0.0 1.0 Change in Share of Population 3.0 4.0 Males Decreases Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 5-yr American Community Survey Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org) Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 5-yr American Community Survey Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)






Figure 5: Population by Educational Attainment




Figure 6: Population by Race/Ethnicity





Figure 7: Population by Race/Ethnicity Over Time



Employment Report

Citywide Employment and Unemployment

Definition:

Each month, California's Employment Development Division (EDD) publishes an update on employment in California and in MSAs, counties, and cities all across the state. The report focuses primarily on non-farm employment, providing estimates of changes in em-

ployment by industry as well as unemployment in each region. Data for cities is limited to aggregate employment, labor force, and unemployment data. Those are reported below.

Why is it important?


Employment growth is a fundamental indicator of the health of an economy.

Table 3. Los Altos Summary for March, 2024

	Change From:							
Category	Current Value	Last Month	2 Months Ago	Last Year				
Employment	8,924	-30	-53	-103				
Labor Force	9,644	9	15	96				
Number Unemployed	678	-4	21	97				
Unemployment Rate	7.0	-0.0	0.2	0.9				

Source: EDD, National Economic Education Delegation

Figure 8: Historical Employment and Unemploy- Figure 9: Employment and Unemployment - Last








Figure 10: Relative Employment Growth Across Figure 11: Relative Employment Growth Across Regions - since 2010 Regions - since 2019





County Employment by Industry

California's Employment Development Division (EDD) does not regularly produce data on employment by industry for cities. However, we are able to report industry-level employment data for Santa Clara County. The following table provides the latest data for the County.

Table 4. Employment Growth by Industry in Santa Clara County for March, 2024

			Empl	% Growth - Annualized Rate					
Industry	Employment	Share	Growth	Month	Qtr	6mo	1yr	3yr	5yr
Total Nonfarm	1, 160, 919	100.0	3,973.9	4.2	1.6	1.5	0.2	3.0	0.5
Goods Producing	228,703	19.7	278.5	1.5	-4.9	-2.6	-2.1	2.6	0.7
Mining and Logging	200	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	33.3	0.0
Construction	53,354	4.6	517.3	12.4	-7.2	-4.8	-2.0	2.0	1.0
Manufacturing	174,825	15.1	-383.1	-2.6	-4.9	-2.1	-2.1	2.6	0.5
Durable Goods	167,204	14.4	-401.3	-2.8	-5.0	-2.3	-2.5	2.7	1.0
Non-Durable Goods	7,374	0.6	11.1	1.8	-2.3	0.9	4.3	1.3	-6.7
Service Providing	933,606	80.4	4,375.2	5.8	3.2	2.5	0.7	3.2	0.5
Trade, Trans & Utilities	118,031	10.2	204.7	2.1	-0.6	-1.4	-1.2	0.3	-1.8
Wholesale Trade	27,780	2.4	-2.5	-0.1	-2.6	-4.7	-3.5	-0.1	-2.4
Retail Trade	72,175	6.2	106.5	1.8	0.2	-0.1	0.1	-0.4	-2.6
Information	96,423	8.3	225.9	2.9	-10.1	-7.7	-7.4	-2.9	-0.1
Financial Activities	37,808	3.3	5.0	0.2	0.1	-1.0	-0.8	-0.2	0.6
Finance & Insurance	21,366	1.8	35.0	2.0	-0.0	-3.1	-1.8	-2.7	-0.2
Real Estate & Rental & Leasing	16,408	1.4	-34.9	-2.5	0.5	2.6	0.6	3.6	1.6
Professional & Business Srvcs	250,804	21.6	2,129.1	10.8	5.5	4.3	-0.2	1.4	0.9
Prof, Sci, & Tech	169,093	14.6	753.2	5.5	0.5	1.7	-1.9	0.8	0.8
Educational & Health Srvcs	204,231	17.6	1,015.2	6.2	6.9	6.3	6.1	6.0	3.2
Education Srvcs	50,684	4.4	58.2	1.4	3.6	3.6	4.0	6.5	0.7
Health Care & Social Assistance	152,533	13.1	1,088.1	9.0	6.5	7.8	6.9	5.5	3.8
Leisure & Hospitality	102,403	8.8	572.1	7.0	4.6	3.8	1.8	17.3	-0.4
Other Srvcs	24,284	2.1	261.2	13.9	-10.1	-3.0	-1.2	4.9	-3.1
Government	97,358	8.4	697.2	9.0	6.8	4.5	3.6	3.4	0.5
Federal	9,920	0.9	13.7	1.7	1.2	-0.5	-0.1	-1.3	0.4
State	6,856	0.6	25.0	4.5	6.8	1.4	1.3	2.3	0.5
Local	80,812	7.0	630.7	9.9	7.8	5.2	4.2	4.2	0.5

Source: EDD, National Economic Education Delegation (NEED)

Some Employee Detail

Employed in Los Altos







Figure 12: Employment by Occupation





Speak only English Speak Spanish (SS) SS - English very well 10.3 SS - English less than very well Speak other languages (SOL) 36.7 SOL - English very well 9.7 SOL - English less than very well 11.0 20 40 60 Percent (%) of Workers Los Altos Santa Clara County Source: American Community Survey, 2022 5-yr Summary Files. Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org).

Figure 14: Language Spoken at Home



Jon Haveman, Ph.D. ● National Economic Education Delegation Jon@NEEDEcon.org • 415-336-5705

Employed Residents of Los Altos







Figure 16: Employment by Occupation






59.9 Speak only English Speak Spanish (SS) 17.0 SS - English very well 10.0 SS - English less than very well Speak other languages (SOL) 39.3 32.3 SOL - English very well 27.1 SOL - English less than very well 12.2 20 40 60 Percent (%) of Workers Los Altos Santa Clara County Source: American Community Survey, 2022 5-yr Summary Files. Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org).

Figure 18: Language Spoken at Home





Employed Residents vs Workers in Los Altos





Figure 20: Employment by Occupation






Percent of Workers 59.9 Speak only English Speak Spanish (SS) 12.9 SS - English very well SS - English less than very well 36.7 Speak other languages (SOL) 33.0 32.3 SOL - English very well SOL - English less than very well 20 40 60 **Employed Residents** Locally Employed Source: American Community Survey, 2022 5-yr Summary Files. Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org).

Figure 22: Language Spoken at Home





Income and Earnings

Per Capita Income Growth

Definition:

Per capita income is the average income per person in Los Altos. Personal income is the income received by, or on behalf of, all persons from all sources: from participation as laborers in production, from owning a home or unincorporated business, from the ownership of financial assets, and from government and business in the form of transfer receipts. Noncash government benefits are not included.

Why is it important?

Income is the money that is available to persons for consumption expenditures, taxes, interest payments, transfer payments to governments and the rest of the world, or for saving. As such, it is an important indicator of economic well-being in a community.






Figure 24: Real Per Capita Income Ranking Among California Cities




Real Per Capita Income Ranking Among California Cities - w/Comparable Populations



Real Per Capita Income Ranking Among Cities in Santa Clara County

Figure 28: Income Levels Figure 29: Growth over Time Gilroy (15) Los Gatos (4) San Jose (14) Cupertino (8) Milpitas (13) Santa Clara (10) Morgan Hill (12) Milpitas (13) Campbell (11) LOS ALTOS (3) Santa Clara (10) Mountain View (7) Sunnyvale (9) Sunnyvale (9) 89.4 Cupertino (8) Campbell (11) Mountain View (7) San Jose (14) 3.5 102.1 Saratoga (6) Palo Alto (5) Palo Alto (5) Los Altos Hills (1) Los Gatos (4) Morgan Hill (12) LOS ALTOS (3) 142.2 Gilroy (15) 157.9 Monte Sereno (2) Monte Sereno (2) -0.1 Los Altos Hills (1) Saratoga (6) -0.5 20 40 60 80 100 120 140 160 180 ò 10 5 Per Capita Income in 2022, Thousands of Dollars Percent (%) Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2022 5-yr American Community Survey
The # in parentheses is the ranking out of 15 geographies.
Geographies are selected and ranked based on population.
These are the cities in the same county as the target city.
Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org) Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2022 5-yr American Community Survey The # in parentheses is the ranking out of 15 geographies. Geographies are selected and ranked based on population. These are the cities in the same county as the target city. Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)



Poverty and Inequality


Definition:


The local poverty rate provides an indication of the well-being of those at the bottom of the income distribution. The federal poverty rate measures the proportion of households in the region that are classified as living in poverty. Also included are measures of the extent to which the City's children are impoverished. Measures of the income distribution provide

further evidence on disparities in income in the region and how those disparities have changed over time.

Why is it important?

It is important to track measures of poverty and inequality to assess the extent of income disparities in the region, with an eye toward understanding how well the local economy is performing for all of its citizens.






2022 50 Percent of All Income 40 30 20 10 0 Third Quintile Bottom Quintile Second Quintile Fourth Quintile Top Quintile Top 5% Los Altos Santa Clara County California **United States**

Figure 32: Shares Across the Income Distribution



Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)

Source: American Community Survey, 5-yr Summary Files



Housing

Housing Costs and Affordability


Definition:


Housing costs are measured in several different ways. First, we provide evidence on the evolution of median home prices, median rental price, and finally through evidence on the housing burden in the city and comparison regions. Housing burden is defined as a household needing to commit more than 30% of their household income toward housing costs. The median value is the amount in the middle. Fifty percent of units are above the median and 50 percent are below.


Why is it important?

Housing is one of three fundamental necessities, along with food and clothing. A measure of the cost of housing is an integral part of the measurement of the cost of living in a specific community. This is particularly true in cities and regions throughout the Bay Area, where housing costs are high relative to income.

Cost of Housing in Los Altos and Broader Regions







Housing Ownership in Los Altos and Broader Regions

Figure 36: Home Ownership Rates




Figure 37: Home Ownership by Age




Figure 38: Income by Tenure




Figure 39: Income Distribution by Tenure




Figure 40: Income Distribution of Home Owners




Figure 41: Income Distribution of Renters



Housing Burden in Los Altos and Broader Regions

Figure 42: Home Owners w/ A Mortgage



Figure 43: Home Owners w/o A Mortgage




Figure 44: Renters





Figure 45: Homeowner Housing Burden by Age



Housing Picture

Definition:

Housing costs are measured in several different ways. First, we provide evidence on the evolution of median home prices, median rental price, and finally through evidence on the housing burden in the city and comparison regions. The median value is the amount in the middle. Fifty percent of units are above the median and 50 percent are below.

Why is it important?

In areas where the rate of population growth exceeds the rate of housing growth, this is likely to reflect a tightening housing market. A tightening housing market will also likely be reflected in lower vacancy rates and higher occupancy rates. It may also be reflected in higher numbers of people per household.

Table 5. Housing Market Indicators

				% Cha	ange from
Indicator	2023	2019	2010	2019	2010
Total Population	31,021.0	30,881.0	28,976.0	0.5	7.1
Total # of Homes	11,871.0	11,672.0	11,204.0	1.7	6.0
# Occupied Units	11,229.0	11,109.0	10,745.0	1.1	4.5
Persons per Household	2.7	2.8	2.7	-0.7	2.4
Vacancy Rate (%)	5.4	4.8	4.1	12.1	32.0

Source: CA DOF; Calculations by the National Economic Education Delegation

Figure 46: Housing Growth




Figure 47: Persons per Household




Figure 48: Vacancy Rates





Figure 49: Number of Occupanied Units



Trends in the Growth of Housing by Housing Type

Figure 50: Single Detached Homes

Figure 51: Single Attached Homes

15.0

12.5

10.0

7.5

0.0

2010

2015

2020

Year, through 2023

Los Altos (6.8%)

Source: CA, Department of Finance
Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)






Figure 52: Housing in Buildings with Two to Four Figure 53: Housing in Buildings with Five or More Units





Vintage of Residential Housing

Why is it important?

This section provides evidence on the year in which residential housing in Los Altos was built. We break it down into owned versus rented residences and provide a comparison across Santa Clara County and broader regions. A sense of the age of housing in a region provides an indication of the urgency with which a region might pursue additional housing. As the housing stock ages, an urgency with which renovations and rebuilds are permitted might result. All things equal, more recently constructed housing will be more likely to meet current codes and standards. Remodeling of existing units will be more desirable when existing units are, on average, older.




Figure 55: Housing Vintage across Regions




Figure 56: Housing Vintage by Tenure




Figure 57: Vintage of Owned Residences




Figure 58: Vintage of Rented Residences





Figure 59: Vintage of All Residences



Occupation of Residential Housing

Why is it important?

The duration of residence in a city is important for developing future policies regarding growing the local population. If a region is highly mobile, evidenced by most residences having been recently occupied, a city might propose policies to reduce that mobility, or ask why the mobility happens. Policies could be put in place to either reduce or increase migration.




Figure 61: Year Occupied by Current Residents Figure 62: Year Occupied by Current Residents across Regions by Tenure



Figure 63: Year Occupied by Current Residents Figure 64: Year Occupied by Current Residents for Owned Housing for Rented Housing





Figure 65: Year Occupied by Current Residents for All Housing



Residential Permitting

Definition:


This indicator provides evidence on the number of residential buildings that are permitted for construction each year. Permit data for Los Altos is compared with data from Santa Clara County as a whole and broader regions. The statistic provided scales the number of permits by population. This is done to facilitate comparisons across regions.

Why is it important?

Building permits are the best indicator available of new units coming on the market. In order for a region's population to grow and flourish, new residential properties must be added to the existing stock. Building, both in the City and in the County more generally, is an indication of the extent to which new residences accommodate new residents or are affecting prices through increased supply.


Los Altos - Ranking Among Comparables


Figure 66: Number of Units Permitted - Nationwide Comparables (Rank)



Paradise town, CA Capitola, ĆA 1.20 Santee, 1.18 Pacific Grove, 1.18 Richmond, 1.17 Kern Unincorporated Area, 1.16 Buena Park, Alameda Unincorporated Area, 1.13 Oakland, 1.13 Weed, 1.12 LOS ALTOS 1.12 Garden Grove, La Canada Flintridge, Santa Cruz Unincorporated Area, San Fernando, 1.11 1.11 Sutter Unincorporated Area, 1.10 Woodside town, 1.09 Hayward, C. 1.08 Torrance, CA 1.06 Twentynine Palms, CA 1.06 Barstow, CA 0.00 (515) 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 Units Permitted Per 1,000 in Population: 2023 Source: U.S. Census Bureau. The # in parentheses is the ranking out of 515 geographies. Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)

Figure 67: Number of Units Permitted - California Comparables (Rank)





Los Altos - Permitting Activity

Annual Units Permitted - Per Capita in Los Altos

Figure 69: Units Permitted Each Year



Figure 70: Average Annual Growth in Units Permitted




Annual Number of Buildings Permitted - Per Capita in Los Altos

Figure 72: Average Annual Growth in Buildings Permitted


Figure 71: Units Permitted Each Year





Annual Value of Property Permitted - Per Capita in Los Altos

Figure 73: Value Permitted Each Year






Figure 74: Average Annual Growth in Value

Commute Patterns

During the recovery from the Great Recession, the period from 2010 to 2019, the Bay Area economy, and Silicon Valley in particular, has been growing at a pace roughly double that of the state as a whole and triple that of the nation. This growth has precipitated a tight hous-

ing market and also brought about some significant changes in commute patterns, many of which have been reversed by the pandemic. Recent years have seen significant changes in both the mode of transportation and commute times.

Mode of Transportation

Figure 75: Percent of Workers Commuting by Figure 76: Percent of Workers Commuting by Car Alone Carpool





Figure 77: Percent of Workers using Public Figure 78: Percent of Workers Who Work From



The first table on this page presents data for those who LIVE in Los Altos. The second provides data on those who work, but do not necessarily live in Los Altos. The final two columns provide for a comparison of commute mode choices of people locally with those in California more broadly.

Table 6. SEX OF WORKERS BY MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK

	Male		Fe	male	All Wo	All of CA	
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Car, Truck, or Van:	4,588	58.3	3,653	61.4	8, 241	59.7	78.0
Drove Alone	4,270	54.3	3,368	56.6	7,638	55.3	68.4
Carpooled:	318	4.0	285	4.8	603	4.4	9.5
In 2-person carpool	272	3.5	226	3.8	498	3.6	6.9
In 3-person carpool	24	0.3	24	0.4	48	0.3	1.5
In 4-or-more-person carpool	22	0.3	35	0.6	57	0.4	1.1
Public Transportation (excl Taxi):	141	1.8	57	1.0	198	1.4	3.6
Bus or Trolley Bus	13	0.2	21	0.4	34	0.2	2.3
Streetcar or Trolley Car	17	0.2	0	0.0	17	0.1	0.8
Subway or Elevated	88	1.1	36	0.6	124	0.9	0.3
Railroad	23	0.3	0	0.0	23	0.2	0.2
Ferryboat	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.1
Bicycle	224	2.8	65	1.1	289	2.1	0.7
Walked	139	1.8	27	0.5	166	1.2	2.4
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	118	1.5	55	0.9	173	1.3	1.7
Worked at Home	2,431	30.9	2,036	34.2	4,467	32.3	13.6
Total:	7,641	97.2	5,893	99.1	13,534	98.0	

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 7. SEX OF WORKERS BY MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK FOR WORKPLACE GEOGRAPHY

WORK EASE GEOGRAM										
	M	Male Female		nale	All W	orkers	All of CA			
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)			
Car, Truck, or Van:	4,678	61.2	5, 182	66.2	9,860	65.1	78.0			
Drove Alone	4,229	55.3	4,434	56.7	8,663	57.2	68.5			
Carpooled:	449	5.9	748	9.6	1,197	7.9	9.5			
In 2-person carpool	288	3.8	518	6.6	806	5.3	6.9			
In 3-person carpool	122	1.6	179	2.3	301	2.0	1.5			
In 4-or-more-person carpool	39	0.5	51	0.7	90	0.6	1.1			
Public Transportation (excl Taxi):	189	2.5	41	0.5	230	1.5	3.6			
Bus or Trolley Bus	124	1.6	36	0.5	160	1.1	2.3			
Streetcar or Trolley Car	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.8			
Subway or Elevated	65	0.9	5	0.1	70	0.5	0.3			
Railroad	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.2			
Ferryboat	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.1			
Bicycle	51	0.7	55	0.7	106	0.7	0.7			
Walked	206	2.7	68	0.9	274	1.8	2.4			
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	89	1.2	112	1.4	201	1.3	1.7			
Worked at Home	2,431	31.8	2,036	26.0	4,467	29.5	13.6			
Total:	7,644	100.0	7,494	95.8	15, 138	100.0				

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

The results in this table are for those who work in the region, regardless of the location of their residence.

Commute Times for Employed Residents

Table 8	SEX OF	WORKERS	BY TRAVEL	TIME TO	WORK

	Ma	ıle	Fen	nale	All Workers		All of CA
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Less than 5 minutes	48	0.7	128	2.5	176	1.5	2.0
5 to 9 minutes	399	5.8	347	6.7	746	6.2	7.5
10 to 14 minutes	582	8.4	456	8.8	1,038	8.6	12.2
15 to 19 minutes	793	11.5	760	14.7	1,553	12.9	15.0
20 to 24 minutes	1,189	17.2	901	17.5	2,090	17.4	14.3
25 to 29 minutes	611	8.8	334	6.5	945	7.9	6.3
30 to 34 minutes	791	11.4	473	9.2	1,264	10.5	15.0
35 to 39 minutes	192	2.8	100	1.9	292	2.4	2.9
40 to 44 minutes	155	2.2	86	1.7	241	2.0	4.3
45 to 59 minutes	270	3.9	168	3.3	438	3.6	8.6
60 to 89 minutes	134	1.9	30	0.6	164	1.4	7.9
90 or more minutes	46	0.7	74	1.4	120	1.0	4.0
Total:	5,210	75.4	3,857	74.8	9,067	75.5	

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Figure 79: Percent of Employed Population With Figure 80: Percent of Employed Population With Commutes of More than 30 Minutes

Commutes of More than 90 Minutes





Figure 81: Rank: Share of MegaCommuters Across Similar Geographies



Commute Times for Those Employed in the City

Table 9. SEX OF WORKERS BY TRAVEL TIME TO WORK FOR WORKPLACE GEOGRAPHY

WURKPLA	JE GEUG	KAPHI					
	Ма	Male Female		nale	All Wo	rkers	All of CA
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Less than 5 minutes	93	1.5	141	1.9	234	1.8	2.0
5 to 9 minutes	413	6.7	378	5.2	791	6.1	7.5
10 to 14 minutes	477	7.7	622	8.6	1,099	8.5	12.2
15 to 19 minutes	429	6.9	576	8.0	1,005	7.7	15.0
20 to 24 minutes	596	9.6	769	10.6	1,365	10.5	14.3
25 to 29 minutes	455	7.3	508	7.0	963	7.4	6.3
30 to 34 minutes	839	13.5	761	10.5	1,600	12.3	15.0
35 to 39 minutes	240	3.9	162	2.2	402	3.1	2.9
40 to 44 minutes	376	6.1	422	5.8	798	6.1	4.3
45 to 59 minutes	621	10.0	538	7.4	1,159	8.9	8.6
60 to 89 minutes	395	6.4	361	5.0	756	5.8	7.9
90 or more minutes	279	4.5	220	3.0	499	3.8	4.0
Total:	5,213	84.0	5,458	75.4	10,671	82.1	

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

The results in this table are for those who work in the region, regardless of the location of their residence.

Figure 82: Percent of Local Employees With Figure 83: Percent of Local Employees With Commutes of More than 30 Minutes

Commutes of More than 90 Minutes





Figure 84: Rank: Share of MegaCommuters Across Similar Geographies



Place of Work

This section provides evidence on where workers living in Los Altos work. As evidenced in the first table, some of Los Altos's employed workers work in the City, but many do not. The first table and graph pair provide evidence at the county level while the second provide evidence with regard to working outside of the Los Altos city boundary.

Table 10. SEX OF WORKERS BY PLACE OF WORK-STATE AND COUNTY LEVEL

	Male		Female		All Workers		All of CA
Place of Work	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Worked in state of residence:	7,596	96.6	5,711	96.0	13, 307	96.4	99.6
Worked in county of residence	6,613	84.1	5,148	86.6	11,761	85.2	84.1
worked outside of county of residence	983	12.5	563	9.5	1,546	11.2	15.4
Worked outside state of residence	45	0.6	182	3.1	227	1.6	0.4
Total:	7,641	97.2	5,893	99.1	13,534	98.0	

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Figure 85: Percent of Workers Employed Outside of Their County of Residence





Table 11. SEX OF WORKERS BY PLACE OF WORK-PLACE LEVEL

	Male		Female		All Wo	rkers	All of CA
Place of Work	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Living in a place:	7,641	97.2	5,893	99.1	13, 534	98.0	95.9
Worked in place of residence	2,919	37.1	2,542	42.7	5,461	39.5	39.5
Worked outside place of residence	4,722	60.1	3,351	56.3	8,073	58.5	56.4
Not living in a place	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4.1
Total:	7,641	97.2	5,893	99.1	13, 534	98.0	

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Figure 86: Percent of Workers Employed Outside of Their Place of Residence



Commute Mode by Income

Table 12. MEDIAN EARNINGS IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS BY MEANS OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK

	City	California		United Sta	tes
	Median	Median	Ratio	Median	Ratio
Car, truck, or van - drove alone	174, 207	48, 566	98.0	46, 171	97.5
Car, truck, or van - carpooled	125, 250	36,463	93.9	34,487	93.9
Public transportation (excluding taxicab)	206,667	40,179	140.6	45,100	118.4
Walked		29,366		27,142	
Taxicab, motorcycle, bicycle, or other means	250,001	40,433	169.0	36,140	178.8
Worked from home	199,556	75, 153	72.6	67, 180	76.8
Total:	178, 387	48,747	365.9	46,099	387.0

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Notes: 1) Ratio = the ratio of the regional median to either the CA or US median, relative to the Total ratio. Values above 100 imply a high local median. Values below 100 imply a low local median. For example, a value of 200 means that the local mean is 2x higher than would be expected. For "Total:", ratio is simply the ratio of the medians.

Table 13. MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK BY WORKERS' EARNINGS

	< \$25	5,000	\$25,000	-\$74,999	\$75,0	000+	Al	l	All of CA
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Car, Truck, or Van: Drove Alone	671	36.1	967	45.9	5,705	57.1	7,638	55.3	68.4
Car, Truck, or Van: Carpooled	72	3.9	110	5.2	363	3.6	603	4.4	9.5
Public Transportation (excl Taxi)	12	0.6	13	0.6	173	1.7	198	1.4	3.6
Walked	24	1.3	39	1.8	92	0.9	166	1.2	2.4
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	12	0.6	47	2.2	385	3.9	462	3.3	2.4
Worked at Home	603	32.5	447	21.2	3,280	32.8	4,467	32.3	13.6
Total:	1,394	75.0	1,623	77.0	9,998		13,534	98.0	100.0

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 14. MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK BY WORKERS' EARNINGS FOR WORKPLACE GEOGRAPHY

	< \$25	5,000	\$25,000	-\$74,999	\$75,0	000+	Al		All of CA
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Car, Truck, or Van: Drove Alone	1,700	42.3	2,413	59.6	3,900	48.6	8,663	57.2	68.5
Car, Truck, or Van: Carpooled	311	7.7	386	9.5	431	5.4	1,197	7.9	9.5
Public Transportation (excl Taxi)	91	2.3	31	0.8	108	1.3	230	1.5	3.6
Walked	50	1.2	87	2.1	137	1.7	274	1.8	2.4
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	35	0.9	99	2.4	173	2.2	307	2.0	2.4
Worked at Home	603	15.0	447	11.0	3,280	40.9	4,467	29.5	13.6
Total:	2,790	69.5	3,463	85.5	8,029		15, 138		

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

The results in this table are for those who work in the region, regardless of the location of their residence.

²⁾ For regions with more than one geography, the medians are averages weighted by working population.

Commute Mode by Poverty Status

Table 15. MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK BY POVERTY STATUS

	In P	overty	100-1	49% of Pov	>150%	of Pov	Al		All of CA
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Car, Truck, or Van: Drove Alone	88	55.3	9	4.7	7,541	55.5	7,638	55.3	68.7
Car, Truck, or Van: Carpooled	0	0.0	0	0.0	603	4.4	603	4.4	9.5
Public Transportation (excl Taxi)	1	0.6	0	0.0	197	1.5	198	1.4	3.6
Walked	7	4.4	0	0.0	159	1.2	166	1.2	2.1
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	0	0.0	0	0.0	462	3.4	462	3.3	2.4
Worked at Home	63	39.6	31	16.2	4,373	32.2	4,467	32.3	13.6
Total:	159		40	20.9	13,335	98.2	13,534	98.0	

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 16. MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK BY POVERTY STATUS FOR WORKPLACE GEOGRAPHY

	In Po	overty	100-14	9% of Pov	>150%	of Pov	Al		All of CA
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Car, Truck, or Van: Drove Alone	223	34.6	171	23.5	8, 269	57.1	8,663	57.2	68.7
Car, Truck, or Van: Carpooled	32	5.0	45	6.2	1,120	7.7	1,197	7.9	9.5
Public Transportation (excl Taxi)	49	7.6	13	1.8	168	1.2	230	1.5	3.6
Walked	24	3.7	0	0.0	250	1.7	274	1.8	2.1
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	0	0.0	11	1.5	296	2.0	307	2.0	2.4
Worked at Home	63	9.8	31	4.3	4,373	30.2	4,467	29.5	13.6
Total:	391	60.6	271	37.2	14,476		15, 138		

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

The results in this table are for those who work in the region, regardless of the location of their residence.

Migration

Overall Migration Flows

Definition:

The United States is a country with an increasingly mobile population. People move, migrate, from one place to another with increasing frequency.

Why is it important?

Having a handle on whether or not Los Altos is a net recipient (migration inflows) or donor (migration outflows) of population is very important for understanding trends in the City's development. This section outlines migration patterns by age, education, income, marital status, and housing tenure. Understanding recent trends is very important for making policy, investment, and other decisions about the future. Also, understanding the extent to which the population is stable, or experiences significant turnover each year is helpful for planning purposes.




Figure 87: Overall Movements of Residents

Table 17: Migration by Income

		Ne	et Inflows			
			Sam	e State		
			W/in	Between	Across	From
Category	Population	All Migration	County	Counties	States	Abroad
No income	4,698	-102	109	-221	-113	123
With income	20,489	-605	692	-412	-1,025	140
\$1 to \$9,999 or loss	1,616	-494	22	-136	-429	49
\$10,000 to \$14,999	707	-149	13	-101	-75	14
\$15,000 to \$24,999	1,093	-196	-64	-161	2	27
\$25,000 to \$34,999	1,381	12	65	37	-90	0
\$35,000 to \$49,999	923	21	-18	-5	28	16
\$50,000 to \$64,999	1,207	5	41	16	-52	0
\$65,000 to \$74,999	680	-50	19	7	-93	17
\$75,000 or more	12,882	246	614	-69	-316	17
All:	25, 187	-707	801	-633	-1,138	263

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Note: The data in this and other tables in this section are limited in that there is no

information on the City's population that has moved abroad.

The "From Abroad" column is gross movements into the City from abroad.

Figure 88: Overall Movements of Low Income Residents




Figure 89: Overall Movements of Middle Income Residents





Figure 90: Overall Movements of High Income Residents



Demographics of Migration Flows

Table 18: Migration by Marital Status

			Sam	e State		_
Category	Population	All Migration	W/in County	Between Counties	Across States	From Abroad
Never married	5,607	-1,094	53	-518	-703	74
Now married, except separated	17,165	384	677	-66	-399	172
Divorced	1,157	-9	44	-27	-28	2
Separated	97	-9	-3	-8	-8	10
Widowed	1,161	21	30	-14	0	5
Total:	25, 187	-707	801	-633	-1,138	263

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 19: Migration by Tenure

		N				
			Same	e State		
			W/in	Between	Across	From
Category	Population	All Migration	County	Counties	States	Abroad
Householder lived in owner-occupied housing units	25,461	244	817	-299	-443	169
Householder lived in renter-occupied housing units	5,210	-104	249	-35	-410	92
Total:	30,671	140	1,066	-334	-853	261

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Figure 91: Domestic Movements of Residents by Tenure




Table 20: Migration by Age

		N	et Inflows			
			Same	e State		
			W/in	Between	Across	From
Category	Population	All Migration	County	Counties	States	Abroad
1 to 4 years	1,147	151	156	16	-21	0
5 to 17 years	6,291	-47	192	-29	-210	0
18 and 19 years	648	-576	1	-256	-340	19
20 to 24 years	1,305	-416	-61	-92	-272	9
25 to 29 years	868	-43	91	-138	-8	12
30 to 34 years	1,081	190	123	61	-39	45
35 to 39 years	1,465	239	205	32	-21	23
40 to 44 years	1,970	30	98	11	-79	0
45 to 49 years	2,786	56	107	-11	-40	0
50 to 54 years	2,931	-50	116	3	-169	0
55 to 59 years	1,841	-84	-2	-87	5	0
60 to 64 years	2,282	-11	67	-52	-53	27
65 to 69 years	1,592	53	23	-7	-3	40
70 to 74 years	1,436	-84	-28	-23	-74	41
75 years and over	3,347	57	37	-33	6	47
Total Population:	30,990	-535	1,125	-605	-1,318	263

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 21: Migration by Educational Attainment

		Ne	et Inflows			
			Sam	e State		-
			W/in	Between	Across	From
Category	Population	All Migration	County	Counties	States	Abroad
Less than high school graduate	246	0	8	-22	0	14
High school graduate (includes equiv)	687	16	10	21	-43	28
Some college or assoc. degree	2,006	-34	59	-113	13	7
Bachelor's degree	6,729	27	223	-111	-168	83
Graduate or professional degree	11,931	344	537	-19	-277	103
Total:	21,599	353	837	-244	-475	235

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 22: Median Income of Migration Flows

Flow	In-Migration	Out-Migration
Same House 1 Year Ago	124,955	124,955
Moved Within Same County	174,063	102,604
Moved to Different County, Same State	156,932	23,634
Moved Between States	117,744	35,391
Moved from Abroad	16,750	
Total Population:	125,998	114,740

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 23: Median Age of Migration Flows

Flow	In-Migration	Out-Migration
Same House 1 Year Ago	48.0	48.0
Moved Within Same County	35.9	30.3
Moved to Different County, Same State	33.9	25.9
Moved Between States	34.7	23.5
Moved from Abroad	63.8	
Total Population:	46.7	46.3

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

References and Sources

The majority of the data presented in this report are from the American Community Survey (ACS). For larger geographies, the 1-year Summary Files provide the data. For smaller communities, roughly those with less than 65,000 in population in 2021, the 5-year Summary Files provide the data.

The ACS data are supplemented by building permit data from the U.S. Census Bureau, population and housing data from the California Department of Finance, and home price and rental rates from Zillow.

U.S. Census Bureau. American Community Survey 1-year and 5-year Summary Files. https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/data/data-via-ftp.html. The 1-year data are released in September each year and the 5-year data are relased in January.

Zillow Research Data https://www.zillow.com/research/data/

U.S. Census Bureau. Building Permits Data, updated annually in February. https://www.census.gov/construction/bps/current.html

State of California, Department of Finance, E-5 Population and Housing Estimates for Cities, Counties and the State — January 1. Sacramento, California, May. https://dof.ca.gov/forecasting/demographics/estimates/

State of California, Department of Finance, E-2. California County Population Estimates and Components of Change by Year, July 1, 2010-2021. Sacramento, California, December. https://dof.ca.gov/forecasting/demographics/

State of California, Department of Finance, E-1 Population Estimates for Cities, Counties and the State with Annual Percent Change — January 1. Sacramento, California, May. https://dof.ca.gov/forecasting/demographics/