Gonzales, California

Indicators Report

by
The National Economic Education Delegation (NEED)

April 20, 2024

Exploring the economics, demographics, and well-being of Gonzales and its residents through indicators.

This report was produced by the:

National Economic Education Delegation 271 Arias St. San Rafael, CA 94903 415-336-5705 www.NEEDEcon.org Contact: Jon@NEEDEcon.org

Executive Summary

Assessing the City with Indicators

About this Report

This report provides background or summary information for the city of Gonzales (the City) in the form of indicators.

Using this Report

Indicators are measures of various aspects of a regional economy. They help to provide an indication of the quality of life in a region and progress toward improving conditions in the local economy. This report focuses on indicators for changing demographics, incomes, housing markets, commute patterns, and employment in Gonzales. These indicators are compared to Monterey County (the County) as a whole, a broader region where one is well defined, California, and the United Sates.

This report is vital for understanding trends in the underlying economy. It does not provide forecasts, but Rob Eyler and Jon Haveman at Economic Forensics and Analytics are available to provide them if that is of interest.

Topics Covered:

- **Demographics:** A detailed snopshot of Gonzales demographics is presented. This provides evidence on the size, age and sex, income and poverty status, race and ethnicity, housing status, living arrangements, education, health, and transportation choices of the population. Beyond the current population level, data on trends in local population growth, in comparison with other broader regions is presented, in both tabular and graphical form.
- **Employment Report:** Here, we provide a brief snapshot or employment and unemployment in Gonzales and how the City's experience differs from broader regions.
- Income and Earnings: Vital to understanding the prosperity of a city relative to its surrounding
 area is information on income and earnings. We provide a ranking of the City's income relative to
 all cities in California as well as growth relative to local regions. Inequality and poverty status are
 also important indicators for the level of equity in the community. We provide evidence of trends
 in both, not only for all residents, but also for children separately.
- Housing: This section provides evidence on the cost and availability of housing. Both median home values and rental costs are included, along with detailed information on home ownership, by age and income, in particular. Further, evidence is provided on the housing burden in the City, again, in comparison with other broader regions. We also provide evidence on the rate at which new buildings and units are permitted along with a broader housing picture. Finally, we provide evidence on the age of the housing stock in Gonzales, along with information on how long the City's residents have been in place.
- Transportation: Increasingly important, in the wake of the pandemic, is an understanding of
 the transportation patterns and choices of local residents. We provide detailed evidence on the
 proprotion of residents who work from home and on the various transportation choices of those
 who head to the office. This information is also provided for those who work in Gonzales, but do
 not necessarily live in Gonzales.
- **Migration:** Population changes comes primarily through organic causes: births and deaths. Migration between regions also plays a significant role in population growth. A final section of the report provides evidence on migration into and out of the City.

Contents

Executive Summary Assessing the City with Indicators	1 1
Demographics A Demographic Snapshot Current Population	3 3 5
Employment Report Citywide Employment and Unemployment	8 9 10
Per Capita Personal Income Growth	16 16 19
Housing Costs and Affordability	27
Mode of Transportation	34 34 36 37 38 40
Overall Migration Flows	12 12 14

Demographics

Definition:

Data on the demographics of a city indicate the nature of the population, with a focus on age, gender, race and ethnicity, as well as household compositon.

Why is it important?

The characteristics and growth of Gonzales's population are fundamental indicators of the city's growth potential.

A Demographic Snapshot

Statistic	2022	2019
POPULATION		
Population Estimate (#, 5yr)	8,581.0	8,375.0
Veterans (#, 5yr)	182.0	142.0
Foreign born persons (%, 5yr)	31.5	32.5
Population age 25+ (#, 5yr)	5,064.0	4,722.0
AGE AND SEX		
Persons under 5 years (%, 5yr)	8.5	8.4
Persons under 18 years (%, 5yr)	27.4	32.2
Persons 65 years and over (%, 5yr)	6.9	7.4
Female persons (%, 5yr)	52.4	47.9
INCOME AND POVERTY		
Median household income (\$, 5yr)	75,638.0	65,527.0
Per capita income in past 12 months (\$, 5yr)	25,331.0	19,560.0
Persons in poverty (%, 5yr)	8.6	10.1
Children age less than 18 in poverty (#, 5yr)	287.0	391.0
Children age less than 18 in poverty (%, 5yr)	12.4	14.7
RACE AND ETHNICITY		
White alone (%, 5yr)	15.5	43.4
African American alone (%, 5yr)	0.5	0.4
American Indian or Alaska Native alone (%, 5yr)	1.1	0.7
Asian alone (%, 5yr)	1.4	3.6
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone (%, 5yr)	0.4	0.4
Two or More Races (%, 5yr)	15.7	1.1
Hispanic or Latino (%, 5yr)	88.8	90.3
White alone, not Hispanic or Latino (%, 5yr)	8.1	5.3
HOUSING	0.077.0	0.444.0
Housing units (#, 5yr)	2,377.0	2,114.0
Owner-occupied housing units (%, 5yr)	58.2	52.8
Median value of owner-occupied housing units (\$, 5yr) Median selected monthly owner costs-with a mortgage (\$, 5yr)	577,100.0 2,130.0	356,000.0 1,712.0
Median selected monthly owner costs-with a mortgage (\$, 5yr)	682.0	450.0
Median gross rent (\$, 5yr)	1,438.0	1,190.0
FAMILIES AND LIVING ARRANGEMENTS	1,436.0	1,190.0
Households (#, 5yr)	2,355.0	2,077.0
Persons per household (#, 5yr)	2,333.0	4.0
Living in same house 1 year ago, % of persons age 1+ (5yr)	91.4	91.7
EDUCATION	31.4	31.7
High school graduate or higher, % of persons age 25+ (5yr)	61.7	53.7
Bachelor's degree or higher, % of persons age 25+ (5yr)	8.4	9.5
HEALTH		
With a disability, under age 65 years (#, 5yr)	227.0	139.0
Persons without health insurance, under age 65 years (%, 5yr)	10.0	10.9
LABOR FORCE		
In civilian labor force, persons age 16+ (%, 5yr)	60.4	61.4
In civilian labor force, women age 16+ (%, 5yr)	45.9	45.2
Employed, persons age 16+ (%, 5yr)	55.4	59.6
Self employed (%, 5yr)	2.3	2.6
TRANSPORTATION		
Mean travel time to work, workers age 16+ (Mins., 5yr)	25.2	23.9
	85.5	79.8
Drive alone in private vehicle (%, 5yr)		
Using public transportation (%, 5yr)	0.0	1.4

Source: American Community Survey, Summary Files
Note: Data are from the 1-year files unless indicated by the notation 5yr.

Current Population

The data in these two tables and the following two graphs are from the CA Department of Finance (DOF). The DOF produces population estimates for geographies around California twice a year: January and July. As estimates for cities are only available in January, these two tables are based on the January data. The remaining figures are from the American Community Survey (ACS), provided annually by the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

Table 1. Population Change by Region (Thousands, January to January)

(Triododirao) odirao	ay to our aury)								
	2023		% Ch	nange					
Region	Population	1 Year	3 Year	5 Year					
City									
Gonzales	8,300	-0.61	-2.67	-3.94					
	County and	Broader	Regions						
Monterey County	430,368	-0.83	-2.28	-2.84					
Central Coast	1,411,324	-0.74	-1.86	-2.79					

Source: CA DOF; Calculations by National Economic Education Delegation

-0.35

-179

-2.01


Table 2. County Population Change by City

38 940 231

California

(Thousands, January to January) % Change Central Coast City 2022 2023 Local California Monterey County 434.0 430.4-0.83-0.74-0.35Salinas 159.7159.5-0.17Seaside 32.1 29.8 -7.24Monterey 26.8 28.1-4.39Soledad 26.6 26.2 -1.26Marina 21.522.1 2.51Greenfield 19.7 19.9 1.14 Pacific Grove -0.1614.8 14.7King City 13.3 13.8 3.71Gonzales -0.618.4 8.3 Carmel By The Sea 3.0 3.0 -0.49Del Rey Oaks 1.5 1.5 -0.32Sand City 0.40.80

Source: CA DOF; Calculations by National Economic Education Delegation







Figure 3: Population by Age - Detailed Age Categories







Figure 4: Population by Age - Broad Age Categories








Figure 5: Population by Educational Attainment





Gonzales Race/Ethnicity, 2022 88.8% White, Nonhispanic Black, Nonhispanic Asian, Nonhispanic Other, Nonhispanic Hispanic Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 5-yr American Community Survey Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)

Figure 6: Population by Race/Ethnicity




Figure 7: Population by Race/Ethnicity Over Time

Employment Report

Citywide Employment and Unemployment

Definition:

Each month, California's Employment Development Division (EDD) publishes an update on employment in California and in MSAs, counties, and cities all across the state. The report focuses primarily on non-farm employment, providing estimates of changes in em-

ployment by industry as well as unemployment in each region. Data for cities is limited to aggregate employment, labor force, and unemployment data. Those are reported below.

Why is it important?


Employment growth is a fundamental indicator of the health of an economy.

Table 3. Gonzales Summary for March, 2024

	Change From:							
Category	Current Value	Last Month	2 Months Ago	Last Year				
Employment	8,924	-30	-53	-103				
Labor Force	9,644	9	15	96				
Number Unemployed	678	-4	21	97				
Unemployment Rate	7.0	-0.0	0.2	0.9				

Source: EDD, National Economic Education Delegation

Figure 8: Historical Employment and Unemploy- Figure 9: Employment and Unemployment - Last








Figure 10: Relative Employment Growth Across Figure 11: Relative Employment Growth Across Regions - since 2010 Regions - since 2019





County Employment by Industry

California's Employment Development Division (EDD) does not regularly produce data on employment by industry for cities. However, we are able to report industry-level employment data for Monterey County. The following table provides the latest data for the County.

Table 4. Employment Growth by Industry in Monterey County for March, 2024

			Empl	% Growth - Annualized Rate					
Industry	Employment	Share	Growth	Month	Qtr	6mo	1yr	3yr	5yr
Total Nonfarm	147,533	100.0	606.9	5.1	1.6	1.5	1.6	3.8	0.3
Total Private	113,374	76.8	491.1	5.3	1.7	4.2	2.9	4.7	0.6
Goods Producing	13, 118	8.9	-23.8	-2.2	0.6	7.6	7.9	5.3	1.7
Mining, Logging and Construction	7,659	5.2	83.5	14.1	-3.3	2.0	7.4	5.4	2.7
Mining and Logging	200	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-6.7
Construction	7,435	5.0	109.7	19.5	-4.4	1.2	7.4	5.6	3.2
Manufacturing	5,446	3.7	11.8	2.6	-0.7	14.6	7.6	4.6	0.3
Non-Durable Goods	3,977	2.7	0.3	0.1	1.0	19.5	8.5	7.5	1.2
Service Providing	134,365	91.1	458.6	4.2	1.7	1.0	1.1	3.7	0.2
Trade, Trans & Utilities	25,363	17.2	-65.2	-3.0	-4.9	-2.3	-0.5	0.4	-1.0
Wholesale Trade	6,054	4.1	-27.2	-5.2	0.7	2.8	3.3	5.0	0.5
Retail Trade	16,172	11.0	73.7	5.6	-4.8	-1.4	0.6	-0.0	-0.7
Information	900	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	9.5	-2.0
Financial Activities	4,176	2.8	14.8	4.3	-2.2	3.6	2.4	0.7	-0.9
Professional & Business Srvcs	15,061	10.2	176.4	15.2	6.7	5.6	-1.1	-0.1	0.7
Educational & Health Srvcs	23,016	15.6	44.5	2.4	8.1	8.3	7.5	5.2	2.7
Health Care & Social Assistance	20,412	13.8	48.3	2.9	6.7	5.7	5.7	4.6	2.4
Leisure & Hospitality	26,048	17.7	317.5	15.9	0.9	3.5	2.7	14.0	0.1
Accommodation & Food Srvcs	22,884	15.5	93.3	5.0	-2.4	1.9	0.8	12.5	-0.2
Other Srvcs	5,568	3.8	34.0	7.6	-1.6	-1.8	1.7	7.0	1.7
Government	34,122	23.1	-55.5	-1.9	2.1	-5.9	-2.2	1.2	-0.4
Federal	5,200	3.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-2.4	0.4
State	5,506	3.7	33.3	7.6	1.5	1.3	3.9	1.4	-0.6
Local	23,415	15.9	-26.2	-1.3	3.6	-10.1	-4.0	2.1	-0.6
County	5,499	3.7	24.5	5.5	1.7	2.0	1.8	0.6	1.1
City	2,200	1.5	-100.0	-41.3	-16.3	-8.5	0.0	7.4	-0.9
Local Government Education	12, 128	8.2	-20.1	-2.0	4.8	-17.1	-8.0	2.4	-1.5

Source: EDD, National Economic Education Delegation (NEED)

Some Employee Detail

Employed in Gonzales







Figure 12: Employment by Occupation






Speak only English 45.7 61.1 Speak Spanish (SS) 46.9 SS - English very well 22.3 SS - English less than very well 24.6 Speak other languages (SOL) SOL - English very well SOL - English less than very well 20 40 60 Percent (%) of Workers Gonzales **Monterey County** Source: American Community Survey, 2022 5-yr Summary Files. Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org).

Figure 14: Language Spoken at Home





Employed Residents of Gonzales






Figure 16: Employment by Occupation





Speak only English 44.5 74.7 Speak Spanish (SS) 48.3 42.8 SS - English very well 23.3 SS - English less than very well 25.0 Speak other languages (SOL) SOL - English very well SOL - English less than very well 20 40 60 80 Percent (%) of Workers Gonzales **Monterey County** Source: American Community Survey, 2022 5-yr Summary Files. Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org).

Figure 18: Language Spoken at Home



Jon Haveman, Ph.D. ● National Economic Education Delegation Jon@NEEDEcon.org • 415-336-5705

Employed Residents vs Workers in Gonzales





Figure 20: Employment by Occupation






Percent of Workers Speak only English 35.3 74.7 Speak Spanish (SS) 61.1 42.8 SS - English very well SS - English less than very well Speak other languages (SOL) SOL - English very well SOL - English less than very well 40 20 60 80 **Employed Residents** Locally Employed Source: American Community Survey, 2022 5-yr Summary Files. Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org).

Figure 22: Language Spoken at Home





Income and Earnings

Per Capita Income Growth

Definition:

Per capita income is the average income per person in Gonzales. Personal income is the income received by, or on behalf of, all persons from all sources: from participation as laborers in production, from owning a home or unincorporated business, from the ownership of financial assets, and from government and business in the form of transfer receipts. Noncash government benefits are not included.

Why is it important?

Income is the money that is available to persons for consumption expenditures, taxes, interest payments, transfer payments to governments and the rest of the world, or for saving. As such, it is an important indicator of economic well-being in a community.





Figure 24: Real Per Capita Income Ranking Among California Cities

Figure 25: Regional Comparison of Growth over Time Over the last 1, 5, and 10 years 120 Annual Growth Rate to 2022 (%) Indexed to 100 in 2010 110 100 90 Ave. 2025 Year: Through 2022 Gonzales (116.4%) Monterey County (109.1%) Gonzales California California (116.4%) United States (112.5%) Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 5-yr American Community Survey Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org) Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 5-yr American Community Survey Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)

Real Per Capita Income Ranking Among California Cities - w/Comparable Populations


Monterey County

United States



Real Per Capita Income Ranking Among Cities in Monterey County

Figure 28: Income Levels Figure 29: Growth over Time Soledad (12) King City (10) 18.6 Greenfield (11) Soledad (12) King City (10) Pacific Grove (2) GONZALES (9) Sand City (5) 25.3 Salinas (8) GONZALES (9) 27.3 Seaside (7) Seaside (7) Marina (6) Marina (6) Sand City (5) Carmel By The Sea (1) Monterey (4) Salinas (8) Del Rey Oaks (3) Monterey (4) Pacific Grove (2) Greenfield (11) 0.0 Carmel By The Sea (1) Del Rey Oaks (3) -3.5 10 20 40 60 80 100 Ò Per Capita Income in 2022, Thousands of Dollars Percent (%) Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2022 5-yr American Community Survey
The # in parentheses is the ranking out of 12 geographies.
Geographies are selected and ranked based on population.
These are the cities in the same county as the target city.
Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org) Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2022 5-yr American Community Survey The # in parentheses is the ranking out of 12 geographies.
Geographies are selected and ranked based on population.
These are the cities in the same county as the target city.
Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)



Poverty and Inequality


Definition:


The local poverty rate provides an indication of the well-being of those at the bottom of the income distribution. The federal poverty rate measures the proportion of households in the region that are classified as living in poverty. Also included are measures of the extent to which the City's children are impoverished. Measures of the income distribution provide

further evidence on disparities in income in the region and how those disparities have changed over time.

Why is it important?

It is important to track measures of poverty and inequality to assess the extent of income disparities in the region, with an eye toward understanding how well the local economy is performing for all of its citizens.






2022 50 Percent of All Income 40 30 20 10 0 Second Quintile Third Quintile Fourth Quintile Top Quintile Top 5% Bottom Quintile **Monterey County** Gonzales **United States** California Source: American Community Survey, 5-yr Summary Files Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)

Figure 32: Shares Across the Income Distribution





Housing

Housing Costs and Affordability

Definition:

Housing costs are measured in several different ways. First, we provide evidence on the evolution of median home prices, median rental price, and finally through evidence on the housing burden in the city and comparison regions. Housing burden is defined as a household needing to commit more than 30% of their household income toward housing costs. The median value is the amount in the middle. Fifty

percent of units are above the median and 50 percent are below.

Why is it important?

Housing is one of three fundamental necessities, along with food and clothing. A measure of the cost of housing is an integral part of the measurement of the cost of living in a specific community. This is particularly true in cities and regions throughout the Bay Area, where housing costs are high relative to income.

Cost of Housing in Gonzales and Broader Regions




Figure 34: Median Home Prices

Figure 35: Median Rents



Housing Ownership in Gonzales and Broader Regions

Figure 36: Home Ownership Rates




Figure 37: Home Ownership by Age




Figure 38: Income by Tenure




Figure 39: Income Distribution by Tenure




Figure 40: Income Distribution of Home Owners





Figure 41: Income Distribution of Renters



Housing Burden in Gonzales and Broader Regions

Figure 42: Home Owners w/ A Mortgage




Figure 43: Home Owners w/o A Mortgage




Figure 44: Renters




Figure 45: Homeowner Housing Burden by Age



Housing Picture

Definition:

Housing costs are measured in several different ways. First, we provide evidence on the evolution of median home prices, median rental price, and finally through evidence on the housing burden in the city and comparison regions. The median value is the amount in the middle. Fifty percent of units are above the median and 50 percent are below.

Why is it important?

In areas where the rate of population growth exceeds the rate of housing growth, this is likely to reflect a tightening housing market. A tightening housing market will also likely be reflected in lower vacancy rates and higher occupancy rates. It may also be reflected in higher numbers of people per household.

Table 5. Housing Market Indicators

				% Change from				
Indicator	2023	2019	2010	2019	2010			
Total Population	8,300.0	8,566.0	8,187.0	-3.1	1.4			
Total # of Homes	2,091.0	1,987.0	1,989.0	5.2	5.1			
# Occupied Units	2,041.0	1,885.0	1,906.0	8.3	7.1			
Persons per Household	4.1	4.5	4.3	-10.5	-5.3			
Vacancy Rate (%)	2.4	5.1	4.2	-53.4	-42.7			

Source: CA DOF; Calculations by the National Economic Education Delegation

Figure 46: Housing Growth




Figure 47: Persons per Household




Figure 48: Vacancy Rates





Figure 49: Number of Occupanied Units




Trends in the Growth of Housing by Housing Type

Figure 50: Single Detached Homes Figure 51: Single Attached Homes 7.5 10.0-Percent Change Since 2010 Percent Change Since 2010 7.5-5.0 5.0 2.5 2.5 0.0 0.0 -2.5 -2.5 2010 2015 2025 2010 2015 Year, through 2023 Year, through 2023 Gonzales (4.9%) Gonzales (8.6%) Monterey County (6.6%) Monterey County (5.4%) California (5.8%) California (9.3%) Source: CA, Department of Finance Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org) Source: CA, Department of Finance Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)

Figure 52: Housing in Buildings with Two to Four Figure 53: Housing in Buildings with Five or More Units

Units



Vintage of Residential Housing

Why is it important?

This section provides evidence on the year in which residential housing in Gonzales was built. We break it down into owned versus rented residences and provide a comparison across Monterey County and broader regions. A sense of the age of housing in a region provides an indication of the urgency with which a region might pursue additional housing. As the

housing stock ages, an urgency with which renovations and rebuilds are permitted might result. All things equal, more recently constructed housing will be more likely to meet current codes and standards. Remodeling of existing units will be more desirable when existing units are, on average, older.




Figure 54: Distribution of Housing Construction

Figure 55: Housing Vintage across Regions




Figure 56: Housing Vintage by Tenure




Figure 57: Vintage of Owned Residences




Figure 58: Vintage of Rented Residences





Figure 59: Vintage of All Residences



Occupation of Residential Housing

Why is it important?

The duration of residence in a city is important for developing future policies regarding growing the local population. If a region is highly mobile, evidenced by most residences having been recently occupied, a city might propose policies to reduce that mobility, or ask why the mobility happens. Policies could be put in place to either reduce or increase migration.




Figure 61: Year Occupied by Current Residents Figure 62: Year Occupied by Current Residents across Regions by Tenure




Figure 63: Year Occupied by Current Residents Figure 64: Year Occupied by Current Residents for Owned Housing for Rented Housing





Figure 65: Year Occupied by Current Residents for All Housing



Residential Permitting

Definition:


This indicator provides evidence on the number of residential buildings that are permitted for construction each year. Permit data for Gonzales is compared with data from Monterey County as a whole and broader regions. The statistic provided scales the number of permits by population. This is done to facilitate comparisons across regions.

Why is it important?

Building permits are the best indicator available of new units coming on the market. In order for a region's population to grow and flourish, new residential properties must be added to the existing stock. Building, both in the City and in the County more generally, is an indication of the extent to which new residences accommodate new residents or are affecting prices through increased supply.


Gonzales - Ranking Among Comparables


Figure 66: Number of Units Permitted - Nationwide Comparables (Rank)



Paradise town, CA Fullerton, CA (4 86.39 0.16 Mendota, CA 0.16 Norwalk, CA 0.16 Hawthorne, C 0.16 Chino Hills, C 0.15 Palos Verdes Estates, CA Red Bluff, CA 0.14 Escalon, CA 0.13 Westlake Village, GONZALES, 0.12 0.12 Eastvale, Taft, San Mateo, Bell, 0.12 0.12 Claremont, CA 0.11 Benicia, CA 0.11 Monterey, CA 0.11 East Palo Alto, CA 0.10 Sierra Madre, CA 0.09 Vernon, CA (515) 0.00 20 80 90 0 10 30 40 50 60 70 **Units Permitted** Per 1,000 in Population: 2023 Source: U.S. Census Bureau. The # in parentheses is the ranking out of 515 geographies. Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)

Figure 67: Number of Units Permitted - California Comparables (Rank)






Gonzales - Permitting Activity

Annual Units Permitted - Per Capita in Gonzales

Figure 69: Units Permitted Each Year



Permitted (Over 1, 5, and 10 years) Rate Ave. Annual Growth

5 Years

10 Years


Monterey County

Figure 70: Average Annual Growth in Units

Annual Number of Buildings Permitted - Per Capita in Gonzales

Figure 72: Average Annual Growth in Buildings Permitted


Figure 71: Units Permitted Each Year





Annual Value of Property Permitted - Per Capita in Gonzales

Figure 73: Value Permitted Each Year






Figure 74: Average Annual Growth in Value

Commute Patterns

During the recovery from the Great Recession, the period from 2010 to 2019, the Bay Area economy, and Silicon Valley in particular, has been growing at a pace roughly double that of the state as a whole and triple that of the nation. This growth has precipitated a tight hous-

ing market and also brought about some significant changes in commute patterns, many of which have been reversed by the pandemic. Recent years have seen significant changes in both the mode of transportation and commute times.

Mode of Transportation

Figure 75: Percent of Workers Commuting by Figure 76: Percent of Workers Commuting by Car Alone Carpool





Figure 77: Percent of Workers using Public Figure 78: Percent of Workers Who Work From



The first table on this page presents data for those who LIVE in Gonzales. The second provides data on those who work, but do not necessarily live in Gonzales. The final two columns provide for a comparison of commute mode choices of people locally with those in California more broadly.

Table 6. SEX OF WORKERS BY MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK

	Male Female A					All Workers		
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)	
Car, Truck, or Van:	2,118	80.6	1,214	81.9	3,332	85.0	78.0	
Drove Alone	1,996	75.9	1,106	74.6	3,102	79.1	68.4	
Carpooled:	122	4.6	108	7.3	230	5.9	9.5	
In 2-person carpool	40	1.5	95	6.4	135	3.4	6.9	
In 3-person carpool	0	0.0	13	0.9	13	0.3	1.5	
In 4-or-more-person carpool	82	3.1	0	0.0	82	2.1	1.1	
Public Transportation (excl Taxi):	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3.6	
Bus or Trolley Bus	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2.3	
Streetcar or Trolley Car	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.8	
Subway or Elevated	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.3	
Railroad	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.2	
Ferryboat	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.1	
Bicycle	25	1.0	26	1.8	51	1.3	0.7	
Walked	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2.4	
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	212	8.1	75	5.1	287	7.3	1.7	
Worked at Home	0	0.0	82	5.5	82	2.1	13.6	
Total:	2,355	89.6	1,397	94.3	3,752	95.7		

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 7. SEX OF WORKERS BY MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK FOR WORKPLACE GEOGRAPHY

	M	ale	Fen	nale	All Wo	orkers	All of CA	
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)	
Car, Truck, or Van:	795	58.7	895	80.3	1,690	72.8	78.0	
Drove Alone	646	47.7	753	67.6	1,399	60.3	68.5	
Carpooled:	149	11.0	142	12.7	291	12.5	9.5	
In 2-person carpool	149	11.0	106	9.5	255	11.0	6.9	
In 3-person carpool	0	0.0	23	2.1	23	1.0	1.5	
In 4-or-more-person carpool	0	0.0	13	1.2	13	0.6	1.1	
Public Transportation (excl Taxi):	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3.6	
Bus or Trolley Bus	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2.3	
Streetcar or Trolley Car	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.8	
Subway or Elevated	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.3	
Railroad	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.2	
Ferryboat	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.1	
Bicycle	0	0.0	26	2.3	26	1.1	0.7	
Walked	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2.4	
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	17	1.3	81	7.3	98	4.2	1.7	
Worked at Home	0	0.0	82	7.4	82	3.5	13.6	
Total:	812	60.0	1,084	97.3	1,896	81.7		

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

The results in this table are for those who work in the region, regardless of the location of their residence.

Commute Times for Employed Residents

Table 8.	SEX C	F WORKERS	BY TRA	AVEL TIME	TO WORK

	Ma	ıle	Fen	Female		orkers	All of CA
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Less than 5 minutes	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2.0
5 to 9 minutes	60	2.3	65	4.7	125	3.3	7.5
10 to 14 minutes	182	6.9	152	11.0	334	8.7	12.2
15 to 19 minutes	261	9.9	149	10.8	410	10.7	15.0
20 to 24 minutes	842	32.0	360	26.0	1,202	31.4	14.3
25 to 29 minutes	445	16.9	161	11.6	606	15.8	6.3
30 to 34 minutes	186	7.1	186	13.4	372	9.7	15.0
35 to 39 minutes	91	3.5	127	9.2	218	5.7	2.9
40 to 44 minutes	143	5.4	41	3.0	184	4.8	4.3
45 to 59 minutes	31	1.2	16	1.2	47	1.2	8.6
60 to 89 minutes	71	2.7	0	0.0	71	1.9	7.9
90 or more minutes	43	1.6	58	4.2	101	2.6	4.0
Total:	2,355	89.6	1,315	94.9	3,670	95.7	

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Figure 79: Percent of Employed Population With Figure 80: Percent of Employed Population With Commutes of More than 30 Minutes

Commutes of More than 90 Minutes





Figure 81: Rank: Share of MegaCommuters Across Similar Geographies



Commute Times for Those Employed in the City

Table 9. SEX OF WORKERS BY TRAVEL TIME TO WORK FOR WORKPLACE GEOGRAPHY

WORKFLAC	JE GEG	GNAFII					
	M	ale	Fem	nale	All Wo	rkers	All of CA
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Less than 5 minutes	101	7.5	0	0.0	101	4.5	2.0
5 to 9 minutes	12	0.9	165	15.4	177	8.0	7.5
10 to 14 minutes	78	5.8	138	12.9	216	9.7	12.2
15 to 19 minutes	158	11.8	110	10.3	268	12.1	15.0
20 to 24 minutes	174	12.9	267	24.9	441	19.8	14.3
25 to 29 minutes	141	10.5	274	25.6	415	18.7	6.3
30 to 34 minutes	42	3.1	38	3.5	80	3.6	15.0
35 to 39 minutes	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2.9
40 to 44 minutes	0	0.0	7	0.7	7	0.3	4.3
45 to 59 minutes	71	5.3	3	0.3	74	3.3	8.6
60 to 89 minutes	14	1.0	0	0.0	14	0.6	7.9
90 or more minutes	21	1.6	0	0.0	21	0.9	4.0
Total:	812	60.4	1,002	93.5	1,814	81.6	

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

The results in this table are for those who work in the region, regardless of the location of their residence.

Figure 82: Percent of Local Employees With Figure 83: Percent of Local Employees With Commutes of More than 30 Minutes

Commutes of More than 90 Minutes





Figure 84: Rank: Share of MegaCommuters Across Similar Geographies



Place of Work

This section provides evidence on where workers living in Gonzales work. As evidenced in the first table, some of Gonzales's employed workers work in the City, but many do not. The first table and graph pair provide evidence at the county level while the second provide evidence with regard to working outside of the Gonzales city boundary.

Table 10. SEX OF WORKERS BY PLACE OF WORK-STATE AND COUNTY LEVEL

	Male		Female		All Workers		All of CA	
Place of Work	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)	
Worked in state of residence:	2, 355	89.6	1,397	94.3	3,752	95.7	99.6	
Worked in county of residence	2,160	82.2	1,309	88.3	3,469	88.4	84.1	
worked outside of county of residence	195	7.4	88	5.9	283	7.2	15.4	
Worked outside state of residence	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.4	
Total:	2,355	89.6	1,397	94.3	3,752	95.7		

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Figure 85: Percent of Workers Employed Outside of Their County of Residence





Table 11. SEX OF WORKERS BY PLACE OF WORK-PLACE LEVEL

	Ma	ale	Fem	nale	All Wo	orkers	All of CA
Place of Work	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Living in a place:	2,355	89.6	1,397	94.3	3,752	95.7	95.9
Worked in place of residence	183	7.0	266	17.9	449	11.4	39.5
Worked outside place of residence	2,172	82.6	1,131	76.3	3,303	84.2	56.4
Not living in a place	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4.1
Total:	2,355	89.6	1,397	94.3	3,752	95.7	

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Figure 86: Percent of Workers Employed Outside of Their Place of Residence



Commute Mode by Income

Table 12. MEDIAN EARNINGS IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS BY MEANS OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK

	City	California		United Sta	tes
	Median	Median	Ratio	Median	Ratio
Car, truck, or van - drove alone	45, 427	48, 566	110.5	46, 171	110.0
Car, truck, or van - carpooled	56,600	36,463	183.4	34,487	183.4
Public transportation (excluding taxicab)		40,179		45,100	
Walked		29,366		27,142	
Taxicab, motorcycle, bicycle, or other means	24,741	40,433	72.3	36,140	76.5
Worked from home	33,250	75, 153	52.3	67,180	55.3
Total:	41, 250	48,747	84.6	46,099	89.5

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Notes: 1) Ratio = the ratio of the regional median to either the CA or US median, relative to the Total ratio. Values above 100 imply a high local median. Values below 100 imply a low local median. For example, a value of 200 means that the local mean is 2x higher than would be expected. For "Total:", ratio is simply the ratio of the medians.

Table 13. MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK BY WORKERS' EARNINGS

	< \$2	5,000	\$25,000	-\$74,999	\$75	,000+	Α	II	All of CA
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Car, Truck, or Van: Drove Alone	613	34.2	1,336	83.9	609	84.7	3, 102	79.1	68.4
Car, Truck, or Van: Carpooled	58	3.2	64	4.0	97	13.5	230	5.9	9.5
Public Transportation (excl Taxi)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3.6
Walked	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2.4
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	175	9.8	125	7.8	13	1.8	338	8.6	2.4
Worked at Home	32	1.8	20	1.3	0	0.0	82	2.1	13.6
Total:	878	48.9	1,545	97.0	719		3,752	95.7	100.0

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 14. MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK BY WORKERS' EARNINGS FOR WORKPLACE GEOGRAPHY

	< \$2	5,000	\$25,00	0-\$74,999	\$75	+000,	Α	II	All of CA
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Car, Truck, or Van: Drove Alone	169	11.1	627	81.5	272	55.9	1,399	60.3	68.5
Car, Truck, or Van: Carpooled	58	3.8	113	14.7	120	24.6	291	12.5	9.5
Public Transportation (excl Taxi)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3.6
Walked	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2.4
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	107	7.0	9	1.2	0	0.0	124	5.3	2.4
Worked at Home	32	2.1	20	2.6	0	0.0	82	3.5	13.6
Total:	366	24.0	769		392	80.5	1,896	81.7	

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

The results in this table are for those who work in the region, regardless of the location of their residence.

²⁾ For regions with more than one geography, the medians are averages weighted by working population.

Commute Mode by Poverty Status

Table 15. MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK BY POVERTY STATUS

	In P	overty	100-14	19% of Pov	>150%	of Pov	А	II	All of CA
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Car, Truck, or Van: Drove Alone	75	23.7	222	41.7	2,805	78.9	3, 102	79.1	68.7
Car, Truck, or Van: Carpooled	0	0.0	0	0.0	230	6.5	230	5.9	9.5
Public Transportation (excl Taxi)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3.6
Walked	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2.1
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	0	0.0	27	5.1	311	8.8	338	8.6	2.4
Worked at Home	0	0.0	0	0.0	82	2.3	82	2.1	13.6
Total:	75	23.7	249	46.8	3,428	96.5	3,752	95.7	

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 16. MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK BY POVERTY STATUS FOR WORKPLACE GEOGRAPHY

	In P	overty	100-14	9% of Pov	>150%	of Pov	Α	II	All of CA
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Car, Truck, or Van: Drove Alone	12	5.4	161	35.5	1,226	64.7	1,399	60.3	68.7
Car, Truck, or Van: Carpooled	0	0.0	0	0.0	291	15.3	291	12.5	9.5
Public Transportation (excl Taxi)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3.6
Walked	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2.1
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	38	17.2	0	0.0	86	4.5	124	5.3	2.4
Worked at Home	0	0.0	0	0.0	82	4.3	82	3.5	13.6
Total:	50	22.6	161	35.5	1,685	88.9	1,896	81.7	

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

The results in this table are for those who work in the region, regardless of the location of their residence.

Migration

Overall Migration Flows

Definition:

The United States is a country with an increasingly mobile population. People move, migrate, from one place to another with increasing frequency.

Why is it important?

Having a handle on whether or not Gonzales is a net recipient (migration inflows) or donor (migration outflows) of population is very important for understanding trends in the City's development. This section outlines migration patterns by age, education, income, marital status, and housing tenure. Understanding recent trends is very important for making policy, investment, and other decisions about the future. Also, understanding the extent to which the population is stable, or experiences significant turnover each year is helpful for planning purposes.




Figure 87: Overall Movements of Residents

Table 17: Migration by Income

		Net Inflows				
			Sam	e State		-
			W/in	Between	Across	From
Category	Population	All Migration	County	Counties	States	Abroad
No income	1,638	100	99	11	-10	0
With income	4,980	-19	116	-30	-105	0
\$1 to \$9,999 or loss	587	-38	2	-40	0	0
\$10,000 to \$14,999	430	0	0	0	0	0
\$15,000 to \$24,999	741	28	0	0	28	0
\$25,000 to \$34,999	689	37	37	0	0	0
\$35,000 to \$49,999	928	-144	55	-41	-158	0
\$50,000 to \$64,999	497	47	22	0	25	0
\$65,000 to \$74,999	344	51	0	51	0	0
\$75,000 or more	764	0	0	0	0	0
All:	6,618	81	215	-19	-115	0

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Note: The data in this and other tables in this section are limited in that there is no

information on the City's population that has moved abroad.

The "From Abroad" column is gross movements into the City from abroad.

Figure 88: Overall Movements of Low Income Residents




Figure 89: Overall Movements of Middle Income Residents




Figure 90: Overall Movements of High Income Residents



Demographics of Migration Flows

Table 18: Migration by Marital Status

		Net Inflows							
			Sam	e State		-			
			W/in	Between	Across	From			
Category	Population	All Migration	County	Counties	States	Abroad			
Never married	2,697	261	213	48	0	0			
Now married, except separated	3,253	-141	0	-26	-115	0			
Divorced	335	2	2	0	0	0			
Separated	70	-41	0	-41	0	0			
Widowed	263	0	0	0	0	0			
Total:	6,618	81	215	-19	-115	0			

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 19: Migration by Tenure

		Net Inflows				
			Sam	e State		-
			W/in	Between	Across	From
Category	Population	All Migration	County	Counties	States	Abroad
Householder lived in owner-occupied housing units	5,155	198	59	35	104	0
Householder lived in renter-occupied housing units	3,302	138	326	-30	-158	0
Total:	8, 457	336	385	5	-54	0

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Figure 91: Domestic Movements of Residents by Tenure




Table 20: Migration by Age

		Ne	et Inflows			
			Sam	e State		-
			W/in	Between	Across	From
Category	Population	All Migration	County	Counties	States	Abroad
1 to 4 years	611	93	62	0	31	0
5 to 17 years	1,620	140	110	0	30	0
18 and 19 years	368	26	50	-24	0	0
20 to 24 years	800	-158	0	0	-158	0
25 to 29 years	839	148	37	86	25	0
30 to 34 years	469	-27	0	-55	28	0
35 to 39 years	315	71	71	0	0	0
40 to 44 years	595	55	55	0	0	0
45 to 49 years	608	0	0	0	0	0
50 to 54 years	560	0	0	0	0	0
55 to 59 years	602	-8	2	0	-10	0
60 to 64 years	480	0	0	0	0	0
65 to 69 years	236	0	0	0	0	0
70 to 74 years	164	0	0	0	0	0
75 years and over	196	-26	0	-26	0	0
Total Population:	8,463	314	387	-19	-54	0

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 21: Migration by Educational Attainment

	Net Inflows							
			Sam	e State		•		
Category	Population	All Migration	W/in County	Between Counties	Across States	From Abroad		
Less than high school graduate	1,939	139	104	35	0	0		
High school graduate (includes equiv)	1,246	-16	61	-67	-10	0		
Some college or assoc. degree	1,456	63	0	10	53	0		
Bachelor's degree	207	27	0	27	0	0		
Graduate or professional degree	216	0	0	0	0	0		
Total:	5,064	213	165	5	43	0		

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 22: Median Income of Migration Flows

Flow	In-Migration	Out-Migration						
Same House 1 Year Ago	34,861	34, 861						
Total Population:	35,452	35, 712						

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 23: Median Age of Migration Flows

Flow	In-Migration	Out-Migration
Same House 1 Year Ago Moved to Different County, Same State	$31.6 \\ 28.2$	31.6 32.4
Total Population:	29.9	31.1

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

References and Sources

The majority of the data presented in this report are from the American Community Survey (ACS). For larger geographies, the 1-year Summary Files provide the data. For smaller communities, roughly those with less than 65,000 in population in 2021, the 5-year Summary Files provide the data.

The ACS data are supplemented by building permit data from the U.S. Census Bureau, population and housing data from the California Department of Finance, and home price and rental rates from Zillow.

U.S. Census Bureau. American Community Survey 1-year and 5-year Summary Files. https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/data/data-via-ftp.html. The 1-year data are released in September each year and the 5-year data are relased in January.

Zillow Research Data https://www.zillow.com/research/data/

U.S. Census Bureau. Building Permits Data, updated annually in February. https://www.census.gov/construction/bps/current.html

State of California, Department of Finance, E-5 Population and Housing Estimates for Cities, Counties and the State — January 1. Sacramento, California, May. https://dof.ca.gov/forecasting/demographics/estimates/

State of California, Department of Finance, E-2. California County Population Estimates and Components of Change by Year, July 1, 2010-2021. Sacramento, California, December. https://dof.ca.gov/forecasting/demographics/

State of California, Department of Finance, E-1 Population Estimates for Cities, Counties and the State with Annual Percent Change — January 1. Sacramento, California, May. https://dof.ca.gov/forecasting/demographics/