Hemet, California

Indicators Report

by
The National Economic Education Delegation (NEED)

April 20, 2024

Exploring the economics, demographics, and well-being of Hemet and its residents through indicators.

This report was produced by the:

National Economic Education Delegation
271 Arias St.
San Rafael, CA 94903
415-336-5705
www.NEEDEcon.org
Contact: Jon@NEEDEcon.org

Executive Summary

Assessing the City with Indicators

About this Report

This report provides background or summary information for the city of Hemet (the City) in the form of indicators.

Using this Report

Indicators are measures of various aspects of a regional economy. They help to provide an indication of the quality of life in a region and progress toward improving conditions in the local economy. This report focuses on indicators for changing demographics, incomes, housing markets, commute patterns, and employment in Hemet. These indicators are compared to Riverside County (the County) as a whole, a broader region where one is well defined, California, and the United Sates.

This report is vital for understanding trends in the underlying economy. It does not provide forecasts, but Rob Eyler and Jon Haveman at Economic Forensics and Analytics are available to provide them if that is of interest.

Topics Covered:

- **Demographics:** A detailed snopshot of Hemet demographics is presented. This provides evidence on the size, age and sex, income and poverty status, race and ethnicity, housing status, living arrangements, education, health, and transportation choices of the population. Beyond the current population level, data on trends in local population growth, in comparison with other broader regions is presented, in both tabular and graphical form.
- **Employment Report:** Here, we provide a brief snapshot or employment and unemployment in Hemet and how the City's experience differs from broader regions.
- **Income and Earnings:** Vital to understanding the prosperity of a city relative to its surrounding area is information on income and earnings. We provide a ranking of the City's income relative to all cities in California as well as growth relative to local regions. Inequality and poverty status are also important indicators for the level of equity in the community. We provide evidence of trends in both, not only for all residents, but also for children separately.
- **Housing:** This section provides evidence on the cost and availability of housing. Both median home values and rental costs are included, along with detailed information on home ownership, by age and income, in particular. Further, evidence is provided on the housing burden in the City, again, in comparison with other broader regions. We also provide evidence on the rate at which new buildings and units are permitted along with a broader housing picture. Finally, we provide evidence on the age of the housing stock in Hemet, along with information on how long the City's residents have been in place.
- **Transportation:** Increasingly important, in the wake of the pandemic, is an understanding of the transportation patterns and choices of local residents. We provide detailed evidence on the proprotion of residents who work from home and on the various transportation choices of those who head to the office. This information is also provided for those who work in Hemet, but do not necessarily live in Hemet.
- **Migration:** Population changes comes primarily through organic causes: births and deaths. Migration between regions also plays a significant role in population growth. A final section of the report provides evidence on migration into and out of the City.

Jon Haveman, Ph.D. • National Economic Education Delegation
Jon@NEEDEcon.org • 415-336-5705

Contents

Executive Summary **1**
Assessing the City with Indicators 1

Demographics **3**
A Demographic Snapshot 3
Current Population 5

Employment Report **8**
Citywide Employment and Unemployment 8
MSA Employment by Industry 9
Some Employee Detail 10

Income and Earnings **16**
Per Capita Personal Income Growth 16
Poverty and Inequality 19

Housing **21**
Housing Costs and Affordability 21
Housing Picture 25
Vintage of Residential Housing 27
Occupation of Residential Housing 29
Residential Permitting 31

Commute Patterns **34**
Mode of Transportation 34
Commute Times for Employed Residents 36
Commute Times for Those Employed in the City 37
Place of Work 38
Commute Mode by Income 40
Commute Mode by Poverty Status 41

Migration **42**
Overall Migration Flows 42
Demographics of Migration Flows 44

References and Sources **46**

Jon Haveman, Ph.D. • National Economic Education Delegation
Jon@NEEDEcon.org • 415-336-5705

Demographics

Definition:

Data on the demographics of a city indicate the nature of the population, with a focus on age, gender, race and ethnicity, as well as household compositon.

Why is it important?

The characteristics and growth of Hemet's population are fundamental indicators of the city's growth potential.

A Demographic Snapshot

Jon Haveman, Ph.D. • National Economic Education Delegation
Jon@NEEDEcon.org • 415-336-5705

Statistic	2022	2019
POPULATION		
Population Estimate (#, 5yr)	89,651.0	84,542.0
Veterans (#, 5yr)	6,252.0	6,411.0
Foreign born persons (%, 5yr)	18.6	16.2
Population age 25+ (#, 5yr)	60,869.0	55,134.0
AGE AND SEX		
Persons under 5 years (%, 5yr)	6.0	6.7
Persons under 18 years (%, 5yr)	24.1	26.2
Persons 65 years and over (%, 5yr)	22.4	22.1
Female persons (%, 5yr)	51.2	52.1
INCOME AND POVERTY		
Median household income ($, 5yr)	49,901.0	39,726.0
Per capita income in past 12 months ($, 5yr)	26,043.0	19,814.0
Persons in poverty (%, 5yr)	17.4	21.2
Children age less than 18 in poverty (#, 5yr)	4,831.0	6,147.0
Children age less than 18 in poverty (%, 5yr)	22.8	28.4
RACE AND ETHNICITY		
White alone (%, 5yr)	56.8	74.5
African American alone (%, 5yr)	8.5	8.0
American Indian or Alaska Native alone (%, 5yr)	1.4	1.3
Asian alone (%, 5yr)	2.7	3.0
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone (%, 5yr)	0.1	0.4
Two or More Races (%, 5yr)	13.3	4.6
Hispanic or Latino (%, 5yr)	49.0	45.8
White alone, not Hispanic or Latino (%, 5yr)	36.6	40.7
HOUSING		
Housing units (#, 5yr)	33,898.0	32,492.0
Owner-occupied housing units (%, 5yr)	61.1	58.5
Median value of owner-occupied housing units ($, 5yr)	277,200.0	196,700.0
Median selected monthly owner costs-with a mortgage ($, 5yr)	1,746.0	1,452.0
Median selected monthly owner costs-without a mortgage ($, 5yr)	541.0	481.0
Median gross rent ($, 5yr)	1,357.0	1,096.0
FAMILIES AND LIVING ARRANGEMENTS		
Households (#, 5yr)	30,963.0	28,893.0
Persons per household (#, 5yr)	2.9	2.9
Living in same house 1 year ago, % of persons age 1+ (5yr)	87.4	83.2
EDUCATION		
High school graduate or higher, % of persons age 25+ (5yr)	79.9	79.6
Bachelor's degree or higher, % of persons age 25+ (5yr)	13.9	11.1
HEALTH		
With a disability, under age 65 years (#, 5yr)	9,774.0	8,815.0
Persons without health insurance, under age 65 years (%, 5yr)	6.9	8.7
LABOR FORCE		
In civilian labor force, persons age 16+ (%, 5yr)	49.4	47.5
In civilian labor force, women age 16+ (%, 5yr)	43.1	41.9
Employed, persons age 16+ (%, 5yr)	43.2	39.8
Self employed (%, 5yr)	7.4	6.7
TRANSPORTATION		
Mean travel time to work, workers age 16+ (Mins., 5yr)	33.9	33.6
Drive alone in private vehicle (%, 5yr)	77.1	78.5
Using public transportation (%, 5yr)	0.7	0.4
Worked from home (%, 5yr)	7.6	5.2

Source: American Community Survey, Summary Files
Note: Data are from the 1-year files unless indicated by the notation 5yr.

Jon Haveman, Ph.D. • National Economic Education Delegation
Jon@NEEDEcon.org • 415-336-5705

Current Population

The data in these two tables and the following two graphs are from the CA Department of Finance (DOF). The DOF produces population estimates for geographies around California twice a year: January and July. As estimates for cities are only available in January, these two tables are based on the January data. The remaining figures are from the American Community Survey (ACS), provided annually by the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

Table 1. Population Change by Region
(Thousands, January to January)

Region	2023 Population	% Change 1 Year	% Change 3 Year	% Change 5 Year
City				
Hemet	89,918	0.84	6.55	6.51
County and Broader Regions				
Riverside County	2,439,234	0.34	−0.06	1.11
Southern California	21,794,548	−0.41	−2.24	−2.84
California	38,940,231	−0.35	−1.79	−2.01

Source: CA DOF; Calculations by National Economic Education Delegation

Table 2. County Population Change by City
(Thousands, January to January)

City	2022	2023	% Change Local	% Change Southern California	% Change California
Riverside County	2,431.0	2,439.2	0.34	−0.41	−0.35
Riverside	314.8	313.7	−0.36		
Moreno Valley	208.3	208.3	−0.01		
Corona	157.1	157.0	−0.09		
Menifee	107.4	110.0	2.44		
Murrieta	110.6	110.0	−0.54		
Temecula	109.5	108.9	−0.52		
Jurupa Valley	105.2	105.0	−0.16		
Indio	89.8	90.8	1.17		
Hemet	89.2	89.9	0.84		
Perris	78.5	78.9	0.60		
Lake Elsinore	72.0	72.0	−0.02		
Eastvale	70.0	69.5	−0.66		
Beaumont	54.3	56.6	4.12		
San Jacinto	54.3	54.1	−0.37		
Cathedral City	51.6	51.4	−0.36		
Palm Desert	50.6	50.6	−0.02		
Palm Springs	44.2	44.1	−0.17		
Coachella	41.9	42.5	1.26		
La Quinta	37.6	38.0	1.11		
Wildomar	36.4	36.3	−0.28		
Desert Hot Springs	32.4	32.6	0.68		
Banning	30.9	31.2	1.28		
Norco	25.0	25.0	0.01		
Blythe	17.4	17.3	−0.87		
Rancho Mirage	16.9	17.0	0.94		
Calimesa	10.9	11.0	0.11		
Canyon Lake	11.0	10.9	−0.49		
Indian Wells	4.8	4.8	−0.23		

Source: CA DOF; Calculations by National Economic Education Delegation

Figure 1: Population Growth (1)



Source: CA, Department of Finance
Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)

Figure 2: Population Growth (2)



Source: U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis
Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)

Figure 3: Population by Age - Detailed Age Categories



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 1-yr American Community Survey
Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 1-yr American Community Survey
Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)

Figure 4: Population by Age - Broad Age Categories



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 1-yr American Community Survey
Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 1-yr American Community Survey
Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)

Jon Haveman, Ph.D. ● National Economic Education Delegation
Jon@NEEDEcon.org ● 415-336-5705

Figure 5: Population by Educational Attainment



Figure 6: Population by Race/Ethnicity



Figure 7: Population by Race/Ethnicity Over Time



Jon Haveman, Ph.D. ● National Economic Education Delegation
Jon@NEEDEcon.org ● 415-336-5705

Employment Report

Citywide Employment and Unemployment

Definition:

Each month, California's Employment Development Division (EDD) publishes an update on employment in California and in MSAs, counties, and cities all across the state. The report focuses primarily on non-farm employment, providing estimates of changes in employment by industry as well as unemployment in each region. Data for cities is limited to aggregate employment, labor force, and unemployment data. Those are reported below.

Why is it important?

Employment growth is a fundamental indicator of the health of an economy.

Table 3. Hemet Summary for March, 2024

Category	Current Value	Change From: Last Month	2 Months Ago	Last Year
Employment	8,924	-30	−53	-103
Labor Force	9,644	9	15	96
Number Unemployed	678	-4	21	97
Unemployment Rate	7.0	-0.0	0.2	0.9

Source: EDD, National Economic Education Delegation

Figure 8: Historical Employment and Unemployment



Figure 9: Employment and Unemployment - Last 12 Months



Figure 10: Relative Employment Growth Across Regions - since 2010



Figure 11: Relative Employment Growth Across Regions - since 2019



Jon Haveman, Ph.D. • National Economic Education Delegation
Jon@NEEDEcon.org • 415-336-5705

MSA Employment by Industry

California's Employment Development Division (EDD) does not regularly produce data on employment by industry for cities. However, we are able to report indsutry-level employment data for the Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario MSA. The following table provides the latest data for the MSA.

Table 4. Employment Growth by Industry in the Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario MSA for March, 2024

Industry	Employment	Share	Empl Growth	% Growth - Annualized Rate					
				Month	Qtr	6mo	1yr	3yr	5yr
Total Nonfarm	1,694,223	100.0	5,971.1	4.3	0.5	0.8	1.6	3.3	2.1
Total Private	1,425,885	84.2	3,363.1	2.9	0.2	0.6	1.0	3.1	2.4
Goods Producing	216,611	12.8	948.2	5.4	−5.6	−0.1	1.2	1.6	0.9
Mining, Logging and Construction	120,753	7.1	1,778.6	19.5	−2.3	3.7	5.6	2.8	2.7
Mining and Logging	1,600	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	7.7	6.7
Construction	118,854	7.0	1,464.0	16.0	−3.4	3.5	5.7	2.9	2.6
Manufacturing	96,076	5.7	−620.1	−7.4	−9.0	−4.3	−3.8	0.2	−1.0
Durable Goods	58,679	3.5	−417.3	−8.2	−7.6	−4.2	−3.8	−0.8	−2.2
Non-Durable Goods	37,446	2.2	−154.4	−4.8	−9.8	−3.9	−3.9	1.9	1.4
Service Providing	1,477,534	87.2	5,264.7	4.4	1.4	1.0	1.6	3.6	2.3
Trade, Trans & Utilities	452,210	26.7	1,888.6	5.2	2.5	−1.1	−1.3	0.9	3.3
Wholesale Trade	67,659	4.0	−155.0	−2.7	−3.2	−2.3	−2.0	0.5	0.1
Retail Trade	180,685	10.7	416.7	2.8	−3.1	−2.4	−1.4	0.9	−0.1
Trans & Warehousing	197,024	11.6	662.2	4.1	3.8	−0.7	−1.0	1.1	9.6
Utilities	5,718	0.3	−49.7	−9.9	6.1	3.0	3.6	4.7	4.3
Information	13,125	0.8	−47.7	−4.3	−3.7	−2.7	−1.5	2.5	−1.3
Financial Activities	44,464	2.6	−86.6	−2.3	−2.2	−1.3	−1.4	−0.2	−0.1
Finance & Insurance	21,985	1.3	−20.5	−1.1	−2.2	−2.7	−1.8	−3.5	−2.2
Real Estate & Rental & Leasing	22,538	1.3	−36.2	−1.9	−0.4	0.6	−0.9	3.9	2.5
Professional & Business Srvcs	166,274	9.8	1,764.0	13.7	0.5	3.2	−0.5	0.7	1.9
Prof, Sci, & Tech	46,211	2.7	201.6	5.4	1.8	0.5	−0.1	3.5	2.5
Admin & Support Srvcs	106,331	6.3	1,990.8	25.5	−1.6	5.0	−1.0	−0.6	1.6
Employment Srvcs	49,934	2.9	1,065.4	29.5	4.6	7.0	−3.0	−2.4	3.3
Educational & Health Srvcs	301,992	17.8	2,216.0	9.2	7.6	6.3	8.0	6.5	4.4
Education Srvcs	22,176	1.3	163.7	9.3	1.9	3.7	5.7	9.9	2.6
Health Care & Social Assistance	279,860	16.5	1,961.8	8.8	8.4	6.5	8.2	6.3	4.6
Leisure & Hospitality	182,103	10.7	−703.3	−4.5	−4.5	−4.9	−2.6	8.2	0.7
Arts, Entertainment & Recreation	20,665	1.2	64.7	3.8	−1.9	−10.2	−3.2	14.6	−0.0
Accommodation & Food Srvcs	161,299	9.5	−746.8	−5.4	−5.1	−4.5	−2.4	7.5	0.8
Other Srvcs	49,608	2.9	174.0	4.3	−3.6	0.2	1.4	6.3	1.5
Government	270,223	15.9	911.3	4.1	4.5	5.1	4.9	4.7	0.7
Federal	21,813	1.3	94.6	5.4	4.0	3.9	3.8	1.0	0.8
State	28,999	1.7	−1.0	−0.0	2.5	1.2	1.9	−2.1	−1.2
Local	219,293	12.9	791.9	4.4	4.8	5.6	5.4	6.2	1.0
County	31,724	1.9	−72.5	−2.7	3.4	1.8	0.3	−3.0	−1.6
City	17,509	1.0	52.9	3.7	6.7	8.4	8.1	8.4	2.9
Local Government Education	134,406	7.9	641.5	5.9	5.6	6.9	7.0	8.4	1.2

Source: EDD, National Economic Education Delegation (NEED)

Jon Haveman, Ph.D. • National Economic Education Delegation
Jon@NEEDEcon.org • 415-336-5705

Some Employee Detail

Employed in Hemet

Figure 12: Employment by Occupation



Source: American Community Survey, 2022 5-yr Summary Files.
Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org).

Figure 13: Employment by Industry



Source: American Community Survey, 2022 5-yr Summary Files.
Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org).

Figure 14: Language Spoken at Home



Source: American Community Survey, 2022 5-yr Summary Files.
Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org).

Figure 15: Citizenship



Source: American Community Survey, 2022 5-yr Summary Files.
Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org).

Employed Residents of Hemet

Figure 16: Employment by Occupation



Source: American Community Survey, 2022 5-yr Summary Files.
Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org).

Figure 17: Employment by Industry



Source: American Community Survey, 2022 5-yr Summary Files.
Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org).

Figure 18: Language Spoken at Home



Source: American Community Survey, 2022 5-yr Summary Files.
Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org).

Figure 19: Citizenship



Source: American Community Survey, 2022 1-yr Summary Files.
Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org).

Employed Residents vs Workers in Hemet

Figure 20: Employment by Occupation



Source: American Community Survey, 2022 5-yr Summary Files.
Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org).

Figure 21: Employment by Industry



Source: American Community Survey, 2022 5-yr Summary Files.
Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org).

Figure 22: Language Spoken at Home



Figure 23: Citizenship

N/A

Income and Earnings

Per Capita Income Growth

Definition:

Per capita income is the average income per person in Hemet. Personal income is the income received by, or on behalf of, all persons from all sources: from participation as laborers in production, from owning a home or unincorporated business, from the ownership of financial assets, and from government and business in the form of transfer receipts. Noncash government benefits are not included.

Why is it important?

Income is the money that is available to persons for consumption expenditures, taxes, interest payments, transfer payments to governments and the rest of the world, or for saving. As such, it is an important indicator of economic well-being in a community.

Figure 24: Real Per Capita Income Ranking Among California Cities



Figure 25: Regional Comparison of Growth over Time



Real Per Capita Income Ranking Among California Cities - w/Comparable Populations

Figure 26: Income Levels



Figure 27: Growth over Time



Jon Haveman, Ph.D. ● National Economic Education Delegation
Jon@NEEDEcon.org ● 415-336-5705

Real Per Capita Income Ranking Among Cities in Riverside County

Figure 28: Income Levels



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2022 1-yr American Community Survey
The # in parentheses is the ranking out of 12 geographies.
Geographies are selected and ranked based on population.
These are the cities in the same county as the target city.
Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)

Figure 29: Growth over Time



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2022 1-yr American Community Survey
The # in parentheses is the ranking out of 12 geographies.
Geographies are selected and ranked based on population.
These are the cities in the same county as the target city.
Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)

Figure 30: Comparison with All Cities Nationwide



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 1-yr American Community Survey
The # in parentheses is the ranking out of 598 geographies.
Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)

Jon Haveman, Ph.D. • National Economic Education Delegation
Jon@NEEDEcon.org • 415-336-5705

Poverty and Inequality

Definition:

The local poverty rate provides an indication of the well-being of those at the bottom of the income distribution. The federal poverty rate measures the proportion of households in the region that are classified as living in poverty. Also included are measures of the extent to which the City's children are impoverished. Measures of the income distribution provide further evidence on disparities in income in the region and how those disparities have changed over time.

Why is it important?

It is important to track measures of poverty and inequality to assess the extent of income disparities in the region, with an eye toward understanding how well the local economy is performing for all of its citizens.



Figure 31: Inequality



Figure 32: Shares Across the Income Distribution



Figure 33: Means Across the Income Distribution



Jon Haveman, Ph.D. • National Economic Education Delegation
Jon@NEEDEcon.org • 415-336-5705

Housing

Housing Costs and Affordability

Definition:

Housing costs are measured in several different ways. First, we provide evidence on the evolution of median home prices, median rental price, and finally through evidence on the housing burden in the city and comparison regions. Housing burden is defined as a household needing to commit more than 30% of their household income toward housing costs. The median value is the amount in the middle. Fifty percent of units are above the median and 50 percent are below.

Why is it important?

Housing is one of three fundamental necessities, along with food and clothing. A measure of the cost of housing is an integral part of the measurement of the cost of living in a specific community. This is particularly true in cities and regions throughout the Bay Area, where housing costs are high relative to income.

Cost of Housing in Hemet and Broader Regions

Figure 34: Median Home Prices



Figure 35: Median Rents



Housing Ownership in Hemet and Broader Regions

Figure 36: Home Ownership Rates



Figure 37: Home Ownership by Age



Figure 38: Income by Tenure



Jon Haveman, Ph.D. • National Economic Education Delegation
Jon@NEEDEcon.org • 415-336-5705

Figure 39: Income Distribution by Tenure



Figure 40: Income Distribution of Home Owners



Figure 41: Income Distribution of Renters



Housing Burden in Hemet and Broader Regions

Figure 42: Home Owners w/ A Mortgage



Figure 43: Home Owners w/o A Mortgage



Figure 44: Renters



Figure 45: Homeowner Housing Burden by Age



Jon Haveman, Ph.D. • National Economic Education Delegation
Jon@NEEDEcon.org • 415-336-5705

Housing Picture

Definition:

Housing costs are measured in several different ways. First, we provide evidence on the evolution of median home prices, median rental price, and finally through evidence on the housing burden in the city and comparison regions. The median value is the amount in the middle. Fifty percent of units are above the median and 50 percent are below.

Why is it important?

In areas where the rate of population growth exceeds the rate of housing growth, this is likely to reflect a tightening housing market. A tightening housing market will also likely be reflected in lower vacancy rates and higher occupancy rates. It may also be reflected in higher numbers of people per household.

Table 5. Housing Market Indicators

Indicator	2023	2019	2010	% Change from 2019	% Change from 2010
Total Population	89,918.0	85,159.0	78,657.0	5.6	14.3
Total # of Homes	36,550.0	36,022.0	35,305.0	1.5	3.5
# Occupied Units	33,820.0	31,606.0	30,092.0	7.0	12.4
Persons per Household	2.6	2.7	2.6	-1.3	1.8
Vacancy Rate (%)	7.5	12.3	14.8	-39.1	-49.4

Source: CA DOF; Calculations by the National Economic Education Delegation

Figure 46: Housing Growth



Figure 47: Persons per Household



Figure 48: Vacancy Rates



Figure 49: Number of Occupanied Units



Jon Haveman, Ph.D. • National Economic Education Delegation
Jon@NEEDEcon.org • 415-336-5705

Trends in the Growth of Housing by Housing Type

Figure 50: Single Detached Homes



Figure 51: Single Attached Homes



Figure 52: Housing in Buildings with Two to Four Units



Figure 53: Housing in Buildings with Five or More Units



Jon Haveman, Ph.D. ● National Economic Education Delegation
Jon@NEEDEcon.org ● 415-336-5705

Vintage of Residential Housing

Why is it important?

This section provides evidence on the year in which residential housing in Hemet was built. We break it down into owned versus rented residences and provide a comparison across Riverside County and broader regions. A sense of the age of housing in a region provides an indication of the urgency with which a region might pursue additional housing. As the housing stock ages, an urgency with which renovations and rebuilds are permitted might result. All things equal, more recently constructed housing will be more likely to meet current codes and standards. Remodeling of existing units will be more desirable when existing units are, on average, older.

Figure 54: Distribution of Housing Construction



Figure 55: Housing Vintage across Regions



Figure 56: Housing Vintage by Tenure



Figure 57: Vintage of Owned Residences



Figure 58: Vintage of Rented Residences



Figure 59: Vintage of All Residences



Jon Haveman, Ph.D. • National Economic Education Delegation
Jon@NEEDEcon.org • 415-336-5705

Occupation of Residential Housing

Why is it important?

The duration of residence in a city is important for developing future policies regarding growing the local population. If a region is highly mobile, evidenced by most residences having been recently occupied, a city might propose policies to reduce that mobility, or ask why the mobility happens. Policies could be put in place to either reduce or increase migration.

Figure 60: Year Current Occupant Moved In



Figure 61: Year Occupied by Current Residents across Regions



Figure 62: Year Occupied by Current Residents by Tenure



Jon Haveman, Ph.D. • National Economic Education Delegation
Jon@NEEDEcon.org • 415-336-5705

Figure 63: Year Occupied by Current Residents for Owned Housing



Figure 64: Year Occupied by Current Residents for Rented Housing



Figure 65: Year Occupied by Current Residents for All Housing



Jon Haveman, Ph.D. • National Economic Education Delegation
Jon@NEEDEcon.org • 415-336-5705

Residential Permitting

Definition:

This indicator provides evidence on the number of residential buildings that are permitted for construction each year. Permit data for Hemet is compared with data from Riverside County as a whole and broader regions. The statistic provided scales the number of permits by population. This is done to facilitate comparisons across regions.

Why is it important?

Building permits are the best indicator available of new units coming on the market. In order for a region's population to grow and flourish, new residential properties must be added to the existing stock. Building, both in the City and in the County more generally, is an indication of the extent to which new residences accommodate new residents or are affecting prices through increased supply.

Hemet - Ranking Among Comparables

Figure 66: Number of Units Permitted - Nationwide Comparables (Rank)



Source: U.S. Census Bureau
The # in parentheses is the ranking out of 14338 geographies.
Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)

Figure 67: Number of Units Permitted - California Comparables (Rank)



Source: U.S. Census Bureau.
The # in parentheses is the ranking out of 515 geographies.
Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)

Figure 68: Number of Units Permitted - Cities in Riverside County (Rank)



Source: U.S. Census Bureau,
The # in parentheses is the ranking out of 28 geographies.
Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)

Hemet - Permitting Activity

Annual Units Permitted - Per Capita in Hemet

Figure 69: Units Permitted Each Year



Figure 70: Average Annual Growth in Units Permitted



Annual Number of Buildings Permitted - Per Capita in Hemet

Figure 71: Units Permitted Each Year



Figure 72: Average Annual Growth in Buildings Permitted



Annual Value of Property Permitted - Per Capita in Hemet

Figure 73: Value Permitted Each Year



Figure 74: Average Annual Growth in Value Permitted



Commute Patterns

During the recovery from the Great Recession, the period from 2010 to 2019, the Bay Area economy, and Silicon Valley in particular, has been growing at a pace roughly double that of the state as a whole and triple that of the nation. This growth has precipitated a tight housing market and also brought about some significant changes in commute patterns, many of which have been reversed by the pandemic. Recent years have seen significant changes in both the mode of transportation and commute times.

Mode of Transportation

Figure 75: Percent of Workers Commuting by Car Alone



Figure 76: Percent of Workers Commuting by Carpool



Figure 77: Percent of Workers using Public Transportation



Figure 78: Percent of Workers Who Work From Home



Jon Haveman, Ph.D. • National Economic Education Delegation
Jon@NEEDEcon.org • 415-336-5705

The first table on this page presents data for those who LIVE in Hemet. The second provides data on those who work, but do not necessarily live in Hemet. The final two columns provide for a comparison of commute mode choices of people locally with those in California more broadly.

Table 6. SEX OF WORKERS BY MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK

	Male		Female		All Workers		All of CA
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Car, Truck, or Van:	14,835	89.6	12,465	86.6	27,300	89.1	78.0
Drove Alone	13,235	80.0	10,308	71.6	23,543	76.8	68.4
Carpooled:	1,600	9.7	2,157	15.0	3,757	12.3	9.5
In 2-person carpool	1,066	6.4	1,653	11.5	2,719	8.9	6.9
In 3-person carpool	251	1.5	254	1.8	505	1.6	1.5
In 4-or-more-person carpool	283	1.7	250	1.7	533	1.7	1.1
Public Transportation (excl Taxi):	59	0.4	92	0.6	151	0.5	3.6
Bus or Trolley Bus	58	0.4	81	0.6	139	0.5	2.3
Streetcar or Trolley Car	1	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.0	0.8
Subway or Elevated	0	0.0	11	0.1	11	0.0	0.3
Railroad	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.2
Ferryboat	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.1
Bicycle	17	0.1	42	0.3	59	0.2	0.7
Walked	114	0.7	94	0.7	208	0.7	2.4
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	380	2.3	45	0.3	425	1.4	1.7
Worked at Home	1,148	6.9	1,180	8.2	2,328	7.6	13.6
Total:	16,553	100.0	13,918	96.7	30,471	99.4	

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 7. SEX OF WORKERS BY MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK FOR WORKPLACE GEOGRAPHY

	Male		Female		All Workers		All of CA
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Car, Truck, or Van:	8,852	79.6	11,305	80.3	20,157	80.0	78.0
Drove Alone	7,692	69.1	9,933	70.5	17,625	69.9	68.5
Carpooled:	1,160	10.4	1,372	9.7	2,532	10.0	9.5
In 2-person carpool	946	8.5	960	6.8	1,906	7.6	6.9
In 3-person carpool	161	1.4	309	2.2	470	1.9	1.5
In 4-or-more-person carpool	53	0.5	103	0.7	156	0.6	1.1
Public Transportation (excl Taxi):	56	0.5	24	0.2	80	0.3	3.6
Bus or Trolley Bus	55	0.5	24	0.2	79	0.3	2.3
Streetcar or Trolley Car	1	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.0	0.8
Subway or Elevated	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.3
Railroad	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.2
Ferryboat	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.1
Bicycle	0	0.0	42	0.3	42	0.2	0.7
Walked	96	0.9	166	1.2	262	1.0	2.4
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	148	1.3	128	0.9	276	1.1	1.7
Worked at Home	1,148	10.3	1,180	8.4	2,328	9.2	13.6
Total:	10,300	92.6	12,845	91.2	23,145	91.8	

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File
The results in this table are for those who work in the region, regardless of the location of their residence.

Commute Times for Employed Residents

Table 8. SEX OF WORKERS BY TRAVEL TIME TO WORK

	Male		Female		All Workers		All of CA
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Less than 5 minutes	131	0.8	0	0.0	131	0.5	2.1
5 to 9 minutes	1,353	8.7	995	7.0	2,348	8.2	7.8
10 to 14 minutes	1,955	12.6	1,808	12.7	3,763	13.1	12.4
15 to 19 minutes	1,222	7.9	1,211	8.5	2,433	8.5	15.4
20 to 24 minutes	356	2.3	309	2.2	665	2.3	14.8
25 to 29 minutes	908	5.8	360	2.5	1,268	4.4	6.4
30 to 34 minutes	1,393	9.0	1,655	11.6	3,048	10.6	15.2
35 to 39 minutes	260	1.7	183	1.3	443	1.5	2.9
40 to 44 minutes	1,932	12.4	826	5.8	2,758	9.6	4.1
45 to 59 minutes	2,655	17.1	2,062	14.5	4,717	16.4	8.2
60 to 89 minutes	2,255	14.5	447	3.1	2,702	9.4	7.2
90 or more minutes	1,103	7.1	1,367	9.6	2,470	8.6	3.6
Total:	15,523	100.0	11,223	78.7	26,746	93.1	

Source: 2022 1-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Figure 79: Percent of Employed Population With Commutes of More than 30 Minutes



Figure 80: Percent of Employed Population With Commutes of More than 90 Minutes



Figure 81: Rank: Share of MegaCommuters Across Similar Geographies



Jon Haveman, Ph.D. • National Economic Education Delegation
Jon@NEEDEcon.org • 415-336-5705

Commute Times for Those Employed in the City

Table 9. SEX OF WORKERS BY TRAVEL TIME TO WORK FOR WORKPLACE GEOGRAPHY

	Male		Female		All Workers		All of CA
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Less than 5 minutes	0	0.0	358	2.5	358	1.4	2.1
5 to 9 minutes	1,053	8.7	1,205	8.3	2,258	8.7	7.8
10 to 14 minutes	1,796	14.8	1,940	13.4	3,736	14.4	12.4
15 to 19 minutes	1,320	10.9	1,816	12.5	3,136	12.1	15.3
20 to 24 minutes	1,335	11.0	874	6.0	2,209	8.5	14.8
25 to 29 minutes	471	3.9	367	2.5	838	3.2	6.4
30 to 34 minutes	491	4.0	960	6.6	1,451	5.6	15.2
35 to 39 minutes	345	2.8	29	0.2	374	1.4	2.9
40 to 44 minutes	178	1.5	401	2.8	579	2.2	4.1
45 to 59 minutes	693	5.7	683	4.7	1,376	5.3	8.2
60 to 89 minutes	449	3.7	377	2.6	826	3.2	7.2
90 or more minutes	376	3.1	200	1.4	576	2.2	3.6
Total:	8,507	70.1	9,210	63.4	17,717	68.1	

Source: 2022 1-year American Community Survey, Summary File
The results in this table are for those who work in the region, regardless of the location of their residence.

Figure 82: Percent of Local Employees With Commutes of More than 30 Minutes



Figure 83: Percent of Local Employees With Commutes of More than 90 Minutes



Figure 84: Rank: Share of MegaCommuters Across Similar Geographies



Place of Work

This section provides evidence on where workers living in Hemet work. As evidenced in the first table, some of Hemet's employed workers work in the City, but many do not. The first table and graph pair provide evidence at the county level while the second provide evidence with regard to working outside of the Hemet city boundary.

Table 10. SEX OF WORKERS BY PLACE OF WORK–STATE AND COUNTY LEVEL

	Male		Female		All Workers		All of CA
Place of Work	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Worked in state of residence:	17,813	99.6	13,632	91.1	31,445	99.8	99.6
Worked in county of residence	14,266	79.8	12,447	83.2	26,713	84.8	85.3
worked outside of county of residence	3,547	19.8	1,185	7.9	4,732	15.0	14.3
Worked outside state of residence	64	0.4	0	0.0	64	0.2	0.4
Total:	17,877	100.0	13,632	91.1	31,509	100.0	

Source: 2022 1-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Figure 85: Percent of Workers Employed Outside of Their County of Residence



Table 11. SEX OF WORKERS BY PLACE OF WORK–PLACE LEVEL

Place of Work	Male #	Male (%)	Female #	Female (%)	All Workers #	All Workers (%)	All of CA (%)
Living in a place:	17,877	100.0	13,632	91.1	31,509	100.0	95.8
Worked in place of residence	5,403	30.2	5,803	38.8	11,206	35.6	42.3
Worked outside place of residence	12,474	69.8	7,829	52.3	20,303	64.4	53.4
Not living in a place	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4.2
Total:	17,877	100.0	13,632	91.1	31,509	100.0	

Source: 2022 1-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Figure 86: Percent of Workers Employed Outside of Their Place of Residence



Commute Mode by Income

Table 12. MEDIAN EARNINGS IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS BY MEANS OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK

	City	California		United States	
	Median	*Median*	*Ratio*	*Median*	*Ratio*
Car, truck, or van - drove alone	39,773	48,335	110.2	45,677	108.5
Car, truck, or van - carpooled	30,646	35,926	114.2	34,518	110.7
Public transportation (excluding taxicab)	35,459	34,625	137.1	41,443	106.6
Walked	12,843	30,552	56.3	27,247	58.7
Taxicab, motorcycle, bicycle, or other means		40,631		36,218	
Worked from home	36,504	79,738	61.3	69,180	65.8
Total:	37,201	49,818	74.7	46,365	80.2

Source: 2022 1-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Notes: 1) Ratio = the ratio of the regional median to either the CA or US median, relative to the Total ratio.
Values above 100 imply a high local median. Values below 100 imply a low local median.
For example, a value of 200 means that the local mean is 2x higher than would be expected.
For "Total:", ratio is simply the ratio of the medians.
2) For regions with more than one geography, the medians are averages weighted by working population.

Table 13. MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK BY WORKERS' EARNINGS

	< $25,000		$25,000-$74,999		$75,000+		All		All of CA
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Car, Truck, or Van: Drove Alone	7,083	58.3	8,470	79.5	4,121	74.2	23,543	76.8	68.4
Car, Truck, or Van: Carpooled	1,168	9.6	1,261	11.8	693	12.5	3,757	12.3	9.5
Public Transportation (excl Taxi)	78	0.6	40	0.4	25	0.5	151	0.5	3.6
Walked	140	1.2	46	0.4	9	0.2	208	0.7	2.4
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	155	1.3	163	1.5	107	1.9	484	1.6	2.4
Worked at Home	810	6.7	672	6.3	597	10.8	2,326	7.6	13.6
Total:	9,434	77.6	10,652		5,552		30,469	99.5	100.0

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 14. MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK BY WORKERS' EARNINGS FOR WORKPLACE GEOGRAPHY

	< $25,000		$25,000-$74,999		$75,000+		All		All of CA
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Car, Truck, or Van: Drove Alone	5,748	46.8	5,732	76.0	3,256	76.9	17,625	70.0	68.5
Car, Truck, or Van: Carpooled	834	6.8	955	12.7	362	8.5	2,522	10.0	9.5
Public Transportation (excl Taxi)	42	0.3	26	0.3	0	0.0	80	0.3	3.6
Walked	196	1.6	44	0.6	9	0.2	262	1.0	2.4
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	141	1.1	110	1.5	10	0.2	318	1.3	2.4
Worked at Home	810	6.6	672	8.9	597	14.1	2,326	9.2	13.6
Total:	7,771	63.3	7,539		4,234		23,133	91.8	

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

The results in this table are for those who work in the region, regardless of the location of their residence.

Commute Mode by Poverty Status

Table 15. MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK BY POVERTY STATUS

	In Poverty		100-149% of Pov		>150% of Pov		All		All of CA
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Car, Truck, or Van: Drove Alone	1,510	31.6	2,335	59.5	19,698	77.8	23,543	76.8	68.7
Car, Truck, or Van: Carpooled	434	9.1	90	2.3	3,233	12.8	3,757	12.3	9.5
Public Transportation (excl Taxi)	44	0.9	28	0.7	79	0.3	151	0.5	3.6
Walked	58	1.2	53	1.4	97	0.4	208	0.7	2.1
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	138	2.9	10	0.3	336	1.3	484	1.6	2.4
Worked at Home	165	3.5	303	7.7	1,860	7.4	2,328	7.6	13.6
Total:	2,349	49.2	2,819	71.9	25,303		30,471	99.4	

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 16. MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK BY POVERTY STATUS FOR WORKPLACE GEOGRAPHY

	In Poverty		100-149% of Pov		>150% of Pov		All		All of CA
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Car, Truck, or Van: Drove Alone	826	22.0	1,458	50.6	15,312	73.9	17,596	68.3	68.7
Car, Truck, or Van: Carpooled	237	6.3	148	5.1	2,147	10.4	2,532	9.8	9.5
Public Transportation (excl Taxi)	38	1.0	0	0.0	42	0.2	80	0.3	3.6
Walked	96	2.6	58	2.0	108	0.5	262	1.0	2.1
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	104	2.8	10	0.3	204	1.0	318	1.2	2.4
Worked at Home	165	4.4	303	10.5	1,860	9.0	2,328	9.0	13.6
Total:	1,466	39.0	1,977	68.6	19,673	94.9	23,116	89.8	

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File
The results in this table are for those who work in the region, regardless of the location of their residence.

Migration

Overall Migration Flows

Definition:

The United States is a country with an increasingly mobile population. People move, migrate, from one place to another with increasing frequency.

Why is it important?

Having a handle on whether or not Hemet is a net recipient (migration inflows) or donor (migration outflows) of population is very important for understanding trends in the City's development. This section outlines migration patterns by age, education, income, marital status, and housing tenure. Understanding recent trends is very important for making policy, investment, and other decisions about the future. Also, understanding the extent to which the population is stable, or experiences significant turnover each year is helpful for planning purposes.

Figure 87: Overall Movements of Residents



Table 17: Migration by Income

		Net Inflows				
			Same State			
Category	Population	All Migration	W/in County	Between Counties	Across States	From Abroad
No income	13,068	−534	−297	−153	−166	82
With income	58,944	−459	−173	102	−509	121
$1 to $9,999 or loss	8,857	220	86	−86	211	9
$10,000 to $14,999	7,138	−151	−22	−47	−95	13
$15,000 to $24,999	10,451	−97	196	−61	−279	47
$25,000 to $34,999	9,150	−367	−360	11	−18	0
$35,000 to $49,999	8,553	−408	−96	−118	−214	20
$50,000 to $64,999	4,655	30	29	24	−23	0
$65,000 to $74,999	2,702	88	76	67	−55	0
$75,000 or more	7,438	226	−82	312	−36	32
All:	72,012	−993	−470	−51	−675	203

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File
Note: The data in this and other tables in this section are limited in that there is no information on the City's population that has moved abroad.
The "From Abroad" column is gross movements into the City from abroad.

Figure 88: Overall Movements of Low Income Residents



Figure 89: Overall Movements of Middle Income Residents



Figure 90: Overall Movements of High Income Residents



Jon Haveman, Ph.D. • National Economic Education Delegation
Jon@NEEDEcon.org • 415-336-5705

Demographics of Migration Flows

Table 18: Migration by Marital Status

Category	Population	Net Inflows				
			Same State			
		All Migration	W/in County	Between Counties	Across States	From Abroad
Never married	22,731	−346	95	−232	−272	63
Now married, except separated	31,589	−611	−804	223	−123	93
Divorced	9,953	161	181	112	−132	0
Separated	1,708	−102	−18	−70	−43	29
Widowed	6,031	−95	76	−84	−105	18
Total:	72,012	−993	−470	−51	−675	203

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 19: Migration by Tenure

Category	Population	Net Inflows				
			Same State			
		All Migration	W/in County	Between Counties	Across States	From Abroad
Householder lived in owner-occupied housing units	56,770	3,911	1,282	4,135	−1,629	123
Householder lived in renter-occupied housing units	31,448	−1,070	−997	−255	182	0
Total:	88,218	2,841	285	3,880	−1,447	123

Source: 2022 1-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Figure 91: Domestic Movements of Residents by Tenure



Jon Haveman, Ph.D. • National Economic Education Delegation
Jon@NEEDEcon.org • 415-336-5705

Table 20: Migration by Age

		Net Inflows				
			Same State			
Category	Population	All Migration	W/in County	Between Counties	Across States	From Abroad
1 to 4 years	4,702	−233	−152	−22	−59	0
5 to 17 years	16,244	−20	−70	301	−261	10
18 and 19 years	2,079	−256	−29	−86	−166	25
20 to 24 years	5,113	−257	−40	−287	32	38
25 to 29 years	5,666	−328	−173	−132	−23	0
30 to 34 years	5,933	33	−80	92	8	13
35 to 39 years	5,849	−188	−158	11	−52	11
40 to 44 years	4,405	−133	−7	55	−181	0
45 to 49 years	3,723	−358	−316	7	−62	13
50 to 54 years	4,903	390	119	310	−39	0
55 to 59 years	4,938	7	116	−115	−29	35
60 to 64 years	5,400	−47	−12	6	−73	32
65 to 69 years	5,261	239	117	35	51	36
70 to 74 years	5,185	212	111	68	33	0
75 years and over	9,606	−223	−84	−28	−111	0
Total Population:	89,007	−1,162	−658	215	−932	213

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 21: Migration by Educational Attainment

		Net Inflows				
			Same State			
Category	Population	All Migration	W/in County	Between Counties	Across States	From Abroad
Less than high school graduate	12,128	−805	−500	−176	−129	0
High school graduate (includes equiv)	20,311	−35	−102	464	−397	0
Some college or assoc. degree	20,827	1,314	301	958	−26	81
Bachelor's degree	7,408	128	27	290	−189	0
Graduate or professional degree	2,523	18	201	−88	−95	0
Total:	63,197	620	−73	1,448	−836	81

Source: 2022 1-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 22: Median Income of Migration Flows

Flow	In-Migration	Out-Migration
Same House 1 Year Ago	28,459	28,459
Moved Within Same County	28,274	30,781
Moved to Different County, Same State	73,353	23,991
Moved Between States	34,340	25,680
Total Population:	29,065	28,474

Source: 2022 1-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 23: Median Age of Migration Flows

Flow	In-Migration	Out-Migration
Same House 1 Year Ago	44.0	44.0
Moved Within Same County	32.8	37.9
Moved to Different County, Same State	27.3	36.0
Moved Between States	33.4	37.9
Total Population:	40.5	42.7

Source: 2022 1-year American Community Survey, Summary File

References and Sources

The majority of the data presented in this report are from the American Community Survey (ACS). For larger geographies, the 1-year Summary Files provide the data. For smaller communities, roughly those with less than 65,000 in population in 2021, the 5-year Summary Files provide the data.

The ACS data are supplemented by building permit data from the U.S. Census Bureau, population and housing data from the California Department of Finance, and home price and rental rates from Zillow.

U.S. Census Bureau. American Community Survey 1-year and 5-year Summary Files. https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/data/data-via-ftp.html. The 1-year data are released in September each year and the 5-year data are relased in January.

Zillow Research Data https://www.zillow.com/research/data/

U.S. Census Bureau. Building Permits Data, updated annually in February. https://www.census.gov/construction/bps/current.html

State of California, Department of Finance, E-5 Population and Housing Estimates for Cities, Counties and the State — January 1. Sacramento, California, May. https://dof.ca.gov/forecasting/demographics/estimates/

State of California, Department of Finance, E-2. California County Population Estimates and Components of Change by Year, July 1, 2010-2021. Sacramento, California, December. https://dof.ca.gov/forecasting/demographics/

State of California, Department of Finance, E-1 Population Estimates for Cities, Counties and the State with Annual Percent Change — January 1. Sacramento, California, May. https://dof.ca.gov/forecasting/demographics/

Jon Haveman, Ph.D. • National Economic Education Delegation
Jon@NEEDEcon.org • 415-336-5705