Camarillo, California

Indicators Report

by The National Economic Education Delegation (NEED)

April 20, 2024

Exploring the economics, demographics, and well-being of Camarillo and its residents through indicators.

This report was produced by the:

National Economic Education Delegation 271 Arias St. San Rafael, CA 94903 415-336-5705 www.NEEDEcon.org Contact: Jon@NEEDEcon.org

Executive Summary

Assessing the City with Indicators

About this Report

This report provides background or summary information for the city of Camarillo (the City) in the form of indicators.

Using this Report

Indicators are measures of various aspects of a regional economy. They help to provide an indication of the quality of life in a region and progress toward improving conditions in the local economy. This report focuses on indicators for changing demographics, incomes, housing markets, commute patterns, and employment in Camarillo. These indicators are compared to Ventura County (the County) as a whole, a broader region where one is well defined, California, and the United Sates.

This report is vital for understanding trends in the underlying economy. It does not provide forecasts, but Rob Eyler and Jon Haveman at Economic Forensics and Analytics are available to provide them if that is of interest.

Topics Covered:

- **Demographics:** A detailed snopshot of Camarillo demographics is presented. This provides evidence on the size, age and sex, income and poverty status, race and ethnicity, housing status, living arrangements, education, health, and transportation choices of the population. Beyond the current population level, data on trends in local population growth, in comparison with other broader regions is presented, in both tabular and graphical form.
- **Employment Report:** Here, we provide a brief snapshot or employment and unemployment in Camarillo and how the City's experience differs from broader regions.
- Income and Earnings: Vital to understanding the prosperity of a city relative to its surrounding area is information on income and earnings. We provide a ranking of the City's income relative to all cities in California as well as growth relative to local regions. Inequality and poverty status are also important indicators for the level of equity in the community. We provide evidence of trends in both, not only for all residents, but also for children separately.
- Housing: This section provides evidence on the cost and availability of housing. Both median home values and rental costs are included, along with detailed information on home ownership, by age and income, in particular. Further, evidence is provided on the housing burden in the City, again, in comparison with other broader regions. We also provide evidence on the rate at which new buildings and units are permitted along with a broader housing picture. Finally, we provide evidence on the age of the housing stock in Camarillo, along with information on how long the City's residents have been in place.
- Transportation: Increasingly important, in the wake of the pandemic, is an understanding of the transportation patterns and choices of local residents. We provide detailed evidence on the proprotion of residents who work from home and on the various transportation choices of those who head to the office. This information is also provided for those who work in Camarillo, but do not necessarily live in Camarillo.
- **Migration:** Population changes comes primarily through organic causes: births and deaths. Migration between regions also plays a significant role in population growth. A final section of the report provides evidence on migration into and out of the City.

Contents

Executive Summary Assessing the City with Indicators	1 1
Demographics A Demographic Snapshot	3 3 4
Employment Report Citywide Employment and Unemployment County Employment by Industry Some Employee Detail	7 7 8 9
Per Capita Personal Income Growth	15 15 18
	26 28
Mode of Transportation Commute Times for Employed Residents Commute Times for Those Employed in the City Place of Work Place of Work Commute Mode by Income	33 35 36 37 39 40
Overall Migration Flows	41 41 43 45

Demographics

Definition:

Data on the demographics of a city indicate the nature of the population, with a focus on age, gender, race and ethnicity, as well as household compositon.

Why is it important?

The characteristics and growth of Camarillo's population are fundamental indicators of the city's growth potential.

A Demographic Snapshot

Statistic	2022	2019
POPULATION		
Population Estimate (#, 5yr)	70,622.0	68,122.0
Veterans (#, 5yr)	4,844.0	4,664.0
Foreign born persons (%, 5yr)	16.2	15.7
Population age 25+ (#, 5yr)	49,836.0	47,490.0
AGE AND SEX		
Persons under 5 years (%, 5yr)	6.3	5.6
Persons under 18 years (%, 5yr)	20.7	20.7
Persons 65 years and over (%, 5yr)	21.2	20.8
Female persons (%, 5yr)	51.5	51.8
INCOME AND POVERTY		
Median household income (\$, 5yr)	105,141.0	93,512.0
Per capita income in past 12 months (\$, 5yr)	52,817.0	45,205.0
Persons in poverty (%, 5yr)	7.4	7.8
Children age less than 18 in poverty (#, 5yr)	1,005.0	1,510.0
Children age less than 18 in poverty (%, 5yr)	7.0	10.9
RACE AND ETHNICITY		
White alone (%, 5yr)	66.6	78.
African American alone (%, 5yr)	3.5	1.9
American Indian or Alaska Native alone (%, 5yr)	0.7	0.5
Asian alone (%, 5yr)	10.1	10.4
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone (%, 5yr)	0.3	0.2
Two or More Races (%, 5yr)	14.4	6.0
Hispanic or Latino (%, 5yr)	31.3	27.2
White alone, not Hispanic or Latino (%, 5yr)	50.7	57.3
HOUSING		07.
Housing units (#, 5yr)	27,130.0	26,011.0
Owner-occupied housing units (%, 5yr)	64.7	65.2
Median value of owner-occupied housing units (\$, 5yr)	713,900.0	
Median selected monthly owner costs-with a mortgage (\$, 5yr)	2,979.0	2,561.0
Median selected monthly owner costs-without a mortgage (\$, 5yr)	,	609.0
Median gross rent (\$, 5yr)	2,483.0	2,006.0
FAMILIES AND LIVING ARRANGEMENTS	2,100.0	2,000.0
Households (#, 5yr)	25,939.0	25,033.0
Persons per household (#, 5yr)	2.7	20,000.
Living in same house 1 year ago, % of persons age 1+ (5yr)	89.1	87.9
EDUCATION	55.1	57.0
High school graduate or higher, % of persons age 25+ (5yr)	94.1	92.9
Bachelor's degree or higher, % of persons age 25+ (5yr)	43.0	41.7
HEALTH		
With a disability, under age 65 years (#, 5yr)	4,059.0	3,329.0
Persons without health insurance, under age 65 years (%, 5yr)	4,000.0	4.8
LABOR FORCE		
In civilian labor force, persons age 16+ (%, 5yr)	61.6	62.5
In civilian labor force, women age 16+ (%, 5yr)	55.5	56.6
Employed, persons age 16+ (%, 5yr)	54.9	56.5
Self employed (%, 5yr)	12.2	11.(
TRANSPORTATION		
Mean travel time to work, workers age 16+ (Mins., 5yr)	20.8	24.4
Using public transportation (%, 5yr)	0.7	1.3
Drive alone in private vehicle (%, 5yr)	80.1	84.4

Source: American Community Survey, Summary Files

Note: Data are from the 1-year files unless indicated by the notation 5yr.

Current Population

The data in these two tables and the following two graphs are from the CA Department of Finance (DOF). The DOF produces population estimates for geographies around California twice a year: January and July. As estimates for cities are only available in January, these two tables are based on the January data. The remaining figures are from the American Community Survey (ACS), provided annually by the U.S. Bureau of the Census.


	2023		% Char	nge				
Region	Population	1 Year	3 Year	5 Year				
City								
Camarillo	69,309	-0.88	-0.94	1.25				
	County and B	roader R	egions					
entura County	825,653	-0.71	-1.85	-3.70				
Southern California	21,794,548	-0.41	-2.24	-2.84				
California	38,940,231	-0.35	-1.79	-2.01				

Source: CA DOF; Calculations by National Economic Education Delegation

Table 2. County Population Change by City(Thousands, January to January)

				% Change	
City	2022	2023	Local	Southern California	California
Ventura County	831.5	825.7	-0.71	-0.41	-0.35
Oxnard	199.8	197.5	-1.18		
Simi Valley	124.3	124.2	-0.13		
Thousand Oaks	124.4	123.0	-1.18		
San Buenaventura	107.5	107.3	-0.15		
Camarillo	69.9	69.3	-0.88		
Moorpark	35.4	35.2	-0.65		
Santa Paula	31.1	31.4	0.89		
Port Hueneme	21.6	21.4	-0.91		
Fillmore	16.5	16.9	2.70		
Ojai	7.6	7.5	-0.99		

Source: CA DOF; Calculations by National Economic Education Delegation








Figure 3: Population by Age - Detailed Age Categories













Figure 7: Population by Race/Ethnicity Over Time



Employment Report

Citywide Employment and Unemployment

Definition:

Each month, California's Employment Development Division (EDD) publishes an update on employment in California and in MSAs, counties, and cities all across the state. The report focuses primarily on non-farm employment, providing estimates of changes in employment by industry as well as unemployment in each region. Data for cities is limited to aggregate employment, labor force, and unemployment data. Those are reported below.

Why is it important?


Employment growth is a fundamental indicator of the health of an economy.


Table 3. Camarillo Summary for March, 2024									
	Change From:								
Category	Current Value	Last Month	2 Months Ago	Last Year					
Employment	8,924	-30	-53	-103					
Labor Force	9,644	9	15	96					
Number Unemployed	678	-4	21	97					
Unemployment Rate	7.0	-0.0	0.2	0.9					

Source: EDD, National Economic Education Delegation

Figure 8: Historical Employment and Unemploy- Figure 9: Employment and Unemployment - Last ment 12 Months







County Employment by Industry

California's Employment Development Division (EDD) does not regularly produce data on employment by industry for cities. However, we are able to report industry-level employment data for Ventura County. The following table provides the latest data for the County.


			Empl		% Gr	owth - Ai	nnualized	Rate	
Industry	Employment	Share	Growth	Month	Qtr	6mo	1yr	3yr	5yr
Total Nonfarm	318, 518	100.0	885.4	3.4	3.2	2.4	1.8	2.8	0.5
Total Private	270,414	84.9	490.3	2.2	2.9	2.5	1.8	2.7	0.5
Goods Producing	45,702	14.3	111.8	3.0	-2.9	-0.4	0.0	1.0	0.7
Mining, Logging and Construction	19,018	6.0	102.0	6.7	-3.6	-1.6	1.1	1.9	1.5
Mining and Logging	1,000	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.7	2.2
Construction	17,999	5.7	89.6	6.2	-4.0	-2.1	1.2	1.8	1.4
Manufacturing	26,684	8.4	-44.9	-2.0	-2.4	0.1	-0.7	0.4	0.2
Durable Goods	19,022	6.0	-28.9	-1.8	-2.4	-0.1	0.0	1.3	-0.5
Non-Durable Goods	7,630	2.4	-25.5	-3.9	-2.9	0.7	-2.5	-1.6	2.0
Service Providing	272,807	85.6	787.1	3.5	4.4	2.8	2.2	3.1	0.5
Trade, Trans & Utilities	56,518	17.7	185.1	4.0	4.0	0.5	-0.4	0.7	-0.3
Wholesale Trade	11,564	3.6	-5.2	-0.5	0.7	-1.1	-4.2	-2.0	-1.4
Retail Trade	36,688	11.5	210.0	7.1	7.0	1.4	0.5	0.2	-0.9
Trans & Warehousing	7,433	2.3	54.6	9.3	4.7	2.4	1.4	10.0	7.9
Information	3,579	1.1	56.9	21.2	15.9	-6.7	-7.8	-0.0	-6.7
Financial Activities	15,229	4.8	-22.0	-1.7	2.8	-1.1	-0.6	-1.4	-0.8
Finance & Insurance	10,671	3.4	-1.2	-0.1	-0.4	-2.1	-0.9	-3.1	-1.8
Real Estate & Rental & Leasing	4,575	1.4	-25.7	-6.5	8.0	2.6	0.0	3.2	2.0
Professional & Business Srvcs	44,124	13.9	91.8	2.5	2.0	2.0	1.4	0.5	0.1
Prof, Sci, & Tech	18,463	5.8	-2.1	-0.1	3.8	9.2	5.1	2.8	1.3
Admin & Support Srvcs	16,420	5.2	22.9	1.7	3.1	-2.3	-0.1	-2.1	-2.0
Employment Srvcs	6,327	2.0	85.0	17.6	7.8	2.8	3.1	-4.9	-4.9
Educational & Health Srvcs	56,692	17.8	405.5	9.0	7.9	7.1	8.1	5.3	3.0
Leisure & Hospitality	38,612	12.1	-109.1	-3.3	2.0	2.3	0.8	9.1	0.3
Accommodation & Food Srvcs	33,897	10.6	-123.4	-4.3	3.8	3.1	1.2	8.2	0.7
Other Srvcs	9,747	3.1	5.2	0.6	0.5	0.6	1.0	5.6	-0.0
Government	48,144	15.1	154.2	3.9	5.8	4.3	2.5	3.5	0.4
Federal	7,433	2.3	-3.5	-0.6	2.7	4.2	0.0	-1.3	0.3
State	2,493	0.8	-5.4	-2.6	-8.5	-6.5	-10.3	-2.4	-3.8
Local	38,245	12.0	169.0	5.5	7.5	4.7	4.0	5.1	0.8
County	10,638	3.3	167.1	20.9	16.7	14.2	9.2	5.8	3.2
City	4,171	1.3	-59.7	-15.7	6.8	9.3	4.8	5.3	0.3
Local Government Education	21,016	6.6	6.4	0.4	2.6	0.9	1.4	4.7	-0.0

Table 4. Employment Growth by Industry in Ventura County for March, 2024


Source: EDD, National Economic Education Delegation (NEED)


Some Employee Detail


Employed in Camarillo











73.1 Native 71.5 26.9 Foreign Born 28.5 11.2 Naturalized U.S. 14.3 15.7 Not a U.S. Citizen 14.2 40 Ò 20 60 80 Percent (%) of Workers Camarillo Ventura County Source: American Community Survey, 2022 1-yr Summary Files. Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org).

Figure 15: Citizenship

Employed Residents of Camarillo








Figure 17: Employment by Industry










Figure 19: Citizenship

Employed Residents vs Workers in Camarillo





Figure 20: Employment by Occupation

Figure 21: Employment by Industry






Figure 22: Language Spoken at Home




Figure 23: Citizenship

Income and Earnings

Per Capita Income Growth

Definition:

Per capita income is the average income per person in Camarillo. Personal income is the income received by, or on behalf of, all persons from all sources: from participation as laborers in production, from owning a home or unincorporated business, from the ownership of financial assets, and from government and business in the form of transfer receipts. Noncash government benefits are not included.

Why is it important?

Income is the money that is available to persons for consumption expenditures, taxes, interest payments, transfer payments to governments and the rest of the world, or for saving. As such, it is an important indicator of economic well-being in a community.




Figure 24: Real Per Capita Income Ranking Among California Cities






Figure 25: Regional Comparison of Growth over Time








Real Per Capita Income Ranking Among Cities in Ventura County

10.9

15






Poverty and Inequality

Definition:

The local poverty rate provides an indication of the well-being of those at the bottom of the income distribution. The federal poverty rate measures the proportion of households in the region that are classified as living in poverty. Also included are measures of the extent to which the City's children are impoverished. Measures of the income distribution provide further evidence on disparities in income in the region and how those disparities have changed over time.

Why is it important?

It is important to track measures of poverty and inequality to assess the extent of income disparities in the region, with an eye toward understanding how well the local economy is performing for all of its citizens.






Figure 31: Inequality






Figure 32: Shares Across the Income Distribution





Housing

Housing Costs and Affordability

Definition:

Housing costs are measured in several different ways. First, we provide evidence on the evolution of median home prices, median rental price, and finally through evidence on the housing burden in the city and comparison regions. Housing burden is defined as a household needing to commit more than 30% of their household income toward housing costs. The median value is the amount in the middle. Fifty percent of units are above the median and 50 percent are below.

Why is it important?

Housing is one of three fundamental necessities, along with food and clothing. A measure of the cost of housing is an integral part of the measurement of the cost of living in a specific community. This is particularly true in cities and regions throughout the Bay Area, where housing costs are high relative to income.

Cost of Housing in Camarillo and Broader Regions







Figure 34: Median Home Prices






Housing Ownership in Camarillo and Broader Regions














Figure 39: Income Distribution by Tenure













Housing Burden in Camarillo and Broader Regions

Figure 44: Renters







Housing Picture

Definition:

Housing costs are measured in several different ways. First, we provide evidence on the evolution of median home prices, median rental price, and finally through evidence on the housing burden in the city and comparison regions. The median value is the amount in the middle. Fifty percent of units are above the median and 50 percent are below.


Table 5. Housing Market Indicators


Why is it important?

In areas where the rate of population growth exceeds the rate of housing growth, this is likely to reflect a tightening housing market. A tightening housing market will also likely be reflected in lower vacancy rates and higher occupancy rates. It may also be reflected in higher numbers of people per household.

				% Change from			
Indicator	2023	2019	2010	2019	2010		
Total Population	69,309.0	70,024.0	65,201.0	-1.0	6.3		
Total # of Homes	28,166.0	27,439.0	25,702.0	2.6	9.6		
# Occupied Units	27,059.0	26,429.0	24,504.0	2.4	10.4		
Persons per Household	2.5	2.6	2.6	-3.6	-3.8		
Vacancy Rate (%)	3.9	3.7	4.7	6.8	-15.7		

Source: CA DOF; Calculations by the National Economic Education Delegation





2015

Camarillo (-15.7%)

California (-18.3%)

5-

0

-5


-10


-15


-20 -25

2010


Percent Change Since 2010
















Vintage of Residential Housing

Why is it important?

This section provides evidence on the year in which residential housing in Camarillo was built. We break it down into owned versus rented residences and provide a comparison across Ventura County and broader regions. A sense of the age of housing in a region provides an indication of the urgency with which a region might pursue additional housing. As the housing stock ages, an urgency with which renovations and rebuilds are permitted might result. All things equal, more recently constructed housing will be more likely to meet current codes and standards. Remodeling of existing units will be more desirable when existing units are, on average, older.





Figure 54: Distribution of Housing Construction



2025





Figure 59: Vintage of All Residences



Jon Haveman, Ph.D. • National Economic Education Delegation Jon@NEEDEcon.org • 415-336-5705

Figure 55: Housing Vintage across Regions

Occupation of Residential Housing

Why is it important?

The duration of residence in a city is important for developing future policies regarding growing the local population. If a region is highly mobile, evidenced by most residences having been recently occupied, a city might propose policies to reduce that mobility, or ask why the mobility happens. Policies could be put in place to either reduce or increase migration.




Figure 60: Year Current Occupant Moved In




Figure 61: Year Occupied by Current Residents Figure 62: Year Occupied by Current Residents across Regions by Tenure





Figure 63: Year Occupied by Current Residents Figure 64: Year Occupied by Current Residents for Owned Housing for Rented Housing





Residential Permitting

Definition:

This indicator provides evidence on the number of residential buildings that are permitted for construction each year. Permit data for Camarillo is compared with data from Ventura County as a whole and broader regions. The statistic provided scales the number of permits by population. This is done to facilitate comparisons across regions.

Why is it important?

Building permits are the best indicator available of new units coming on the market. In order for a region's population to grow and flourish, new residential properties must be added to the existing stock. Building, both in the City and in the County more generally, is an indication of the extent to which new residences accommodate new residents or are affecting prices through increased supply.

Camarillo - Ranking Among Comparables




Figure 66: Number of Units Permitted - Nationwide Comparables (Rank)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau

The # in parentheses is the ranking out of 14338 geographies. Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)




Figure 67: Number of Units Permitted - California Comparables (Rank)




Figure 68: Number of Units Permitted - Cities in Ventura County (Rank)

Camarillo - Permitting Activity

0 2000

2005

Source: U.S. Census Bureau. Graph by: National Economic Educ

Camarillo (126.9)

- California (708.2)

2010

2015

Year: Through 2023

2020

Ventura County (301.9)

- United States (1056.9)

2025

-60 -61.6

1 Yea

Source: U.S. Census Bureau. Graph by: National Economic Education D

Camarillo


California

5 Years

10 Years

Ventura County

United State



Annual Units Permitted - Per Capita in Camarillo

Commute Patterns

During the recovery from the Great Recession, the period from 2010 to 2019, the Bay Area economy, and Silicon Valley in particular, has been growing at a pace roughly double that of the state as a whole and triple that of the nation. This growth has precipitated a tight housing market and also brought about some significant changes in commute patterns, many of which have been reversed by the pandemic. Recent years have seen significant changes in both the mode of transportation and commute times.

Mode of Transportation





Figure 75: Percent of Workers Commuting by Figure 76: Percent of Workers Commuting by Carpool

Figure 77: Percent of Workers using Public Figure 78: Percent of Workers Who Work From Transportation Home



The first table on this page presents data for those who LIVE in Camarillo. The second provides data on those who work, but do not necessarily live in Camarillo. The final two columns provide for a comparison of commute mode choices of people locally with those in California more broadly.

	Ma	Male		Female		All Workers	
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Car, Truck, or Van:	14,621	83.8	12,824	80.9	27,445	82.6	78.0
Drove Alone	13,602	77.9	11,819	74.6	25,421	76.5	68.4
Carpooled:	1,019	5.8	1,005	6.3	2,024	6.1	9.5
In 2-person carpool	586	3.4	680	4.3	1,266	3.8	6.9
In 3-person carpool	279	1.6	173	1.1	452	1.4	1.5
In 4-or-more-person carpool	154	0.9	152	1.0	306	0.9	1.1
Public Transportation (excl Taxi):	75	0.4	61	0.4	136	0.4	3.6
Bus or Trolley Bus	30	0.2	44	0.3	74	0.2	2.3
Streetcar or Trolley Car	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.8
Subway or Elevated	45	0.3	17	0.1	62	0.2	0.3
Railroad	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.2
Ferryboat	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.1
Bicycle	118	0.7	32	0.2	150	0.5	0.7
Walked	316	1.8	228	1.4	544	1.6	2.4
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	187	1.1	80	0.5	267	0.8	1.7
Worked at Home	2,137	12.2	2,388	15.1	4,525	13.6	13.6
Total:	17,454	100.0	15,613	98.5	33,067	99.5	

Table 6. SEX OF WORKERS BY MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK	
TABLE 0. DEX OF WORKERD DT WODE OF THANDI OFFICITION TO WORK	

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 7. SEX OF WORKERS BY MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK FOR WORKPLACE GEOGRAPHY

	Ma	Male Fema			ale All Workers			
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)	
Car, Truck, or Van:	16,944	85.1	14,118	78.8	31,062	83.6	78.0	
Drove Alone	15,489	77.8	12,613	70.4	28,102	75.6	68.5	
Carpooled:	1,455	7.3	1,505	8.4	2,960	8.0	9.5	
In 2-person carpool	881	4.4	1,157	6.5	2,038	5.5	6.9	
In 3-person carpool	329	1.7	186	1.0	515	1.4	1.5	
In 4-or-more-person carpool	245	1.2	162	0.9	407	1.1	1.1	
Public Transportation (excl Taxi):	90	0.5	114	0.6	204	0.5	3.6	
Bus or Trolley Bus	90	0.5	114	0.6	204	0.5	2.3	
Streetcar or Trolley Car	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.8	
Subway or Elevated	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.3	
Railroad	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.2	
Ferryboat	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.1	
Bicycle	144	0.7	53	0.3	197	0.5	0.7	
Walked	319	1.6	226	1.3	545	1.5	2.4	
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	274	1.4	134	0.7	408	1.1	1.7	
Worked at Home	2,137	10.7	2,388	13.3	4,525	12.2	13.6	
Total:	19,908	100.0	17,033	95.1	36,941	99.4		


Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File


The results in this table are for those who work in the region, regardless of the location of their residence.

Commute Times for Employed Residents


Table 8. SEX OF WORKERS BY TRAVEL TIME TO WORK											
	Mal	е	Fem	ale	All Wo	All Workers					
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)				
Less than 5 minutes	186	1.1	190	1.2	376	1.2	2.1				
5 to 9 minutes	1,044	6.1	1,527	9.7	2,571	7.9	7.8				
10 to 14 minutes	3,405	19.9	1,267	8.0	4,672	14.4	12.4				
15 to 19 minutes	3,329	19.5	2,193	13.9	5,522	17.0	15.4				
20 to 24 minutes	2,091	12.2	2,052	13.0	4,143	12.8	14.8				
25 to 29 minutes	1,780	10.4	302	1.9	2,082	6.4	6.4				
30 to 34 minutes	2,748	16.1	1,198	7.6	3,946	12.2	15.2				
35 to 39 minutes	130	0.8	116	0.7	246	0.8	2.9				
40 to 44 minutes	199	1.2	223	1.4	422	1.3	4.1				
45 to 59 minutes	420	2.5	548	3.5	968	3.0	8.2				
60 to 89 minutes	707	4.1	539	3.4	1,246	3.8	7.2				
90 or more minutes	545	3.2	120	0.8	665	2.1	3.6				
Total:	16,584	97.0	10,275	65.1	26,859	82.8					

Source: 2022 1-year American Community Survey, Summary File










Commute Times for Those Employed in the City

Table 9. SEX OF WORKERS BY TRAVEL TIME TO WORK FOR WORKPLACE GEOGRAPHY												
	Male		Fem	ale	All Wo	All of CA						
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)					
Less than 5 minutes	315	1.5	190	1.0	505	1.4	2.1					
5 to 9 minutes	1,970	9.5	1,734	9.2	3,704	10.2	7.8					
10 to 14 minutes	3,397	16.4	2,126	11.3	5,523	15.1	12.4					
15 to 19 minutes	2,025	9.8	2,449	13.1	4,474	12.3	15.3					
20 to 24 minutes	2,505	12.1	2,506	13.4	5,011	13.7	14.8					
25 to 29 minutes	1,413	6.8	1,550	8.3	2,963	8.1	6.4					
30 to 34 minutes	2,126	10.3	1,151	6.1	3,277	9.0	15.2					
35 to 39 minutes	355	1.7	138	0.7	493	1.4	2.9					
40 to 44 minutes	754	3.7	456	2.4	1,210	3.3	4.1					
45 to 59 minutes	604	2.9	227	1.2	831	2.3	8.2					
60 to 89 minutes	675	3.3	487	2.6	1,162	3.2	7.2					
90 or more minutes	293	1.4	145	0.8	438	1.2	3.6					
Total:	16,432	79.6	13, 159	70.2	29,591	81.1						

Source: 2022 1-year American Community Survey, Summary File

The results in this table are for those who work in the region, regardless of the location of their residence.







Figure 84: Rank: Share of MegaCommuters Across Similar Geographies



Jon Haveman, Ph.D. • National Economic Education Delegation

Jon@NEEDEcon.org • 415-336-5705

Place of Work

This section provides evidence on where workers living in Camarillo work. As evidenced in the first table, some of Camarillo's employed workers work in the City, but many do not. The first table and graph pair provide evidence at the county level while the second provide evidence with regard to working outside of the Camarillo city boundary.

	Male		Female		All Workers		All of CA
Place of Work	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Worked in state of residence:	18,761	99.7	13,614	81.7	32,375	95.6	99.6
Worked in county of residence	16,914	89.9	12,269	73.7	29,183	86.1	85.3
worked outside of county of residence	1,847	9.8	1,345	8.1	3, 192	9.4	14.3
Worked outside state of residence	54	0.3	0	0.0	54	0.2	0.4
Total:	18,815	100.0	13,614	81.7	32,429	95.7	

Table 10. SEX OF WORKERS BY PLACE OF WORK-STATE AND COUNTY LEVEL

Source: 2022 1-year American Community Survey, Summary File




Figure 85: Percent of Workers Employed Outside of Their County of Residence

Male		Female		All Workers		All of CA
#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
18,815	100.0	13,614	81.7	32,429	95.7	95.8
7,940	42.2	6,312	37.9	14,252	42.1	42.3
10,875	57.8	7,302	43.8	18,177	53.7	53.4
0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4.2
18,815	100.0	13,614	81.7	32,429	95.7	
	# 18,815 7,940 10,875 0	# (%) 18,815 100.0 7,940 42.2 10,875 57.8 0 0.0	# (%) # 18,815 100.0 13,614 7,940 42.2 6,312 10,875 57.8 7,302 0 0.0 0	# (%) # (%) 18,815 100.0 13,614 81.7 7,940 42.2 6,312 37.9 10,875 57.8 7,302 43.8 0 0.0 0 0.0	# (%) # (%) # 18,815 100.0 13,614 81.7 32,429 7,940 42.2 6,312 37.9 14,252 10,875 57.8 7,302 43.8 18,177 0 0.0 0 0.0 0	# (%) # (%) # (%) 18,815 100.0 13,614 81.7 32,429 95.7 7,940 42.2 6,312 37.9 14,252 42.1 10,875 57.8 7,302 43.8 18,177 53.7 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0

Source: 2022 1-year American Community Survey, Summary File




Figure 86: Percent of Workers Employed Outside of Their Place of Residence

Source: American Community Survey, 1-year Summary Files Graph by: National Economic Education Delegation (www.NEEDEcon.org)

Commute Mode by Income

Table 12. MEDIAN EARNINGS IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS BY MEANS OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK

	City	California		United Sta	tes
	Median	Median	Ratio	Median	Ratio
Car, truck, or van - drove alone	58,403	48,566	101.3	46,171	100.7
Car, truck, or van - carpooled	53,208	36,463	122.9	34,487	122.9
Public transportation (excluding taxicab)	62,059	40,179	130.1	45,100	109.6
Walked	33,631	29,366	96.4	27,142	98.7
Taxicab, motorcycle, bicycle, or other means		40,433		36,140	
Worked from home	59,681	75, 153	66.9	67, 180	70.7
Total:	57,890	48,747	118.8	46,099	125.6

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Notes: 1) Ratio = the ratio of the regional median to either the CA or US median, relative to the Total ratio.

Values above 100 imply a high local median. Values below 100 imply a low local median.

For example, a value of 200 means that the local mean is 2x higher than would be expected.

For "Total:", ratio is simply the ratio of the medians.

2) For regions with more than one geography, the medians are averages weighted by working population.

Table 13. MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK BY WORKERS' EARNINGS

	< \$25,000		\$25,000	\$25,000-\$74,999		\$75,000+		All	
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Car, Truck, or Van: Drove Alone	5,355	54.5	8,191	78.5	9,703	76.5	25, 421	76.5	68.4
Car, Truck, or Van: Carpooled	516	5.3	468	4.5	700	5.5	2,012	6.1	9.5
Public Transportation (excl Taxi)	12	0.1	47	0.5	51	0.4	136	0.4	3.6
Walked	240	2.4	98	0.9	151	1.2	544	1.6	2.4
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	163	1.7	42	0.4	185	1.5	417	1.3	2.4
Worked at Home	1,019	10.4	1,134	10.9	1,900	15.0	4,525	13.6	13.6
Total:	7,305	74.3	9,980	95.7	12,690		33,055	99.5	100.0

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 14. MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK BY WORKERS' EARNINGS FOR WORKPLACE GEOGRAPHY

	< \$25	,000	\$25,000-	\$25,000-\$74,999		\$75,000+		All	
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Car, Truck, or Van: Drove Alone	7,898	52.4	9,293	78.5	7,029	71.3	28,102	75.6	68.5
Car, Truck, or Van: Carpooled	945	6.3	951	8.0	581	5.9	2,960	8.0	9.5
Public Transportation (excl Taxi)	119	0.8	25	0.2	8	0.1	204	0.5	3.6
Walked	213	1.4	104	0.9	173	1.8	545	1.5	2.4
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	271	1.8	128	1.1	168	1.7	605	1.6	2.4
Worked at Home	1,019	6.8	1,134	9.6	1,900	19.3	4,525	12.2	13.6
Total:	10,465	69.5	11,635	98.3	9,859		36,941	99.4	

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

The results in this table are for those who work in the region, regardless of the location of their residence.

Commute Mode by Poverty Status

Table 15. MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK BY POVERTY STATUS

	In Po	In Poverty		100-149% of Pov		>150% of Pov		All	
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Car, Truck, or Van: Drove Alone	873	58.1	565	38.0	23,978	77.2	25,416	76.6	68.7
Car, Truck, or Van: Carpooled	157	10.5	47	3.2	1,814	5.8	2,018	6.1	9.5
Public Transportation (excl Taxi)	11	0.7	1	0.1	124	0.4	136	0.4	3.6
Walked	34	2.3	43	2.9	463	1.5	540	1.6	2.1
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	18	1.2	19	1.3	375	1.2	412	1.2	2.4
Worked at Home	322	21.4	53	3.6	4,122	13.3	4,497	13.6	13.6
Total:	1,415	94.2	728	49.0	30,876	99.4	33,019	99.5	

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 16. MODE OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK BY POVERTY STATUS FOR WORKPLACE GEOGRAPHY

	In Po	verty	100-149	% of Pov	>150%	of Pov	Al		All of CA
Mode of Transit	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	#	(%)	(%)
Car, Truck, or Van: Drove Alone	974	50.1	811	29.8	26,251	77.1	28,036	75.8	68.7
Car, Truck, or Van: Carpooled	272	14.0	146	5.4	2,542	7.5	2,960	8.0	9.5
Public Transportation (excl Taxi)	97	5.0	0	0.0	99	0.3	196	0.5	3.6
Walked	34	1.7	43	1.6	468	1.4	545	1.5	2.1
Taxicab, Motorcycle, or other	18	0.9	19	0.7	563	1.7	600	1.6	2.4
Worked at Home	322	16.5	53	1.9	4,122	12.1	4,497	12.2	13.6
Total:	1,717	88.2	1,072	39.3	34,045		36,834	99.5	

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

The results in this table are for those who work in the region, regardless of the location of their residence.

Migration

Overall Migration Flows

Definition:

The United States is a country with an increasingly mobile population. People move, migrate, from one place to another with increasing frequency.

Why is it important?

Having a handle on whether or not Camarillo is a net recipient (migration inflows) or donor (migration outflows) of population is very important for understanding trends in the City's development. This section outlines migration patterns by age, education, income, marital status, and housing tenure. Understanding recent trends is very important for making policy, investment, and other decisions about the future. Also, understanding the extent to which the population is stable, or experiences significant turnover each year is helpful for planning purposes.




Table 17: Migration by Income

		Ne				
			Sam	e State		-
0.1	De la latia a		W/in	Between	Across	From
Category	Population	All Migration	County	Counties	States	Abroad
No income	7,205	352	148	119	26	59
With income	51, 551	77	553	125	-688	87
\$1 to \$9,999 or loss	6,565	-20	-16	16	-28	8
\$10,000 to \$14,999	3,271	12	39	33	-89	29
\$15,000 to \$24,999	5,248	-22	100	-99	-31	8
\$25,000 to \$34,999	5,194	102	73	81	-86	34
\$35,000 to \$49,999	6,000	-193	2	56	-251	0
\$50,000 to \$64,999	5,355	5	122	-64	-53	0
\$65,000 to \$74,999	2,966	118	74	34	10	0
\$75,000 or more	16,952	75	159	68	-160	8
All:	58,756	429	701	244	-662	146

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Note: The data in this and other tables in this section are limited in that there is no information on the City's population that has moved abroad.

The "From Abroad" column is gross movements into the City from abroad.






Figure 88: Overall Movements of Low Income Residents

Figure 89: Overall Movements of Middle Income Residents







Demographics of Migration Flows

Table 18: Migration by Marital Status

		N	et Inflows				
			Sam	e State		-	
Category	Population	All Migration	W/in County	Between Counties	Across States	From Abroad	
Never married	18, 137	-465	-115	-110	-336	96	
Now married, except separated	30,282	918	732	331	-179	34	
Divorced	5,910	158	91	$^{-2}$	53	16	
Separated	771	-48	-34	12	-26	0	
Widowed	3,656	-134	27	13	-174	0	
Total:	58,756	429	701	244	-662	146	

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 19: Migration by Tenure

			_			
	Same State			_		
Category	Population	All Migration	W/in County	Between Counties	Across States	From Abroad
Householder lived in owner-occupied housing units	46,299	849	720	236	-167	60
Householder lived in renter-occupied housing units	22,763	56	706	-894	-68	312
Total:	69,062	905	1,426	-658	-235	372

Source: 2022 1-year American Community Survey, Summary File




Figure 91: Domestic Movements of Residents by Tenure

Table 20: Migration by Age

		Ne	et Inflows			
			Sam	e State		-
			W/in	Between	Across	From
Category	Population	All Migration	County	Counties	States	Abroad
1 to 4 years	3,943	53	122	-12	-91	34
5 to 17 years	10,177	-19	25	4	-77	29
18 and 19 years	1,948	-146	-57	15	-112	8
20 to 24 years	4,244	-58	70	-83	-56	11
25 to 29 years	4,673	133	197	-49	-63	48
30 to 34 years	5,382	92	120	67	-95	0
35 to 39 years	4,297	-143	-26	-31	-104	18
40 to 44 years	3,893	18	47	44	-73	0
45 to 49 years	4,073	-24	-16	23	-47	16
50 to 54 years	3,700	2	-18	-4	-9	33
55 to 59 years	4,485	103	117	50	-65	1
60 to 64 years	4,368	197	97	76	24	0
65 to 69 years	4,232	68	43	62	-37	0
70 to 74 years	4,001	142	135	12	-9	4
75 years and over	6,732	-34	-44	76	-66	0
Total Population:	70,148	384	812	250	-880	202

Source: 2022 5-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 21: Migration by Educational Attainment

	Net Inflows					
			Same State			
Category	Population	All Migration	W/in County	Between Counties	Across States	From Abroad
Less than high school graduate	3,641	290	290	0	0	0
High school graduate (includes equiv)	7,690	-502	-477	-86	-152	213
Some college or assoc. degree	15,611	-374	779	-469	-798	114
Bachelor's degree	14,010	658	494	-60	224	0
Graduate or professional degree	9,660	941	286	409	246	0
Total:	50,612	1,013	1,372	-206	-480	327

Source: 2022 1-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 22: Median Income of Migration Flows

In-Migration	Out-Migration
49,442	49,442
41,878	52,447
66, 194	21,395
64, 449	55,845
49,109	49,674
	$ \begin{array}{r} 49,442\\41,878\\66,194\\64,449\end{array} $

Source: 2022 1-year American Community Survey, Summary File

Table 23: Median Age of Migration Flows

Flow	In-Migration	Out-Migration
Same House 1 Year Ago	40.4	40.4
Moved Within Same County	39.0	31.3
Moved to Different County, Same State	68.0	24.5
Moved Between States	36.6	33.7
Moved from Abroad	27.7	
Total Population:	40.0	38.6

Source: 2022 1-year American Community Survey, Summary File

References and Sources

The majority of the data presented in this report are from the American Community Survey (ACS). For larger geographies, the 1-year Summary Files provide the data. For smaller communities, roughly those with less than 65,000 in population in 2021, the 5-year Summary Files provide the data.

The ACS data are supplemented by building permit data from the U.S. Census Bureau, population and housing data from the California Department of Finance, and home price and rental rates from Zillow.

U.S. Census Bureau. American Community Survey 1-year and 5-year Summary Files. https://www. census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/data/data-via-ftp.html. The 1-year data are released in September each year and the 5-year data are relased in January.

Zillow Research Data https://www.zillow.com/research/data/

U.S. Census Bureau. Building Permits Data, updated annually in February. https://www.census.gov/construction/bps/current.html

State of California, Department of Finance, E-5 Population and Housing Estimates for Cities, Counties and the State — January 1. Sacramento, California, May. https://dof.ca.gov/forecasting/demographics/ estimates/

State of California, Department of Finance, E-2. California County Population Estimates and Components of Change by Year, July 1, 2010-2021. Sacramento, California, December. https://dof.ca. gov/forecasting/demographics/

State of California, Department of Finance, E-1 Population Estimates for Cities, Counties and the State with Annual Percent Change — January 1. Sacramento, California, May. https://dof.ca.gov/forecasting/demographics/