Del Mar, California

Indicators Report

by The National Economic Education Delegation (NEED)

April 20, 2024

Exploring the economics, demographics, and well-being of Del Mar and its residents through indicators.

This report was produced by the:

National Economic Education Delegation 271 Arias St. San Rafael, CA 94903 415-336-5705 www.NEEDEcon.org Contact: Jon@NEEDEcon.org

Executive Summary

Assessing the City with Indicators

About this Report

This report provides background or summary information for the city of Del Mar (the City) in the form of indicators.

Using this Report

Indicators are measures of various aspects of a regional economy. They help to provide an indication of the quality of life in a region and progress toward improving conditions in the local economy. This report focuses on indicators for changing demographics, incomes, housing markets, commute patterns, and employment in Del Mar. These indicators are compared to San Diego County (the County) as a whole, a broader region where one is well defined, California, and the United Sates.

This report is vital for understanding trends in the underlying economy. It does not provide forecasts, but Rob Eyler and Jon Haveman at Economic Forensics and Analytics are available to provide them if that is of interest.

Topics Covered:

- **Demographics:** A detailed snopshot of Del Mar demographics is presented. This provides evidence on the size, age and sex, income and poverty status, race and ethnicity, housing status, living arrangements, education, health, and transportation choices of the population. Beyond the current population level, data on trends in local population growth, in comparison with other broader regions is presented, in both tabular and graphical form.
- **Employment Report:** Here, we provide a brief snapshot or employment and unemployment in Del Mar and how the City's experience differs from broader regions.
- Income and Earnings: Vital to understanding the prosperity of a city relative to its surrounding area is information on income and earnings. We provide a ranking of the City's income relative to all cities in California as well as growth relative to local regions. Inequality and poverty status are also important indicators for the level of equity in the community. We provide evidence of trends in both, not only for all residents, but also for children separately.
- Housing: This section provides evidence on the cost and availability of housing. Both median home values and rental costs are included, along with detailed information on home ownership, by age and income, in particular. Further, evidence is provided on the housing burden in the City, again, in comparison with other broader regions. We also provide evidence on the rate at which new buildings and units are permitted along with a broader housing picture. Finally, we provide evidence on the age of the housing stock in Del Mar, along with information on how long the City's residents have been in place.
- Transportation: Increasingly important, in the wake of the pandemic, is an understanding of the transportation patterns and choices of local residents. We provide detailed evidence on the proprotion of residents who work from home and on the various transportation choices of those who head to the office. This information is also provided for those who work in Del Mar, but do not necessarily live in Del Mar.
- **Migration:** Population changes comes primarily through organic causes: births and deaths. Migration between regions also plays a significant role in population growth. A final section of the report provides evidence on migration into and out of the City.

Contents

Executive Summary Assessing the City with Indicators	1 1
Demographics A Demographic Snapshot	3 3 5
Employment Report Citywide Employment and Unemployment County Employment by Industry Some Employee Detail	8 8 9 10
Per Capita Personal Income Growth	16 16 19
Housing Costs and Affordability Housing Picture Housing Picture Housing Vintage of Residential Housing Housing Occupation of Residential Housing Housing	27
Mode of Transportation Commute Times for Employed Residents Commute Times for Those Employed in the City Place of Work Place of Work Commute Mode by Income	34 36 37 38 40 41
Overall Migration Flows	42 42 44 46

Demographics

Definition:

Data on the demographics of a city indicate the nature of the population, with a focus on age, gender, race and ethnicity, as well as house-hold compositon.

Why is it important?

The characteristics and growth of Del Mar's population are fundamental indicators of the city's growth potential.

A Demographic Snapshot

Statistic	2022	2019
POPULATION		
Population Estimate (#, 5yr)	3,956.0	4,331.0
Veterans (#, 5yr)	261.0	353.0
Foreign born persons (%, 5yr)	18.4	19.8
Population age 25+ (#, 5yr)	3,292.0	3,626.0
AGE AND SEX		
Persons under 5 years (%, 5yr)	2.8	2.4
Persons under 18 years (%, 5yr)	14.2	15.0
Persons 65 years and over (%, 5yr)	31.8	27.3
Female persons (%, 5yr)	52.5	50.6
INCOME AND POVERTY		
Median household income (\$, 5yr)	185,335.0	129,063.0
Per capita income in past 12 months (\$, 5yr)	135,828.0	101,714.0
Persons in poverty (%, 5yr)	1.7	5.2
Children age less than 18 in poverty (#, 5yr)	0.0	0.0
Children age less than 18 in poverty (%, 5yr)	0.0	0.0
RACE AND ETHNICITY		
White alone (%, 5yr)	85.9	92.1
African American alone (%, 5yr)	2.5	1.2
American Indian or Alaska Native alone (%, 5yr)	0.0	0.0
Asian alone (%, 5yr)	0.5	1.5
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone (%, 5yr)	0.0	0.0
Two or More Races (%, 5yr)	10.0	3.7
Hispanic or Latino (%, 5yr)	9.3	10.7
White alone, not Hispanic or Latino (%, 5yr)	80.3	82.7
HOUSING		
Housing units (#, 5yr)	2,510.0	2,735.0
Owner-occupied housing units (%, 5yr)	55.3	52.9
Median value of owner-occupied housing units (\$, 5yr)	2,000,001.0	2,000,001.0
Median selected monthly owner costs-with a mortgage (\$, 5yr)	4,001.0	4,001.0
Median selected monthly owner costs-without a mortgage (\$, 5yr)	923.0	790.0
Median gross rent (\$, 5yr)	2,961.0	2,320.0
FAMILIES AND LIVING ARRANGEMENTS	,	,
Households (#, 5yr)	1,822.0	2,008.0
Persons per household (#, 5yr)	2.2	2.5
Living in same house 1 year ago, % of persons age 1+ (5yr)	83.7	81.8
EDUCATION		
High school graduate or higher, % of persons age 25+ (5yr)	99.3	100.0
Bachelor's degree or higher, % of persons age 25+ (5yr)	84.9	75.7
HEALTH		
With a disability, under age 65 years (#, 5yr)	85.0	21.(
Persons without health insurance, under age 65 years (%, 5yr)	0.4	2.4
LABOR FORCE	0	
In civilian labor force, persons age 16+ (%, 5yr)	62.3	59.7
In civilian labor force, women age 16+ (%, 5yr)	57.0	48.5
Employed, persons age 16+ (%, 5yr)	59.0	54.9
Self employed (%, 5yr)	31.4	36.9
TRANSPORTATION	01.4	00.0
Mean travel time to work, workers age 16+ (Mins., 5yr)	11.3	19.3
Drive alone in private vehicle (%, 5yr)	46.5	64.8
	40.5	1.1
Using public transportation (%, 5yr)		

Source: American Community Survey, Summary Files Note: Data are from the 1-year files unless indicated by the notation 5yr.

Current Population

The data in these two tables and the following two graphs are from the CA Department of Finance (DOF). The DOF produces population estimates for geographies around California twice a year: January and July. As estimates for cities are only available in January, these two tables are based on the January data. The remaining figures are from the American Community Survey (ACS), provided annually by the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

	2023		% Cha	nge		
Region	Population	1 Year	3 Year	5 Year		
City						
Del Mar	3,903	0.00	-8.62	-12.13		
	County and B	roader Re	egions			
San Diego County	3,269,755	-0.17	-1.85	-1.90		
Southern California	21,794,548	-0.41	-2.24	-2.84		
California	38,940,231	-0.35	-1.79	-2.01		

Source: CA DOF; Calculations by National Economic Education Delegation

Table 2. County Population Change by City

(Thousands,	January	to January)	

				% Change	
City	2022	2023	Local	Southern California	California
San Diego County	3,275.4	3,269.8	-0.17	-0.41	-0.35
San Diego	1,372.8	1,368.4	-0.32		
Chula Vista	274.1	274.8	0.26		
Oceanside	171.8	171.1	-0.41		
Escondido	150.1	149.8	-0.17		
Carlsbad	114.9	114.5	-0.28		
El Cajon	105.3	104.6	-0.61		
Vista	100.0	99.8	-0.14		
San Marcos	93.8	94.5	0.75		
Encinitas	61.3	61.1	-0.32		
National City	61.3	61.0	-0.54		
La Mesa	60.2	60.4	0.30		
Santee	58.7	59.2	0.88		
Poway	48.5	48.5	-0.04		
Lemon Grove	27.1	27.4	1.22		
Imperial Beach	26.0	25.9	-0.43		
Coronado	22.0	22.1	0.65		
Solana Beach	12.8	12.8	0.05		
Del Mar	3.9	3.9	0.00		

Source: CA DOF; Calculations by National Economic Education Delegation







Figure 3: Population by Age - Detailed Age Categories



















Employment Report

Citywide Employment and Unemployment

Definition:

Each month, California's Employment Development Division (EDD) publishes an update on employment in California and in MSAs, counties, and cities all across the state. The report focuses primarily on non-farm employment, providing estimates of changes in employment by industry as well as unemployment in each region. Data for cities is limited to aggregate employment, labor force, and unemployment data. Those are reported below.


Why is it important?


Employment growth is a fundamental indicator of the health of an economy.


Table 3. Del Mar Summary for March, 2024 Change From:								
Category	Current Value	Last Month	2 Months Ago	Last Year				
Employment	8,924	-30	-53	-103				
Labor Force	9,644	9	15	96				
Number Unemployed	678	-4	21	97				
Unemployment Rate	7.0	-0.0	0.2	0.9				

Source: EDD, National Economic Education Delegation

Figure 8: Historical Employment and Unemploy- Figure 9: Employment and Unemployment - Last ment 12 Months







County Employment by Industry

California's Employment Development Division (EDD) does not regularly produce data on employment by industry for cities. However, we are able to report industry-level employment data for San Diego County. The following table provides the latest data for the County.


			Empl		% Growth - Annualized Rate					
Industry	Employment	Share	Growth	Month	Qtr	6mo	1yr	3yr	5yr	
Total Nonfarm	1,562,672	100.0	1,044.9	0.8	0.9	1.2	0.9	3.8	0.9	
Total Private	1,307,241	83.7	578.9	0.5	0.5	1.1	0.6	3.9	1.0	
Goods Producing	204,267	13.1	1,175.9	7.2	-2.9	-1.1	-0.1	1.3	0.7	
Mining, Logging and Construction	91,648	5.9	1,376.4	19.9	0.5	1.4	3.2	3.5	1.9	
Mining and Logging	400	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	11.1	6.7	
Construction	91,237	5.8	1,280.2	18.5	0.4	1.5	3.0	3.5	1.8	
Manufacturing	112,600	7.2	-248.4	-2.6	-5.1	-3.3	-2.7	-0.4	-0.3	
Durable Goods	82,107	5.3	-140.2	-2.0	-5.7	-3.7	-2.6	-0.9	-0.7	
Non-Durable Goods	30,572	2.0	-20.8	-0.8	-3.1	-1.5	-2.9	1.1	1.1	
Service Providing	1,358,608	86.9	598.0	0.5	1.7	1.5	1.1	4.2	0.9	
Trade, Trans & Utilities	222,862	14.3	734.9	4.0	-0.3	-0.1	-0.1	1.1	-0.1	
Wholesale Trade	42,238	2.7	45.1	1.3	-4.8	-3.8	-3.1	0.7	-0.9	
Retail Trade	139,705	8.9	392.1	3.4	0.8	0.9	0.6	0.4	-0.9	
Trans & Warehousing	34,755	2.2	140.0	5.0	-0.2	-1.6	0.1	3.6	3.9	
Utilities	6,113	0.4	26.9	5.4	0.7	3.3	5.2	8.2	6.6	
Information	21,190	1.4	186.3	11.2	-1.9	-4.6	-4.5	-0.6	-2.0	
Financial Activities	71,664	4.6	-13.6	-0.2	-1.4	-0.7	-2.6	-1.7	-1.1	
Finance & Insurance	41,316	2.6	8.0	0.2	-2.8	-2.4	-4.4	-3.9	-2.0	
Real Estate & Rental & Leasing	30,356	1.9	47.6	1.9	2.1	1.9	-0.1	2.2	0.4	
Professional & Business Srvcs	269,563	17.3	-1,232.7	-5.3	-2.3	-1.9	-3.8	1.3	1.3	
Prof, Sci, & Tech	153,258	9.8	-819.0	-6.2	-3.9	-2.7	-4.2	1.3	1.3	
Admin & Support Srvcs	90,260	5.8	-413.4	-5.3	0.3	0.7	-3.4	2.7	2.4	
Employment Srvcs	35,707	2.3	44.4	1.5	1.7	-2.6	-8.4	1.8	4.9	
Educational & Health Srvcs	253,835	16.2	1,047.7	5.1	7.1	6.0	6.5	6.1	3.6	
Education Srvcs	30,035	1.9	69.4	2.8	1.5	5.1	5.2	6.5	0.2	
Health Care & Social Assistance	223,627	14.3	936.5	5.2	8.0	5.9	6.7	6.1	4.2	
Leisure & Hospitality	205, 387	13.1	-186.7	-1.1	0.3	2.6	2.8	14.9	0.4	
Arts, Entertainment & Recreation	32,811	2.1	8.9	0.3	5.7	13.0	9.4	26.7	1.4	
Accommodation & Food Srvcs	173,029	11.1	-278.3	-1.9	0.1	1.5	1.5	13.2	0.2	
Other Srvcs	58,049	3.7	19.8	0.4	2.2	0.4	2.5	10.2	0.7	
Government	255,691	16.4	522.3	2.5	3.6	2.8	2.5	3.2	0.4	
Federal	47,317	3.0	136.1	3.5	2.2	2.4	-0.0	-0.4	-0.1	
State	59,492	3.8	116.8	2.4	2.8	2.3	4.3	7.3	3.0	
Local	149,100	9.5	276.0	2.2	5.6	3.3	2.6	3.0	-0.2	
County	21,763	1.4	154.6	8.9	12.9	7.4	6.8	1.3	1.7	
City	19,757	1.3	75.0	4.7	0.2	2.3	1.6	1.6	0.6	
Local Government Education	79,213	5.1	144.5	2.2	2.1	0.9	1.8	4.6	-0.4	


Table 4. Employment Growth by Industry in San Diego County for March, 2024


Source: EDD, National Economic Education Delegation (NEED)


Some Employee Detail

Employed in Del Mar












Figure 14: Language Spoken at Home




Figure 15: Citizenship

Employed Residents of Del Mar








Figure 17: Employment by Industry








Figure 19: Citizenship

Employed Residents vs Workers in Del Mar





Figure 20: Employment by Occupation

Figure 21: Employment by Industry






Figure 22: Language Spoken at Home




Figure 23: Citizenship

Income and Earnings

Per Capita Income Growth

Definition:

Per capita income is the average income per person in Del Mar. Personal income is the income received by, or on behalf of, all persons from all sources: from participation as laborers in production, from owning a home or unincorporated business, from the ownership of financial assets, and from government and business in the form of transfer receipts. Noncash government benefits are not included.

Why is it important?

Income is the money that is available to persons for consumption expenditures, taxes, interest payments, transfer payments to governments and the rest of the world, or for saving. As such, it is an important indicator of economic well-being in a community.




Figure 24: Real Per Capita Income Ranking Among California Cities






Figure 25: Regional Comparison of Growth over Time

Real Per Capita Income Ranking Among California Cities - w/Comparable Populations



Jon Haveman, Ph.D. • National Economic Education Delegation Jon@NEEDEcon.org • 415-336-5705

Figure 27: Growth over Time



Real Per Capita Income Ranking Among Cities in San Diego County

Figure 30: Comparison with All Cities Nationwide

Golden Beach, FL (101)
Forest Hills, TN (102)
Chevy Chase Section Three village, MD (103)
Bronxville village, NY (104)
Kenilworth village, IL (105)
Rumson borough, NJ (106)
Plandome Heights village, NY (107)
Cowles village, NE (108)
Munsey Park village, NY (109)
Dune Acres. IN (110)
DEL MAR, CA (111) 135.82
Glencoe village, IL (112)
Orono, MN (113)
Hidden Hills, CA (114)
Deephaven, MN (115)
Roslyn Estates village, NY (116)
Old Brookville village, NY (117)
Olmos Park, TX (118)
Palos Verdes Estates, CA (119) 133.004
Bunker Hill Village, TX (120)
Southside Place, TX (121) 132.802 132.802
051 022565956663705695669305026050
Per Capita Income in 2022, Thousands of Do
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 5-yr American Community Survey

The # in parentheses is the ranking out of 19,695 geographies. Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)


Poverty and Inequality

Definition:

The local poverty rate provides an indication of the well-being of those at the bottom of the income distribution. The federal poverty rate measures the proportion of households in the region that are classified as living in poverty. Also included are measures of the extent to which the City's children are impoverished. Measures of the income distribution provide further evidence on disparities in income in the region and how those disparities have changed over time.

Why is it important?

It is important to track measures of poverty and inequality to assess the extent of income disparities in the region, with an eye toward understanding how well the local economy is performing for all of its citizens.








Figure 31: Inequality








Figure 32: Shares Across the Income Distribution

Housing

Housing Costs and Affordability

Definition:

Housing costs are measured in several different ways. First, we provide evidence on the evolution of median home prices, median rental price, and finally through evidence on the housing burden in the city and comparison regions. Housing burden is defined as a household needing to commit more than 30% of their household income toward housing costs. The median value is the amount in the middle. Fifty percent of units are above the median and 50 percent are below.

Why is it important?

Housing is one of three fundamental necessities, along with food and clothing. A measure of the cost of housing is an integral part of the measurement of the cost of living in a specific community. This is particularly true in cities and regions throughout the Bay Area, where housing costs are high relative to income.

Cost of Housing in Del Mar and Broader Regions




Figure 34: Median Home Prices


Jon Haveman, Ph.D. • National Economic Education Delegation Jon@NEEDEcon.org • 415-336-5705

Monthly, through Mar-24


San Diego County (\$3)


92014 (\$5.7)


United States (\$2) Source: Zillow Research. Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)



Housing Ownership in Del Mar and Broader Regions









Jon Haveman, Ph.D. • National Economic Education Delegation Jon@NEEDEcon.org • 415-336-5705


Figure 38: Income by Tenure


Figure 39: Income Distribution by Tenure














Housing Burden in Del Mar and Broader Regions

Figure 44: Renters




Figure 45: Homeowner Housing Burden by Age

N/A

Housing Picture

Definition:

25-

20-

15

10-5

0

-5 -10

-15-

-20

-25

2010

Percent Change Since 2010

Housing costs are measured in several different ways. First, we provide evidence on the evolution of median home prices, median rental price, and finally through evidence on the housing burden in the city and comparison regions. The median value is the amount in the middle. Fifty percent of units are above the median and 50 percent are below.


Table 5. Housing Market Indicators

Why is it important?

In areas where the rate of population growth exceeds the rate of housing growth, this is likely to reflect a tightening housing market. A tightening housing market will also likely be reflected in lower vacancy rates and higher occupancy rates. It may also be reflected in higher numbers of people per household.

				% (Change from
Indicator	2023	2019	2010	2019	2010
Total Population	3,903.0	4,275.0	4,161.0	-8.7	-6.2
Total # of Homes	2,601.0	2,625.0	2,596.0	-0.9	0.2
# Occupied Units	1,948.0	2,083.0	2,064.0	-6.5	-5.6
Persons per Household	2.0	2.1	2.0	-2.4	-0.6
Vacancy Rate (%)	25.1	20.6	20.5	21.6	22.5

Source: CA DOF; Calculations by the National Economic Education Delegation








2015

Del Mar (22.5%)

California (-18.3%)














Figure 52: Housing in Buildings with Two to Four Figure 53: Housing in Buildings with Five or More Units Units





Vintage of Residential Housing

Why is it important?

This section provides evidence on the year in which residential housing in Del Mar was built. We break it down into owned versus rented residences and provide a comparison across San Diego County and broader regions. A sense of the age of housing in a region provides an indication of the urgency with which a region might pursue additional housing. As the housing stock ages, an urgency with which renovations and rebuilds are permitted might result. All things equal, more recently constructed housing will be more likely to meet current codes and standards. Remodeling of existing units will be more desirable when existing units are, on average, older.











Figure 59: Vintage of All Residences



Jon Haveman, Ph.D. • National Economic Education Delegation Jon@NEEDEcon.org • 415-336-5705

Figure 55: Housing Vintage across Regions

Figure 56: Housing Vintage by Tenure

Occupation of Residential Housing

Why is it important?

The duration of residence in a city is important for developing future policies regarding growing the local population. If a region is highly mobile, evidenced by most residences having been recently occupied, a city might propose policies to reduce that mobility, or ask why the mobility happens. Policies could be put in place to either reduce or increase migration.




Figure 60: Year Current Occupant Moved In




Figure 61: Year Occupied by Current Residents Figure 62: Year Occupied by Current Residents across Regions by Tenure






Figure 63: Year Occupied by Current Residents Figure 64: Year Occupied by Current Residents for Owned Housing for Rented Housing





Residential Permitting

Definition:

This indicator provides evidence on the number of residential buildings that are permitted for construction each year. Permit data for Del Mar is compared with data from San Diego County as a whole and broader regions. The statistic provided scales the number of permits by population. This is done to facilitate comparisons across regions.

Why is it important?

Building permits are the best indicator available of new units coming on the market. In order for a region's population to grow and flourish, new residential properties must be added to the existing stock. Building, both in the City and in the County more generally, is an indication of the extent to which new residences accommodate new residents or are affecting prices through increased supply.

Del Mar - Ranking Among Comparables




Figure 66: Number of Units Permitted - Nationwide Comparables (Rank)

The # in parentheses is the ranking out of 14338 geographies Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)




Figure 67: Number of Units Permitted - California Comparables (Rank)






Figure 68: Number of Units Permitted - Cities in San Diego County (Rank)

Del Mar - Permitting Activity





Commute Patterns

During the recovery from the Great Recession, the period from 2010 to 2019, the Bay Area economy, and Silicon Valley in particular, has been growing at a pace roughly double that of the state as a whole and triple that of the nation. This growth has precipitated a tight housing market and also brought about some significant changes in commute patterns, many of which have been reversed by the pandemic. Recent years have seen significant changes in both the mode of transportation and commute times.

Mode of Transportation





Figure 75: Percent of Workers Commuting by Figure 76: Percent of Workers Commuting by Car Alone Carpool

Figure 77: Percent of Workers using Public Figure 78: Percent of Workers Who Work From Transportation Home



The first table on this page presents data for those who LIVE in Del Mar. The second provides data on those who work, but do not necessarily live in Del Mar. The final two columns provide for a comparison of commute mode choices of people locally with those in California more broadly.

	Ma	le	Female		All Workers		All of CA	
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)	
Car, Truck, or Van:	507	34.4	477	43.8	984	41.7	78.0	
Drove Alone	495	33.6	448	41.1	943	40.0	68.4	
Carpooled:	12	0.8	29	2.7	41	1.7	9.5	
In 2-person carpool	12	0.8	29	2.7	41	1.7	6.9	
In 3-person carpool	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1.5	
In 4-or-more-person carpool	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1.1	
Public Transportation (excl Taxi):	19	1.3	0	0.0	19	0.8	3.6	
Bus or Trolley Bus	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2.3	
Streetcar or Trolley Car	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.8	
Subway or Elevated	19	1.3	0	0.0	19	0.8	0.3	
Railroad	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.2	
Ferryboat	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.1	
Bicycle	35	2.4	0	0.0	35	1.5	0.7	
Walked	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2.4	
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	0	0.0	24	2.2	24	1.0	1.7	
Worked at Home	502	34.0	457	41.9	959	40.7	13.6	
Total:	1,063	72.1	958	87.9	2,021	85.7		

Table 6 SEX	OF WORKERS BY	MODE OF	TRANSPORTATION	TO WORK
			INAMOR UNIANUUM	

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 7. SEX OF WORKERS BY MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK FOR WORKPLACE GEOGRAPHY

	Ма	ıle	Ferr	nale	All Wo	orkers	All of CA
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Car, Truck, or Van:	2,697	57.9	1,616	48.7	4,313	54.1	78.0
Drove Alone	2,243	48.2	1,464	44.1	3,707	46.5	68.5
Carpooled:	454	9.8	152	4.6	606	7.6	9.5
In 2-person carpool	382	8.2	124	3.7	506	6.3	6.9
In 3-person carpool	68	1.5	0	0.0	68	0.9	1.5
In 4-or-more-person carpool	4	0.1	28	0.8	32	0.4	1.1
Public Transportation (excl Taxi):	0	0.0	22	0.7	22	0.3	3.6
Bus or Trolley Bus	0	0.0	22	0.7	22	0.3	2.3
Streetcar or Trolley Car	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.8
Subway or Elevated	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.3
Railroad	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.2
Ferryboat	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.1
Bicycle	26	0.6	0	0.0	26	0.3	0.7
Walked	17	0.4	0	0.0	17	0.2	2.4
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	0	0.0	184	5.5	184	2.3	1.7
Worked at Home	502	10.8	457	13.8	959	12.0	13.6
Total:	3,242	69.6	2,279	68.7	5,521	69.2	


Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File


The results in this table are for those who work in the region, regardless of the location of their residence.

Commute Times for Employed Residents


Table 8. SEX OF WO	RKER	S BY TR	AVEL T	IME TO V	NORK		
	Ν	lale	Fe	male	All Wo	orkers	All of CA
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Less than 5 minutes	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2.0
5 to 9 minutes	74	7.0	24	2.6	98	5.1	7.5
10 to 14 minutes	110	10.4	16	1.7	126	6.6	12.2
15 to 19 minutes	37	3.5	198	21.4	235	12.2	15.0
20 to 24 minutes	105	9.9	112	12.1	217	11.3	14.3
25 to 29 minutes	28	2.7	84	9.1	112	5.8	6.3
30 to 34 minutes	138	13.1	67	7.2	205	10.7	15.0
35 to 39 minutes	5	0.5	0	0.0	5	0.3	2.9
40 to 44 minutes	6	0.6	0	0.0	6	0.3	4.3
45 to 59 minutes	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	8.6
60 to 89 minutes	45	4.3	0	0.0	45	2.3	7.9
90 or more minutes	13	1.2	0	0.0	13	0.7	4.0
Total:	561	53.1	501	54.1	1,062	55.3	

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File









Commute Times for Those Employed in the City

Table 9. SEX OF WO WORKPLAC			EL TIME	TO WOF	rk for		
	Ма	le	Fen	nale	All Wo	orkers	All of CA
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Less than 5 minutes	31	0.7	0	0.0	31	0.4	2.0
5 to 9 minutes	202	4.7	80	2.5	282	3.8	7.5
10 to 14 minutes	208	4.9	163	5.1	371	5.0	12.2
15 to 19 minutes	314	7.4	85	2.7	399	5.3	15.0
20 to 24 minutes	330	7.7	95	3.0	425	5.7	14.3
25 to 29 minutes	215	5.0	114	3.6	329	4.4	6.3
30 to 34 minutes	640	15.0	406	12.7	1,046	14.0	15.0
35 to 39 minutes	78	1.8	58	1.8	136	1.8	2.9
40 to 44 minutes	170	4.0	133	4.2	303	4.1	4.3
45 to 59 minutes	355	8.3	366	11.4	721	9.7	8.6
60 to 89 minutes	150	3.5	203	6.3	353	4.7	7.9
90 or more minutes	47	1.1	119	3.7	166	2.2	4.0
Total:	2,740	64.2	1,822	56.9	4,562	61.1	

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

The results in this table are for those who work in the region, regardless of the location of their residence.







Figure 84: Rank: Share of MegaCommuters Across Similar Geographies



Place of Work

This section provides evidence on where workers living in Del Mar work. As evidenced in the first table, some of Del Mar's employed workers work in the City, but many do not. The first table and graph pair provide evidence at the county level while the second provide evidence with regard to working outside of the Del Mar city boundary.

	M	ale	Female		All Workers		All of CA	
Place of Work	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)	
Worked in state of residence:	1,063	72.1	958	87.9	2,021	85.7	99.6	
Worked in county of residence	1,050	71.2	958	87.9	2,008	85.2	84.1	
worked outside of county of residence	13	0.9	0	0.0	13	0.6	15.4	
Worked outside state of residence	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.4	
Total:	1,063	72.1	958	87.9	2,021	85.7		

Table 10. SEX OF WORKERS BY PLACE OF WORK-STATE AND COUNTY LEVE	
TADIE 10. SEX OF WORKERS BY PLACE OF WORK-STATE AND COUNTY LEVE	-

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File




Figure 85: Percent of Workers Employed Outside of Their County of Residence

	Male		Female		All Workers		All of CA	
Place of Work	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)	
Living in a place:	1,063	72.1	958	87.9	2,021	85.7	95.9	
Worked in place of residence	575	39.0	491	45.0	1,066	45.2	39.5	
Worked outside place of residence	488	33.1	467	42.8	955	40.5	56.4	
Not living in a place	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4.1	
Total:	1,063	72.1	958	87.9	2,021	85.7		

Table 11. SEX OF WORKERS BY PLACE OF WORK-PLACE LEVEL

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File




Figure 86: Percent of Workers Employed Outside of Their Place of Residence

Source: American Community Survey, 5-year Summary Files Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)

Commute Mode by Income

Table 12. MEDIAN EARNINGS IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS BY MEANS OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK

	City	California		United Sta	tes
	Median	Median	Ratio	Median	Ratio
Car, truck, or van - drove alone	88,393	48,566	69.7	46,171	69.4
Car, truck, or van - carpooled		36,463		34,487	
Public transportation (excluding taxicab)		40,179		45,100	
Walked		29,366		27,142	
Taxicab, motorcycle, bicycle, or other means		40,433		36,140	
Worked from home	209,813	75, 153	107.0	67,180	113.2
Total:	127, 214	48,747	261.0	46,099	276.0

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Notes: 1) Ratio = the ratio of the regional median to either the CA or US median, relative to the Total ratio.

Values above 100 imply a high local median. Values below 100 imply a low local median. For example, a value of 200 means that the local mean is 2x higher than would be expected.

For "Total:", ratio is simply the ratio of the medians.

2) For regions with more than one geography, the medians are averages weighted by working population.

Table 13. MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK BY WORKERS' EARNINGS

	< \$2	5,000	\$25,00	0-\$74,999	\$75,0	000+	A		All of CA
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Car, Truck, or Van: Drove Alone	89	16.7	177	23.2	577	39.9	943	40.0	68.4
Car, Truck, or Van: Carpooled	29	5.4	0	0.0	12	0.8	41	1.7	9.5
Public Transportation (excl Taxi)	6	1.1	0	0.0	13	0.9	19	0.8	3.6
Walked	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2.4
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	0	0.0	0	0.0	59	4.1	59	2.5	2.4
Worked at Home	123	23.0	39	5.1	784	54.3	959	40.7	13.6
Total:	247	46.3	216	28.3	1,445		2,021	85.7	100.0

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 14. MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK BY WORKERS' EARNINGS FOR WORKPLACE GEOGRAPHY

	< \$25,000		\$25,000-\$74,999		\$75,000+		All		All of CA
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Car, Truck, or Van: Drove Alone	1,041	29.5	1,464	62.5	839	45.5	3,707	46.5	68.5
Car, Truck, or Van: Carpooled	245	6.9	90	3.8	134	7.3	606	7.6	9.5
Public Transportation (excl Taxi)	22	0.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	22	0.3	3.6
Walked	0	0.0	5	0.2	12	0.7	17	0.2	2.4
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	0	0.0	136	5.8	74	4.0	210	2.6	2.4
Worked at Home	123	3.5	39	1.7	784	42.5	959	12.0	13.6
Total:	1,431	40.6	1,734	74.0	1,843		5,521	69.2	

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

The results in this table are for those who work in the region, regardless of the location of their residence.

Commute Mode by Poverty Status

Table 15. MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK BY POVERTY STATUS

	In Poverty		100-1	49% of Pov	>150% of Pov		All		All of CA	
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)	
Car, Truck, or Van: Drove Alone	10	13.5	0	0.0	933	41.2	943	40.0	68.7	
Car, Truck, or Van: Carpooled	0	0.0	0	0.0	41	1.8	41	1.7	9.5	
Public Transportation (excl Taxi)	0	0.0	0	0.0	19	0.8	19	0.8	3.6	
Walked	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2.1	
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	0	0.0	0	0.0	59	2.6	59	2.5	2.4	
Worked at Home	0	0.0	0	0.0	959	42.4	959	40.7	13.6	
Total:	10	13.5	0	0.0	2,011	88.9	2,021	85.7		

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 16. MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK BY POVERTY STATUS FOR WORKPLACE GEOGRAPHY

	In P	overty	100-14	9% of Pov	>150%	of Pov	A	11	All of CA
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Car, Truck, or Van: Drove Alone	307	44.6	239	26.8	3,141	47.5	3,687	46.3	68.7
Car, Truck, or Van: Carpooled	0	0.0	87	9.8	519	7.9	606	7.6	9.5
Public Transportation (excl Taxi)	0	0.0	0	0.0	22	0.3	22	0.3	3.6
Walked	0	0.0	0	0.0	17	0.3	17	0.2	2.1
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	0	0.0	0	0.0	210	3.2	210	2.6	2.4
Worked at Home	0	0.0	0	0.0	959	14.5	959	12.1	13.6
Total:	307	44.6	326	36.6	4,868	73.6	5,501	69.1	

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File The results in this table are for those who work in the region, regardless of the location of their residence.

Migration

Overall Migration Flows

Definition:

The United States is a country with an increasingly mobile population. People move, migrate, from one place to another with increasing frequency.

Why is it important?

Having a handle on whether or not Del Mar is a net recipient (migration inflows) or donor (migration outflows) of population is very important for understanding trends in the City's development. This section outlines migration patterns by age, education, income, marital status, and housing tenure. Understanding recent trends is very important for making policy, investment, and other decisions about the future. Also, understanding the extent to which the population is stable, or experiences significant turnover each year is helpful for planning purposes.




Table 17: Migration by Income

		N	et Inflows			
			Same	e State		
Catagony	Population	All Migration	W/in	Between Counties	Across States	From Abroad
Category	Population	All Migration	County	Counties	States	Abroau
No income	230	-16	-12	0	-4	0
With income	3,259	-42	-161	-3	122	0
\$1 to \$9,999 or loss	288	56	30	-15	41	0
\$10,000 to \$14,999	121	8	-12	20	0	0
\$15,000 to \$24,999	168	-25	16	-28	-13	0
\$25,000 to \$34,999	306	-51	-59	-2	10	0
\$35,000 to \$49,999	237	12	2	0	10	0
\$50,000 to \$64,999	98	-36	-36	12	-12	0
\$65,000 to \$74,999	89	0	0	0	0	0
\$75,000 or more	1,952	-6	-102	10	86	0
All:	3,489	-58	-173	-3	118	0

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Note: The data in this and other tables in this section are limited in that there is no information on the City's population that has moved abroad.

The "From Abroad" column is gross movements into the City from abroad.






Figure 88: Overall Movements of Low Income Residents









Demographics of Migration Flows

Table 18: Migration by Marital Status

	Net Inflows								
			Sam	e State					
Category	Population	All Migration	W/in County	Between Counties	Across States	From Abroad			
Never married	657	-65	-28	31	-68	0			
Now married, except separated	2,193	64	-87	$^{-8}$	159	0			
Divorced	459	-34	-35	-26	27	0			
Separated	84	-23	-23	0	0	0			
Widowed	96	0	0	0	0	0			
Total:	3,489	-58	-173	-3	118	0			

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 19: Migration by Tenure

		Net Inflows				-
			W/in	e State Between	Across	From
Category	Population	All Migration	County	Counties	States	Abroad
Householder lived in owner-occupied housing units	2,347	20	-97	24	93	0
Householder lived in renter-occupied housing units	1,591	15	-43	-27	85	0
Total:	3,938	35	-140	-3	178	0

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File




Figure 91: Domestic Movements of Residents by Tenure

Table 20: Migration by Age	Table	20:	Migration	by	Age
----------------------------	-------	-----	-----------	----	-----

		N	et Inflows			
		Same State			-	
			W/in	Between	Across	From
Category	Population	All Migration	County	Counties	States	Abroad
1 to 4 years	93	21	0	0	21	0
5 to 17 years	450	72	33	0	39	0
18 and 19 years	33	-7	0	-7	0	0
20 to 24 years	70	-9	0	26	-35	0
25 to 29 years	199	-28	-2	12	-38	0
30 to 34 years	176	-51	-81	35	-5	0
35 to 39 years	205	88	35	6	47	0
40 to 44 years	145	-20	-20	0	0	0
45 to 49 years	472	40	0	0	40	0
50 to 54 years	225	45	4	0	41	0
55 to 59 years	443	-7	-24	-24	41	0
60 to 64 years	170	-55	0	-51	-4	0
65 to 69 years	429	31	0	0	31	0
70 to 74 years	333	-61	-61	0	0	0
75 years and over	495	-24	-24	0	0	0
Total Population:	3,938	35	-140	-3	178	0

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 21: Migration by Educational Attainment

	Net Inflows					
			Same	e State		
Category	Population	All Migration	W/in County	Between Counties	Across States	From Abroad
Less than high school graduate	24	-4	0	0	-4	0
High school graduate (includes equiv)	169	21	-20	0	41	0
Some college or assoc. degree	305	-66	0	-66	0	0
Bachelor's degree	1,272	180	23	47	110	0
Graduate or professional degree	1,522	-173	-176	-3	6	0
Total:	3,292	-42	-173	-22	153	0

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Flow	In-Migration	Out-Migration
Same House 1 Year Ago	105, 215	105, 215
Moved Within Same County	31,413	53,466
Moved Between States	84, 125	102,667
Total Population:	97,737	100,772

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 23: Median Age of Migration Flows

Flow	In-Migration	Out-Migration
Same House 1 Year Ago	55.3	55.3
Moved Within Same County	41.4	56.0
Moved to Different County, Same State	30.5	59.7
Moved Between States	46.0	27.0
Total Population:	52.2	55.2

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

References and Sources

The majority of the data presented in this report are from the American Community Survey (ACS). For larger geographies, the 1-year Summary Files provide the data. For smaller communities, roughly those with less than 65,000 in population in 2021, the 5-year Summary Files provide the data.

The ACS data are supplemented by building permit data from the U.S. Census Bureau, population and housing data from the California Department of Finance, and home price and rental rates from Zillow.

U.S. Census Bureau. American Community Survey 1-year and 5-year Summary Files. https://www. census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/data/data-via-ftp.html. The 1-year data are released in September each year and the 5-year data are relased in January.

Zillow Research Data https://www.zillow.com/research/data/

U.S. Census Bureau. Building Permits Data, updated annually in February. https://www.census.gov/construction/bps/current.html

State of California, Department of Finance, E-5 Population and Housing Estimates for Cities, Counties and the State — January 1. Sacramento, California, May. https://dof.ca.gov/forecasting/demographics/ estimates/

State of California, Department of Finance, E-2. California County Population Estimates and Components of Change by Year, July 1, 2010-2021. Sacramento, California, December. https://dof.ca. gov/forecasting/demographics/

State of California, Department of Finance, E-1 Population Estimates for Cities, Counties and the State with Annual Percent Change — January 1. Sacramento, California, May. https://dof.ca.gov/forecasting/demographics/